# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
and	)
COUNTY OF WAYNE, MICHIGAN, STATE OF LOUISIANA, STATE OF MINNESOTA,	) Civil No. 4:01-CV-40119-PVG ) Judge Paul V. Gadola ) Magistrate Judge Donald A. Sheer
Plaintiff-Intervenors,	) )
. V.	)
MARATHON ASHLAND PETROLEUM LLC	) ) )
Defendant.	) ) )

FIRST REVISED CONSENT DECREE

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Jurisdiction and Venue (Paragraphs 1-4)
П.	Applicability (Paragraphs 5-8)
Ш.	Objectives (Paragraph 9)
IV.	Definitions (Paragraphs 10, 11)
V.	Affirmative Relief/Environmental Projects (Or Measures)
	12. NO <sub>x</sub> and CO Emission Reductions from FCCUs (Paragraph 12)
	13. NO <sub>x</sub> Emission Reductions from Heater and Boilers (Paragraph 13)
	14. SO <sub>2</sub> Emission Reductions from FCCUs (Paragraph 14)
	15. SO <sub>2</sub> and PM Emission Reductions from Heater and Boilers (Paragraph 15) 38
	16. NSPS Applicability and Particulate Matter Emissions - FCCU Controls
	(Paragraph16)
	17. Hydrocarbon Flaring/NSPS Applicability – Flares (Paragraph 17)
	18. Benzene Waste NESHAP/Program Enhancements (Paragraph 18)
	19. Benzene Measures at the Detroit and Texas City Refineries (Paragraph 19) 64
	20. Leak Detection and Repair ("LDAR") Program Enhancements (Paragraph 20) 70
	21. NSPS Applicability Re: Sulfur Recovery Plants (Paragraph 21)
	22. Acid and Sour Water Stripper Gas Flaring (Paragraph 22)84
	23. RCRA Injunctive Measures - Detroit and Robinson (Paragraph 23)
VI.	Permitting93
	24. A. Construction (Paragraph 24.A)
	B. Schedules of Implementation and Modifications Thereto (Paragraph 24.B) 94

	C. Commercial Unavailability of Control Equipment and/or Additives
	(Paragraph 24.C) 94
	25. Operation (Paragraph 25)
	26. Plant Applicability Limits (Paragraph 26)96
	27. Retirement of NOx Allowances (Paragraph 27)
VII.	Environmentally Beneficial Projects
	28. FCCU and Heater and Boiler Controls (Paragraph 28)
	29. Pollution Reduction: Supplemental and Beneficial Environmental Projects
,	(Paragraphs 29-32)
VIII.	Reporting And Recordkeeping (Paragraph 33)
IX.	Civil Penalty (Paragraphs 34-36)
X.	Stipulated Penalties (Paragraph 37)
	38. Paragraph 12 - Requirements for NO <sub>x</sub> and CO Emission Reductions from
	FCCUs (Paragraph 38)
	39. Paragraph 13 - Requirements for NOx Emission Reductions from
	Heater/Boilers (Paragraph 39)
	40. Paragraph 14 - Requirements for SO <sub>2</sub> Emission Reductions from FCCUs
	(Paragraph 40)
	41. Paragraph 15 - Requirements for SO <sub>2</sub> and PM Emission Reductions
	from Heater/Boilers (Paragraph 41)
	42. Paragraph 16 - Requirements for Particulate Matter Emission – FCCU Controls
	(Paragraph 42)

	43.	Paragraph 17 - Hydrocarbon Flaring/NSPS Applicability – Flares
		(Paragraph 43)
	44.	Paragraph 18 - Requirements for Benzene Waste NESHAP
		Program Enhancements (Paragraph 44)
	45.	Paragraph 19 - Requirements for Benzene Measures at the Detroit and Texas City
		Refineries (Paragraph 45)
	46.	Paragraph 20 - Requirements for Leak Detection and Repair Program
		Enhancements (Paragraph 46)
	<b>4</b> 7.	Paragraph 21 - Requirements for NSPS Applicability Re: Sulfur Recovery
		Plants (Paragraph 47)
	48.	Paragraphs 22 and 21.E Requirements for Acid Gas and Sour Water Stripper Gas
		Flaring (Paragraph 48)
	49.	Paragraph 23 - Requirements for RCRA Injunctive Measures – Detroit and Robinson
		(Paragraph 49)
	50.	Paragraphs 29 - Requirements for SEPs (Paragraph 50)
	51.	Requirements for Reporting and Recordkeeping (Section VIII) -
		Report Required By Paragraph 33 (Paragraph 51)
	52.	Requirements to Escrow Stipulated Penalties (Paragraph 52)
	53.	Payment of Stipulated Penalties (Paragraph 53)
	54.	Stipulated Penalties Dispute (Paragraph 54)
XI.	Inte	erest (Paragraph 55)
XII.	Rig	tht of Entry (Paragraph 56)

XIII.	Force Majeure (Paragraphs 57-65)	.26
XIV.	Retention of Jurisdiction/Dispute Resolution (Paragraph 66-74)	.28
XV.	Effect of Settlement (Paragraph 75)	.29
XVI.	General Provisions	34
	76. Other Laws (Paragraph 76)	34
	77. Failure of Compliance (Paragraph 77)	34
	78. Service of Process (Paragraph 78)	ر 34
	79. Post-Lodging/Pre-Entry Obligations (Paragraph 79)	ı <b>3</b> 5
•	80. Costs (Paragraph 80)	ı35
	81. Public Documents (Paragraph 81)	35
	82. Public Notice and Comment (Paragraph 82)	135
	83. Notice (Paragraphs 83-84)	136
	85. The Paperwork Reduction Act (Paragraph 85)	38
	86. Modification (Paragraph 86)	.38
XVII.	Termination (Paragraph 87)	139
XVIII.	Signatories (Paragraph 88)	142

# **TABLE OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A –	MAP's List of Flaring Devices
Appendix B –	[Omitted]
Appendix C	1999-2000 Actual Heater and Boiler NOx Emissions by Unit
Appendix D –	Design and Operating Criteria For NOx Reducing Systems
Appendix E –	Parametric Emissions Monitoring Systems for Heaters and Boilers with Capacities Between 150 and 100 mmBTU/hr (HHV)
Appendix F	NOx and CO Source Testing and Portable Analyzer Requirements for Heaters and Boilers < 100 mmBTU/hr (HHV)
Appendix G –	Fuel Oil Phase-out
Appendix H –	NSPS Subpart J Compliance Schedule for Heaters and Boilers
Appendix I –	Schedule for Complying with the FCCU New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)
Appendix J –	NSPS Subpart J Compliance Schedule for Flares
Appendix K –	Study of Breakthrough in Dual Carbon Canisters
Appendix L –	[Omitted]
Appendix M –	Diagram of the Melvindale and Crude Tank Farms at the Detroit Refinery
Appendix N –	[Omitted]
Appendix O –	Logic Diagram for Paragraph 22
Appendix P –	Baseline, Cap and Compliance Determination for the PAL(s)
Appendix Q –	PM CEMS study at the Canton Refinery
Appendix R -	Blank Table to be used for Reporting under Paragraph 33

#### FIRST REVISED CONSENT DECREE

WHEREAS, Plaintiff the United States of America ("Plaintiff" or "the United States"), by the authority of the Attorney General of the United States and through its undersigned counsel, acting at the request and on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), alleged upon information and belief in the complaint filed in this action on May 11, 2001, that Defendant Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC ("MAP") had violated and/or continued to violate the requirements of the Clean Air Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder at its petroleum refineries at Robinson, Illinois; Garyville, Louisiana; Texas City, Texas; Catlettsburg, Kentucky; Detroit, Michigan; Canton, Ohio; and St. Paul Park, Minnesota ("Covered Refineries");

WHEREAS, the United States alleged in the Complaint that MAP had violated and continued to violate the following statutory and regulatory provisions:

- 1) Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") requirements at Part C of Subchapter I of the Clean Air Act (the "Act"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7475, and the regulations promulgated thereunder at 40 C.F.R. § 52.21 (the "PSD Rules"), and "Plan Requirements for Non-Attainment Areas" at Part D of Subchapter I of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7502-7503, and the regulations promulgated thereunder at 40 C.F.R. § 51.165(a) and (b), Part 51, Appendix S, and § 52.24 ("PSD/NSR Regulations") for heaters and boilers and fluid catalytic cracking unit catalyst regenerators for NOx, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO and PM;
- 2) New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") for sulfur recovery plants, fuel gas combustion devices, and fluid catalytic cracking unit catalyst regenerators found at 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subparts A and J, under Section 111 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7411 ("Refinery NSPS Regulations");
- 3) Leak Detection and Repair ("LDAR") regulations found at 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Subparts VV and GGG, under Section 111 of the Act, and 40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subparts F, H, and CC, under Section 112(d) of the Act ("LDAR Regulations"); and

4) National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAP") for Benzene Waste, 40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart FF, and Section 112(e) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(e) ("Benzene Waste NESHAP Regulations").

WHEREAS, the United States also alleged in the Complaint with respect to the Covered Refineries that, upon information and belief, MAP had been and continued to be in violation of the state implementation plans ("SIPs") and other state rules adopted by the states in which the Covered Refineries are located to the extent that such plans or rules implemented, adopted or incorporated the above-described Federal requirements;

WHEREAS, the United States further alleged in the Complaint that pursuant to Section 3008 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6928, MAP had violated and continued to violate certain requirements of RCRA at its Detroit and Robinson Refineries;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 325(c)(1) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act ("EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 11045(c)(1), and Section 109(c) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9609(c), the United States alleged in the Complaint that MAP had violated Section 313 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11023, and Section 103(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603(a), and the regulations promulgated thereunder at its Detroit Refinery;

WHEREAS, the State of Louisiana ("Louisiana"), State of Minnesota ("Minnesota"), and the County of Wayne, Michigan ("Wayne County"), filed Complaints-in-Intervention in 2001 seeking to intervene in this matter and alleging violations of their respective applicable SIP provisions and other state rules incorporating and implementing the foregoing federal requirements;

WHEREAS, a Consent Decree resolving the claims asserted by the United States,
Louisiana, Minnesota, and Wayne County in the Complaint and Complaints-in-Intervention was
lodged with this Court on May 11, 2001;

WHEREAS, this Court entered the Consent Decree on August 30, 2001 ("August 2001 Consent Decree");

WHEREAS, on March 11, 2005, the United States and MAP lodged a First Amendment to the August 2001 Consent Decree ("First Amendment") involving only the Texas City Refinery;

WHEREAS, this Court entered the First Amendment on June 20, 2005;

WHEREAS, the United States and MAP, in consultation with Plaintiff-Intervenors the State of Louisiana and the State of Minnesota, have engaged in negotiations regarding modifications to the August 2001 Consent Decree;

WHEREAS, after the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, the State of Michigan withdrew Plaintiff-Intervenor Wayne County's authority to enforce the Michigan air pollution control laws and therefore no one within Wayne County has any authority to engage in negotiations regarding the implementation or enforcement of the August 2001 Consent Decree;

WHEREAS, these negotiations have resulted in this First Revised Consent Decree;

WHEREAS, at the time of the lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, the United States and MAP estimated that the environmental projects (or measures) identified in the August 2001 Consent Decree would reduce annual emissions from MAP's refineries by the following amounts: 1) nitrogen oxide by approximately 8,000 tons; 2) sulfur dioxide by approximately 12,800 tons; 3) volatile organic compounds by approximately 120 tons; 4) particulate matter ("PM") by approximately 800 tons; and 5) carbon monoxide by approximately 1850 tons;

WHEREAS, the United States and MAP estimate that the implementation of the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised Consent Decree will result in additional pollutant reductions of approximately 1200 tons per year more than the estimates in the August 2001 Consent Decree;

WHEREAS, with respect to the provisions of Paragraph 22 ("Acid Gas and Sour Water Stripper Gas Flaring") of this First Revised Consent Decree, EPA maintains that "[i]t is the intent

of the proposed standard [40 C.F.R. § 60.104] that hydrogen-sulfide-rich gases exiting the amine regenerator [or sour water stripper gases] be directed to an appropriate recovery facility, such as a Claus sulfur plant," see Information for Proposed New Source Performance Standards: Asphalt Concrete Plants, Petroleum Refineries, Storage Vessels, Secondary Lead Smelters and Refineries, Brass or Bronze Ingot Production Plants, Iron and Steel Plants, Sewage Treatment Plants, Vol. 1, Main Text at 28;

WHEREAS, EPA further maintains that the failure to direct hydrogen-sulfide-rich gases to an appropriate recovery facility -- and instead to flare such gases under circumstances that are not sudden or infrequent or that are reasonably preventable -- circumvents the purposes and intentions of the standards at 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart J;

WHEREAS, EPA recognizes that "Malfunctions," as defined in Paragraph 11.X of this First Revised Consent Decree and 40 C.F.R. § 60.2, of the "Sulfur Recovery Plants" or of "Upstream Process Units" may result in "AG Flaring" of "Acid Gas" or "Sour Water Stripper Gas" on occasion, as those terms are defined herein, and that such AG Flaring does not violate 40 C.F.R. § 60.11(d) if the owner or operator, to the extent practicable, maintains and operates such units in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practice for minimizing emissions during these periods;

WHEREAS, with respect to Paragraph 22 of the First Revised Consent Decree, MAP maintains that with respect to NSPS: (i) Flaring is not regulated with respect to sulfur dioxide emissions except for flares subject to 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(1); and (ii) 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(1) applies only to flares that are otherwise subject to NSPS and that are maintained to combust Acid Gases or Sour Water Stripper Gases on a continuous basis as a part of normal refinery operations;

WHEREAS, EPA recognizes that the combustion in a flare subject to 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(1) of process upset gases or fuel gas that is released to the flare as a result of relief valve leakage or other emergency malfunctions does not violate 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(1);

WHEREAS, EPA agrees that the following emission control projects required by this Consent Decree are "environmentally beneficial projects" that could be considered to be pollution control projects where appropriate for New Source Review purposes: wet gas scrubbers, ultra low-NOx burners, selective catalytic reduction, LoTOx Systems, selective non-catalytic reduction, pollutant-reducing catalyst additives, electrostatic precipitators, third-stage separators, add-on controls for benzene waste, and sulfur recovery unit reliability improvements;

WHEREAS, EPA expects that MAP will design, operate and maintain the controls required by the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised Consent Decree in a manner consistent with standard and reasonable air pollution control practices, and that collateral emissions increases will be adequately addressed by MAP;

WHEREAS, the requirements of Section V of the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised Consent Decree are not for the purposes of penalty mitigation;

WHEREAS, by entering into the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP is committed to pro-actively resolving environmental concerns related to its operations;

WHEREAS, MAP has waived any applicable federal or state requirements of statutory notice of the alleged violations;

WHEREAS, MAP has denied and continues to deny the violations alleged in the Complaint and Complaints-in-Intervention, maintains that it has been and remains in compliance with all applicable regulations, and is not liable for civil penalties and injunctive relief. However, in the interest of settlement and to accomplish its objectives of cooperatively reconciling the United States', Louisiana's, Minnesota's, and MAP's goals under the Clean Air Act and corollary state statutes and regulations, MAP has agreed to undertake the installation of air pollution control equipment and enhancements to its air pollution management practices at its seven refineries to reduce air emissions;

WHEREAS, notwithstanding the foregoing reservations, MAP, the United States,
Louisiana, and Minnesota agree that: a) settlement of the matters set forth in the Complaint and
Complaints-in-Intervention by means of the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised
Consent Decree is in the best interests of the Parties and the public; and b) entry of the this First
Revised Consent Decree without litigation is the most appropriate means of resolving this matter;

WHEREAS, the Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this First Revised Consent Decree finds, that this First Revised Consent Decree has been negotiated at arms-length and in good faith and that the First Revised Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest;

NOW THEREFORE, with respect to the matters set forth in the Complaint,

Complaints-in-Intervention, and in Section XV of this First Revised Consent Decree ("Effect of
Settlement"), and before the taking of any testimony, without adjudication of any issue of fact or
law, and upon the consent and agreement of the Parties to this First Revised Consent Decree, it is
hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

### I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over the Parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345 and 1355. In addition, this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 113(b) and 167 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b) and 7477. The United States' Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted for injunctive relief and civil penalties against MAP under these same provisions of the Clean Air Act. Further, the United States and MAP agree that this Court has jurisdiction over the RCRA claims under Sections 3004 and 3005 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6924 and 6925, and of the EPCRA claims under Sections 325(a), (b), and (c) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(a), (b), and (c). Authority to bring this suit is vested in the United States Department of Justice by 28 U.S.C. §§ 516 and 519, Section 305 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7605, Section 325 of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045, and Section 109(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9609(c).

- B. Venue is proper in the District of Eastern District of Michigan pursuant to Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b), Section 3008(a) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6928(a), Section 325(b) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1395(a). MAP consents to the personal jurisdiction of this Court and waives any objections to venue in this District.
- 2. In 2001, notice of the commencement of this action was given to the: a) State of Ohio, State of Minnesota, State of Louisiana, State of Texas, State of Illinois, Commonwealth of Kentucky, and the State of Michigan in accordance with Section 113(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(a)(1), and as required by Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b); and b) the State of Michigan and State of Illinois as required by Section 3008(a)(2) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6928(a)(2).
- 3. Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC is a limited liability company that owns and operates refineries in Robinson, Illinois; Garyville, Louisiana; Texas City, Texas; Catlettsburg, Kentucky; Detroit, Michigan; Canton, Ohio; and St. Paul Park, Minnesota. MAP has its principal operating offices in Findlay, Ohio. On September 1, 2005, MAP shall change its name to Marathon Petroleum Company LLC.
- 4. MAP is a "person" within the meaning of Section 302(e) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e), and Section 1003(15) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6902(15), and Section 329(7) of EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11049(7).

## II. APPLICABILITY

5. A. This First Revised Consent Decree shall replace and supercede the August 2001 Consent Decree and the First Amendment to the August 2001 Consent Decree (the "Texas City Refinery Amendment"). The August 2001 Consent Decree was effective and enforceable from the Date of its Entry (August 30, 2001) until the Date of Entry of this First Revised Consent Decree. The Texas City Refinery Amendment was effective and enforceable from the Date of its Entry (June 20, 2005) until the Date of Entry of this First Revised Consent Decree. This First

Revised Consent Decree shall be effective and enforceable from its Date of Entry until termination pursuant to Section XVII.

- B. The provisions of the First Revised Consent Decree shall apply to, and be binding upon MAP with respect to the Covered Refineries. In addition, with respect to each Covered Refinery, the First Revised Consent Decree shall be binding upon MAP and its officers, successors, and assigns, and upon the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenors.
- 6. MAP agrees not to contest the validity of the First Revised Consent Decree in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce its terms.
- 7. A. Effective from the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree until termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP agrees that the refineries identified herein are covered by this First Revised Consent Decree. Effective from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree until the Date of Entry of the First Revised Consent Decree, and effective from the Date of Entry of the First Revised Consent Decree until its termination, MAP shall give written notice of, respectively, the August 2001 Consent Decree and the First Revised Consent Decree to any successors in interest prior to transfer of ownership or operation of any portion of any Covered Refinery and shall provide a copy of the applicable Consent Decree to any successor in interest. MAP shall notify the United States in accordance with the notice provisions set forth in Paragraph 84 (Notice), of any successor in interest at least thirty (30) days prior to any such transfer.
- B. MAP shall condition any transfer, in whole or in part, of ownership of, operation of, or other interest (exclusive of any non-controlling non-operational shareholder interest) in, any Covered Refinery upon the execution by the transferee of a modification to this First Revised Consent Decree, which makes the terms and conditions of this First Revised Consent Decree that apply to such refinery applicable to the transferee. The Parties shall file that modification with the Court promptly upon such transfer. In the event of any such transfer of ownership or other interest in any Covered Refinery, MAP shall be released from the obligations and liabilities of

this First Revised Consent Decree provided that, at the time of such transfer, the transferee has the financial and technical ability to assume and has contractually agreed with MAP to assume these obligations and liabilities.

8. MAP shall provide a copy of the applicable provisions of this First Revised Consent Decree to each consulting or contracting firm that is retained to perform work required under this First Revised Consent Decree upon the execution of any contract relating to such work. To the extent that MAP has not already provided a copy of the August 2001 Consent Decree to each consulting or contracting firm that MAP already has retained to perform the work required under the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall provide a copy of the applicable provisions of this First Revised Consent Decree to each such firm no later than thirty (30) days after the Date of Lodging of the First Revised Consent Decree. Copies of the First Revised Consent Decree do not need to be supplied to firms who are retained to supply materials or equipment to satisfy requirements under this First Revised Consent Decree.

### III. OBJECTIVES

9. It is the purpose of the Parties in entering this First Revised Consent Decree to further the objectives of the Clean Air Act as described at Section 101 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7401, and, with respect to the Detroit and Robinson Refineries, it is the intention of MAP and the United States to further the purposes of RCRA, as described at Section 1002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6902, and, with respect to the Detroit Refinery, it is the intention of MAP and the United States to further the purposes of Section 325(c)(1) of the EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 11045.

#### IV. DEFINITIONS

10. Unless otherwise defined herein, terms used in the First Revised Consent Decree shall have the meaning given to those terms in the Clean Air Act, and the implementing regulations promulgated thereunder. In addition, terms used in the First Revised Consent Decree in the provisions that relate specifically to obligations under RCRA shall have the meaning given to those terms in the statutes and implementing regulations promulgated thereunder.

- 11. The following terms used in the First Revised Consent Decree shall be defined for purposes of the First Revised Consent Decree and the reports and documents submitted pursuant thereto as follows:
- A. "Acid Gas" shall mean any gas that contains hydrogen sulfide and is generated at a refinery by the regeneration of an amine solution.
- B. "AG Flaring" shall mean, for purposes of this First Revised Consent Decree, the combustion of Acid Gas and/or Sour Water Stripper Gas in a AG Flaring Device.
- C. "AG Flaring Device" shall mean any device at the refineries that are subject of this First Revised Consent Decree that is used for the purpose of combusting Acid Gas and/or Sour Water Stripper Gas, except facilities in which gases are combusted to produce sulfur or sulfuric acid. The AG Flaring Devices currently in service at the refineries are identified in Appendix A to this First Revised Consent Decree. To the extent that, during the duration of the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree, any covered refinery utilizes AG Flaring Devices other than those specified herein for the purpose of combusting Acid Gas and/or Sour Water Stripper Gas, those AG Flaring Devices shall be covered under this First Revised Consent Decree.
- D.i. "AG Flaring Incident" shall mean the continuous or intermittent combustion of Acid Gas and/or Sour Water Stripper Gas that results in the emission of sulfur dioxide equal to, or in excess of, five-hundred (500) pounds in any twenty-four (24) hour period; provided, however, that if five-hundred (500) pounds or more of sulfur dioxide have been emitted in a twenty-four (24) hour period and Flaring continues into subsequent, contiguous, non-overlapping twenty-four (24) hour period(s), each period of which results in emissions equal to, or in excess of five-hundred (500) pounds of sulfur dioxide, then only one AG Flaring Incident shall have occurred. Subsequent, contiguous, non-overlapping periods are measured from the initial commencement of Flaring within the AG Flaring Incident. An AG Flaring Incident may entail the sulfur dioxide emissions from multiple sources provided that the flaring is associated with one common event.

- D.ii. "August 2001 Consent Decree" shall mean the Consent Decree entered by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan on August 30, 2001, and effective from August 30, 2001, until the Date of Entry of this First Revised Consent Decree.
- E. "Calendar quarter" shall mean the three month period ending on March 31st, June 30th, September 30th, and December 31st.
- F. "Canton Refinery" shall mean the refinery owned and operated by MAP at Canton, Ohio.
- G. "Catlettsburg Refinery" shall mean the refinery owned and operated by MAP at Catlettsburg, Kentucky.
  - H. "CEMS" shall mean continuous emissions monitoring system.
  - I. [Omitted.]
- J.i. "Controlled Heaters and Boilers" shall mean Heaters and Boilers that meet the criteria specified in Paragraph 13.A and that are used to meet the requirements of Paragraph 13.B.
- J.ii. "Covered Refineries" or "Covered Refinery" or "Refineries" or "Refinery" shall mean refineries owned and operated by MAP that are subject to the requirements of the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised Consent Decree: the Canton, Catlettsburg, Detroit, Garyville, Robinson, St. Paul Park, and Texas City Refineries.
  - K. "CO" shall mean carbon monoxide.
- L. "Current Generation Ultra-Low NOx Burner" is defined as those burners that are designed to achieve a NOx emission rate of 0.020 to 0.040 lb/mmBTU higher heating value ("HHV") when firing natural gas at 3% stack oxygen at full design load without air preheat, even if upon installation actual NOx emissions exceed 0.040 lb/mmBTU HHV.
  - M.i. "Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree" shall mean May 11, 2001.
- M.ii. "Date of Lodging of the First Revised Consent Decree" shall mean the date the First Revised Consent Decree is filed for lodging with the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.

- N. i. "Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree" shall mean August 30, 2001.
- N.ii. "Date of Entry of the First Revised Consent Decree" shall mean the date the First Revised Consent Decree is entered by the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan.
  - O. "Day" or "Days" as used herein shall mean a calendar day or days.
- P.i. "Detroit Refinery" shall mean the refinery owned and operated by MAP at Detroit, Michigan.
- P.ii. "Enhanced SNCR" shall mean an air pollution control device consisting of ammonia injection with the addition of hydrogen as an enhanced reductant (or other reductants, reagents, or technology that will perform as well as or better than ammonia and hydrogen on a particular CO Boiler, as demonstrated to and approved by EPA), but without a catalyst bed, to reduce NO<sub>x</sub>.
- Q.i. "FCCU" as used herein shall mean a fluidized catalytic cracking unit and its regenerator and associated CO boiler(s) where present.
- Q.ii. "First Revised Consent Decree" shall mean this First Revised Consent Decree, including any and all appendices attached to this First Revised Consent Decree.
- Q.iii. "First Amendment to the August 2001 Consent Decree" or "Texas City Refinery Amendment" shall mean the First Amendment lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan on March 11, 2005, and entered on June 20, 2005.
- R. "Fuel Oil" shall mean any liquid fossil fuel with sulfur content of greater than 0.05% by weight.
- S. "Garyville Refinery" shall mean the refinery owned and operated by MAP at Garyville, Louisiana.
- T. "Hydrocarbon Flaring" shall mean, for purposes of this First Revised Consent Decree, the combustion of refinery-generated gases, except for Acid Gas and/or Sour Water Stripper Gas and/or Tail Gas, in a Hydrocarbon Flaring Device.
- U. "Hydrocarbon Flaring Device" shall mean, a flare device used to safely control (through combustion) any excess volume of a refinery-generated gas other than Acid Gas and/or

Sour Water Stripper Off Gas and/or Tail Gas. To the extent that the refinery utilizes flaring devices that are functionally equivalent and are in the same service as those specified above, those flaring devices shall be covered under this First Revised Consent Decree. The Hydrocarbon Flaring Devices are identified in Appendix A to this First Revised Consent Decree.

V. "Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident" (or "HC Flaring Incident") shall mean the continuous or intermittent flaring of refinery process gases, except for Acid Gas or Sour Water Stripper Gas or Tail Gas, at a Hydrocarbon Flaring Device that results in the emissions of sulfur dioxide equal to, or greater than five hundred (500) pounds in a 24-hour period; provided, however, an incident which extends for more than a 24-hr period will constitute one (1) Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident. The duration of a Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident shall be determined from the initial commencement until the time of its final termination. A Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident may entail the sulfur dioxide emissions from multiple sources within a 24-hour period provided that the flaring is associated with one common event.

W.i. "Low NOx Combustion Promotor" shall mean a catalyst that is added to a FCCU or a RCCU that minimizes NOx emissions while maintaining its effectiveness as a combustion promotor.

W.ii. "LoTOx System" shall mean a NOx control technology that includes a quench system, sufficient residence time, ozone injection ports, ozone generators, and oxygen supply, that uses that ozone to oxidize NOx which is then removed in a wet gas scrubber. For purposes of the Robinson LoTOx System required by this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP may use the existing residence time because the LoTOx System is a retrofit application.

X. "Malfunction" shall mean as specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 60.2 "any sudden, infrequent, and not reasonably preventable failure of air pollution control equipment, process equipment, or a process to operate in a normal or usual manner. Failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions."

#### Y. "MAP" shall mean:

- With respect to the Canton Refinery and St. Paul Park Refinery, Marathon
   Ashland Petroleum LLC, its predecessors Ashland Inc. and Ashland Petroleum
   Company, its successors and assigns, and its officers, directors and/or Board of
   Managers, and employees in their capacities as such;
- ii. With respect to the Catlettsburg Refinery, Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC, its wholly-owned subsidiary Catlettsburg Refining, LLC, its predecessors Ashland Inc. and Ashland Petroleum Company, its successors and assigns, and its officers, directors and/or Board of Managers, and employees in their capacities as such; and
- iii. With respect to the Detroit Refinery, Garyville Refinery, Robinson Refinery, and Texas City Refinery, Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC, its predecessors Marathon Oil Company and Marathon Petroleum Company, its successors and assigns, and its officers, directors and/or Board of Managers, and employees in their capacities as such.

Effective September 1, 2005, MAP shall become and therefore include "Marathon Petroleum Company LLC."

- Z. "Next Generation Ultra-Low NOx Burner" is defined as those burners that are designed to achieve a NOx emission rate of less than or equal to 0.020 lb/mmBTU HHV when firing natural gas at 3% stack oxygen at full design load without air preheat, even if upon installation actual NOx emissions exceed 0.020 lb/mmBTU HHV.
  - AA. "NOx" shall mean nitrogen oxides.
- BB.i. "NOx Reducing Catalyst Additive" shall mean a catalyst additive that is introduced to an FCCU or an RCCU to reduce NOx emissions through reduction or controlled oxidation of intermediates.
- BB.ii. "NOx Reducing System" shall mean either: (i) a Lo TOx System; or
  (ii) Enhanced SNCR with low NOx burners in a CO Boiler designed to achieve a NOx emission

rate of less than 0.060 lb/mmBTU HHV when firing natural gas at 3% stack oxygen at full design load without air preheat, even if upon installation actual NOx emissions exceed 0.060 lb/mmBTU HHV.

- CC. "Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this First Revised Consent Decree identified by an arabic numeral.
  - DD. "PM" shall mean particulate matter.
  - EE.i. "Parties" shall mean each of the signatories to the First Revised Consent Decree.
  - EE.ii. "Plaintiff-Intervenors" shall mean the States of Louisiana and Minnesota.
- FF. "Prior Actual Level of Emissions" is defined as actual emissions of NOx in tons per year during calendar years 1999 and 2000 or other representative two year period (or prior allowable emissions where actuals exceed allowable) as presented in Appendix C (Two Year Actual Heater and Boiler NOx Emissions by Unit) to this First Revised Consent Decree.
- GG. "Robinson Refinery" shall mean the refinery owned and operated by MAP at Robinson, Illinois.
- HH. "Root Cause" shall mean the primary cause(s) of an AG Flaring Incident(s), Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident(s), or a Tail Gas Incident(s) as determined through a process of investigation
- II. "Scheduled Maintenance" shall mean any shutdown of any emission unit or control equipment that MAP schedules at least fourteen (14) days in advance of the shutdown for the purpose of undertaking maintenance of such unit or control equipment.

# JJ. [Omitted.]

- KK. "Sour Water Stripper Gas" or "SWS Gas" shall mean the gas produced by the process of stripping refinery sour water. For the purposes of this Consent First Revised Decree, the off-gas from the de-salter (benzene) strippers at the Canton, Detroit, Garyville and Robinson refineries shall not be considered "Sour Water Stripper Gas."
- LL. "SO<sub>2</sub> Adsorbing Catalyst Additive" shall mean a catalyst additive that is introduced to an FCCU or an RCCU to reduce SO<sub>2</sub> emissions by adsorption.

- MM. [Omitted.]
- NN. "SO<sub>2</sub>" shall mean sulfur dioxide.
- OO. "St. Paul Park Refinery" shall mean the refinery owned and operated by MAP at St. Paul Park, Minnesota.
- PP. "Sulfur Recovery Plant" shall mean a process unit that recovers sulfur from hydrogen sulfide by a vapor phase catalytic reaction of sulfur dioxide and hydrogen sulfide.
- QQ. "Tail Gas Unit" ("TGU") shall mean a control system utilizing a technology for reducing emissions of sulfur compounds from a Sulfur Recovery Plant.
- RR. "Tail Gas Incident" shall mean, for the purpose of this First Revised Consent Decree, combustion of Tail Gas that either is:
  - i. Combusted in a flare and results in 500 pounds of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in any 24 hour period; or
  - ii. Combusted in a thermal incinerator and results in 500 pounds of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in any 24-hour period. Only those time periods which are in excess of SO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 250 ppm (rolling twelve-hour average) shall be used to determine the amount of excess SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the incinerator;

MAP shall use engineering judgment and/or other monitoring data during periods in which the SO<sub>2</sub> continuous emission analyzer has exceeded the range of the instrument or is out of service.

- SS.i. "Texas City Refinery" shall mean the refinery owned and operated by MAP at Texas City, Texas.
- SS.ii. "Texas City Refinery Amendment" shall mean the First Amendment to the August 2001 Consent Decree entered by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan on June 20, 2005.
- TT. "Upstream Process Units" shall mean all amine contactors, amine scrubbers, and sour water strippers at the Covered Refineries, as well as all process units at the Covered Refineries that produce gaseous or aqueous waste streams that are processed at amine contactors, amine scrubbers, or sour water strippers.

# V. AFFIRMATIVE RELIEF/ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS (OR MEASURES)

12. NOx and CO Emission Reductions from FCCUs. Summary. MAP shall reduce NOx emissions from the Canton FCCU, the Detroit FCCU, the Garyville FCCU, and the St. Paul Park FCCU by the use of NOx Reducing Catalyst Additives and Low NOx Combustion Promotors as described in Paragraphs 12.A. - 12.B. MAP shall reduce NOx emissions from Catlettsburg FCCU No. 109 (formerly an RCCU, but now converted to an FCCU) by the combination of controls described in Paragraph 12.C, including the use of NOx Reducing Catalyst Additives and Low NOx Combustion Promotors as described in Paragraphs 12.A. - 12.B. MAP no longer shall be required to implement specific controls to reduce NOx emissions from Catlettsburg FCCU No. 1 because MAP shut down that Unit on April 25, 2004. MAP shall reduce NOx emissions the Texas City FCCU by the installation and operation of a LoTOx System as described in Paragraph 12.D. MAP shall reduce NOx emissions from the Robinson FCCU by the installation and operation of a NOx Reducing System as described in Paragraphs 12.E - 12.G. MAP shall incorporate into operating permits NOx emissions limitations reflecting the NOx emissions continuously achievable with the application of these controls and will demonstrate future compliance with these lower emission limits through the use of continuous emissions monitoring systems ("CEMS").

# A. NOx Reducing Catalyst Additives and Low NOx Combustion Promotors ("NOx Additives"):

- i. MAP began to add Low NOx Combustion Promotors and NOx Reducing Catalyst Additives to determine optimized additive addition rates at each of the following FCCUs on the following dates:
  - a. Canton FCCU May 8, 2002;
  - b. Catlettsburg FCCU No. 109 June 21, 2004;
  - c. Detroit FCCU June 3, 2002;
  - d. Garyville FCCU April 22, 2002;
  - e. St. Paul Park FCCU April 28, 2003.

ii. NOx Additives Optimized Addition Rate: As a result of the optimization studies that MAP undertook, MAP determined that Low NOx Combustion Promoter was "effective," as that term was used in Appendix B of the August 2001 Consent Decree. In addition, EPA approved the following brands of NOx Reducing Catalyst Additives and the following addition rates for use in the demonstration period described in Paragraph 12.B:

FCCU	<u>Percentage</u>	<u>Lb/Day</u>	<u>Brand</u>
Canton	0.75%	20 lb/day	CleanNOx 1
Catlettsburg	1.0%	85 lb/day	CleanNOx 1
Detroit	2.0%	72 lb/day	CleanNOx 3
Garyville	0.5%	75 lb/day	CleanNOx 1
St. Paul Park	0.7%	26 lb/day	CleanNOx 1

# B. NOx Additives Demonstration Period and Report:

i. By no later than the dates set forth in the table below, MAP will, while using Low NOx Combustion Promoter, (a) commence and complete a demonstration of the NOx Reducing Catalyst Additives identified in Subparagraph 12.A.ii and (b) submit a report setting forth the results of the demonstration ("Catalyst Additive Demonstration Report"). For all but the St. Paul Park FCCU, MAP will add NOx Reducing Catalyst Additives at the optimized addition rate. For the St. Paul Park FCCU, because the NOx Reducing Catalyst Additive there is pre-mixed with other FCCU catalysts, MAP shall add NOx Reducing Catalyst Additive at not less than the optimized addition rate identified in Subparagraph 12.A.ii as measured on a 7-day rolling average basis.

FCCU	Demonstration Start	<u>Demonstration End</u>	Report Due
Canton	8/30/04	2/28/06	4/28/06
Catlettsburg	2/5/05	8/5/06	10/5/06
Detroit	10/1/04	4/1/06	6/1/06
Garyville	10/1/04	4/1/06	6/1/06
St. Paul Park	8/3/04	2/3/06	4/3/06

ii. MAP may use conventional combustion promoter on an intermittent basis during the demonstration period as needed to avoid unsafe operation of the FCCU regenerator and/or to comply with CO emission limits. MAP shall undertake appropriate measures and/or adjust operating parameters with the goal of eliminating such use. Notwithstanding the foregoing,

MAP shall not be required to adjust operating parameters in a way that would limit conversion or charge rates. By no later than August 31, 2005, MAP shall submit a report to EPA that documents when and why MAP used conventional combustion promoter for any period of the demonstration that preceded August 31, 2005. For any use of conventional combustion promoter that takes place after August 31, 2005, MAP shall submit a report to EPA documenting when and why MAP used the conventional combustion promoter and the actions, if any, taken to return to the minimized level of use within thirty (30) days of using conventional combustion promoter.

- iii. Each Catalyst Additive Demonstration Report shall include, at a minimum, the following information on at least a daily, and where available an hourly, basis:
  - a. Regenerator flue gas temperature and flow rate;
  - b. Coke burn rate:
  - c. FCCU feed rate;
  - d. FCCU feed sulfur content;
  - e. CO boiler firing rate and fuel type;
  - f. Total fresh catalyst addition rate;
  - g. NOx Reducing Catalyst Additive and SO<sub>2</sub> Adsorbing Catalyst Additive addition rates;
  - h. Low-NOx and conventional CO promotor addition rates;
  - i. Reductant addition rates, where applicable;
  - j. Temperature profiles (in duct work after regenerator and in the CO Boiler);
  - k. Hourly average NOx and O<sub>2</sub> concentration; and
  - 1. Cost.

# C. NOx Emission Reductions at Catlettsburg FCCU No. 109:

- i. By no later than March 1, 2004, MAP completed the following actions to reduce NOx emissions from Catlettsburg FCCU No. 109:
  - a. Installed and operated Low NOx burners (which were designed to achieve a NOx emission rate of 0.05 lb/mmBTU HHV) and flue gas recirculation on the South CO boiler (Equipment No. 2-116-B1);

- b. Eliminated supplemental firing in the North CO Boiler (Equipment No. 2-116-B2). If MAP ever decides to reintroduce supplemental firing in the North CO Boiler, MAP shall install and operate Low NOx burners (which are designed to achieve a NOx emission rate of 0.05 lb/mmBTU HHV) and flue gas recirculation; and
- c. Reduced the feed sulfur through hydrotreating the feed.
- ii. By no later than June 21, 2004, MAP began to add Low NOx Combustion Promotor and thereafter NOx Reducing Catalyst Additive in accordance with the provisions of Paragraphs 12.A. - 12.B.
- D. <u>Installation and Operation of a LoTOx System at the Texas City FCCU</u>: By the earlier of: (i) the next scheduled turnaround of at least twenty-five days of the Texas City FCCU; or (ii) December 31, 2007, MAP shall complete installation and begin operation of a LoTOx System at the Texas City FCCU and shall comply with an emission limit for NOx of 20 ppmvd (0% oxygen) on a 365-day rolling average basis and 40 ppmvd (0% oxygen) on a 7-day rolling average basis.
- E. Installation and Operation of a NOx Reducing System at the Robinson FCCU: By no later than December 31, 2008, MAP shall complete installation and begin operation of a NOx Reducing System at the Robinson FCCU. The NOx Reducing System shall be either: (i) a LoTOx System; or (ii) Enhanced SNCR with low NOx burners in a CO Boiler designed to achieve a NOx emission rate of less than 0.060 lb/mmBTU HHV when firing natural gas at 3% stack oxygen at full design load without air preheat, even if upon installation actual NOx emissions exceed 0.060 lb/mmBTU HHV. NOx emission limits from the Robinson FCCU shall be established in accordance with Paragraph 12.H. or 12.I.

### F. Robinson FCCU NOx Reducing System Design Submissions:

i. By no later than June 30, 2007, MAP shall submit to EPA proposed process design specifications for the NOx Reducing System at the Robinson FCCU. MAP shall propose process design specifications that, at a minimum, consider the design and operating considerations identified in Appendix D of this First Revised Consent Decree. MAP and EPA agree to consult

with each other on the development of the process design specifications for the NOx Reducing System prior to MAP's submission of a final proposal.

ii. Provided that MAP submits the proposed process design specifications by June 30, 2007, EPA shall provide comments, if any, to MAP by no later than August 30, 2007. If EPA provides comments on the proposed design, MAP shall submit to EPA, for final approval, a modified proposal that addresses EPA's comments by no later than October 30, 2007. If EPA does not provide comments on or approval of the final design by December 30, 2007, MAP shall proceed with the implementation of the final design. MAP shall notify EPA of any substantial changes to the NOx Reducing System which may affect its performance by no later than thirty (30) days after MAP decides to change the design.

# G. Robinson FCCU NOx Reducing Optimization Studies and Demonstration Periods:

- i. By no later than December 31, 2008, MAP shall begin a six (6) month study to optimize the performance of the NOx Reducing System to minimize  $NO_x$  emissions from the Robinson FCCU ("NOx Reducing System Optimization Study"). During the NOx Reducing System Optimization Study, MAP shall evaluate the effect of operating parameters on  $NO_x$  emissions, shall monitor  $NO_x$  emissions and the operating parameters to identify optimum operating levels for the parameters that minimize  $NO_x$  emissions, and shall operate the NOx Reducing System at the Robinson FCCU in a way that minimizes  $NO_x$  emissions.
- ii. By no later than August 15, 2009, MAP shall submit a report to EPA that describes the results of the NOx Reducing System Optimization Study ("NOx Reducing System Optimization Study Report") and identifies the optimal operating levels for use in a demonstration period. In the NOx Reducing System Optimization Study Report, MAP shall submit a protocol for an eighteen (18) month demonstration of the NOx Reducing System at the optimized operating levels.
- iii. By no later than October 1, 2009, MAP shall begin an eighteen (18) month demonstration of the NOx Reducing System at the optimized operating levels. During the

demonstration period, MAP shall continue to evaluate the effect of operating parameters on  $NO_x$  emissions and shall make all reasonable efforts to operate at the optimal operating levels for those parameters that MAP can control.

iv. If MAP installs a Lo TOx System to meet the requirements of Paragraph 12.E, MAP shall not be required to add ozone at a rate that results in total costs for the sum of (i) electricity for ozone generation and oxygen production; and (ii) oxygen, for operation of a LoTOx System, in excess of:

- (a) For the first twelve (12) months of the optimization and demonstration periods, a running average annualized cost, calculated on a monthly basis, of \$1.1 million (to be adjusted for inflation at the time the optimization period begins) for the Robinson FCCU; and
- (b) For each calendar month after month twelve (12) of the optimization and demonstration periods, a twelve (12) month rolling average cost of \$1.1 million (to be adjusted for inflation at the time the optimization period begins) for the Robinson FCCU, on an annualized basis, calculated monthly.

For purposes of this Paragraph, the "running average annualized cost" shall be calculated monthly according to the following equation:

$$\frac{\left[\sum_{1}^{n} \operatorname{cost}_{n}\right]}{n} \quad x \quad 12$$

Where "n" = month number within the optimization and demonstration period

- v. By no later than May 15, 2011, MAP shall submit a written report ("NOx Reducing System Demonstration Report") to EPA that sets forth the results of the demonstration. In the NOx Reducing System Demonstration Report, MAP shall identify the relevant operating parameters and their levels that result in the maximum reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions for the Robinson FCCU. The NOx Reducing System Demonstration Report shall include, at a minimum, the following information on a daily average basis (unless otherwise noted below):
  - (a) CO Boiler combustion temperature and flue gas flow rate (estimated or measured);
  - (b) Coke burn rate in pounds per hour;
  - (c) FCCU feed rate in barrels per day;

- (d) FCCU feed API gravity;
- (e) Estimated percentage or directly measured percentage (if available) of each type of FCCU feed component (i.e. atmospheric gas oil, vacuum gas oil, atmospheric tower bottoms, vacuum tower bottoms, etc.);
- (f) Amount and type of hydrotreated feed (i.e. volume % of feed that is hydrotreated and the type of hydrotreated feed such as AGO, VGO, CGO, ATB, VTB, etc.);
- (g) FCCU feed nitrogen (on a weekly basis) and FCCU feed sulfur (on a daily basis) content, as a weight %;
- (h) CO boiler firing rate and fuel type;
- (i) Ozone addition rates, if applicable;
- (j) Quench system inlet and outlet temperature, if applicable;
- (k) CO boiler firing rate and fuel type;
- (l) Reductant addition rates and ammonia slip (ppm), if applicable;
- (m) Reductant carrier medium, if applicable;
- (n) Power usage and, if applicable, oxygen usage;
- (o) Hourly average NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations at the point of emission to the atmosphere by means of a CEMS;
- (p) If applicable, NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations at the inlet to the LoTOx System during the Optimization Study (a process analyzer calibrated in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations may be used);
- (q) Any other parameters that MAP identifies before the end of the optimization and/or demonstration period; and
- (r) Cost.

The NOx Reducing System Demonstration Report also shall include a detailed description, with appropriate calculations, of the times, if any, during the optimization and demonstration periods where MAP asserts that the conditions set forth in Paragraph 12.G.iv were met.

H. Accepting Hard Limits for NOx Emissions from the Robinson FCCU: MAP may notify EPA by no later than June 30, 2009, of MAP's agreement to comply with NO<sub>x</sub> emission limits of 20 ppmvd on a 365-day rolling average basis and 40 ppmvd on a 7-day rolling average basis, at 0% oxygen, effective on December 31, 2008, for the Robinson FCCU. If MAP makes

such a notification, Paragraph 12.G no longer shall apply after the date of the notification, and Paragraph 12.I. no longer shall apply.

# I. Establishing NOx Emission Limits for MAP's FCCUs:

- i. NOx emission limits for the Texas City FCCU are established pursuant to Paragraph 12.D.
- ii. For each FCCU where MAP is using NOx Additives, at any time prior to 60 days before the due date for the applicable Catalyst Additive Demonstration Report, MAP may propose to EPA for approval short-term (24-hour or 7-day rolling average) and long-term (365-day rolling average) NOx emission limits, at 0% oxygen, at that FCCU. If EPA approves such limits, MAP no longer is required comply with any outstanding requirements of Paragraphs 12.A. 12.C. after the date of EPA's approval.
- iii. The NOx emission limits from Catlettsburg FCCU No. 109 shall not be higher than 47.5 ppmvd (0% oxygen) on a 365-day rolling average basis, and may be lower depending upon the results of the limit-setting process in Subparagraph 12.I.v.
- iv. The NOx emission limits from the Robinson FCCU shall not be higher than 45 ppmvd (0% oxygen) on a 365-day rolling average basis, and may be lower depending upon the results of the limit-setting process in Subparagraph 12.I.v; provided however, that MAP may propose, and EPA may approve, alternative emissions limits to be applicable during alternative operating scenarios, including but not limited to outages of the Robinson FCCU CO Boiler. In order for MAP to propose, and EPA to consider, alternative short-term emissions limits during CO Boiler outages, during the demonstration period, MAP must: (a) undergo CO Boiler outages; (b) switch the operation of the Robinson FCCU to full-burn during the outages; (c) exclusively use Low NOx combustion promoter, instead of conventional combustion promoter, during the outages; and (d) to the extent the outage is a planned event, cease using platinum-based conventional combustion promoter and switch to Low NOx Combustion Promoter at least eight weeks prior to the scheduled outage.

- v. Except where Paragraphs 12.I.i. or 12.I.ii. apply, in each Catalyst Additive Report and in the Robinson FCCU NOx Reducing System Demonstration Report, MAP shall propose at least a short-term (24-hour or 7-day rolling average) and a long-term (365-day rolling average) NOx emission limit, each at 0% oxygen. MAP shall comply with the emission limits it proposes beginning immediately upon its submission to EPA of the applicable Catalyst Additive Demonstration Report and, for the Robinson FCCU, of the Robinson FCCU NOx Reducing System Demonstration Report. EPA shall establish a short-term (24-hour or 7-day rolling average) and a long-term (365-day rolling average) NOx emission limit, each at 0% oxygen, for all of MAP's FCCUs, except for the Texas City FCCU. EPA shall determine the limits based on the level of performance during the optimization and demonstration periods, a reasonable certainty of compliance, process variability, and all other available and relevant information. EPA shall notify MAP of its determination of concentration-based NOx emissions limits and averaging times for each unit. If EPA agrees with MAP's proposed limits, MAP shall continue to comply with these limits. If EPA proposes different limits that MAP does not dispute within thirty (30) days of receiving notification from EPA, MAP shall comply with the EPA-established limits by no later than thirty (30) days after notice. If MAP disputes the EPA-established limits, MAP shall invoke the dispute resolution provisions of this First Revised Consent Decree by no later than thirty (30) days after EPA's notice of the limits. During the period of dispute resolution, MAP shall operate the Robinson NOx Reducing System under optimized operating conditions and shall continue to add NO<sub>x</sub> Additives at the optimized rates.
- J. <u>Demonstrating Compliance with FCCU NOx Emission Limits</u>: MAP shall use a NOx CEMS to monitor performance and to report compliance with the terms and conditions of this First Revised Consent Decree at the following units, as soon as practicable but by no later than the following dates:
  - i. Catlettsburg Units 1 and 109 -- Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree;
  - ii. Robinson FCCU -- December 31, 2001;

- iii. Garyville FCCU -- December 31, 2001;
- iv. Canton FCCU -- December 31, 2001;
- v Detroit FCCU -- December 31, 2001;
- vi. St. Paul Park FCCU -- May 31, 2002; and
- vii. Texas City FCCU -- February 25, 2003.

MAP shall make all CEMS data available to EPA upon demand as soon as practicable. MAP shall install, certify, calibrate, maintain, and operate all CEMS required by this First Revised Consent Decree in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR §§ 60.11, 60.13 and Part 60 Appendix A, the applicable performance specification test of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendices B and F. With respect to 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendix F, in lieu of the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendix F §§ 5.1.1, 5.1.3 and 5.1.4, MAP shall conduct either a Relative Accuracy Audit ("RAA") or a Relative Accuracy Test Audit ("RATA") once every twelve (12) calendar quarters, provided that a Cylinder Gas Audit is conducted each calendar quarter. Where installed, CEMS shall be used to demonstrate compliance with emission limits established under this First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate all process analyzers required by this First Revised Consent Decree in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. The NOx CEMS sampling point on the Detroit FCCU previously was located on the FCCU regenerator flue gas line upstream of the heat recovery boiler but that heat recovery boiler has been shut down. Since the shut down, MAP has located the CEMS sampling point for the Detroit FCCU at the point of emission to the atmosphere in the FCCU regenerator stack. MAP shall retain that same location for the CEMS sampling point on the Detroit FCCU for the duration of this First Revised Consent Decree.

# K. CO Emissions Control:

i. For each refinery that implements a PAL for CO pursuant to Paragraph 26 of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall limit carbon monoxide ("CO") emissions from its FCCUs to 150 ppmvd on a 365-day rolling average at 0% O<sub>2</sub> and 250 ppmvd on a 24-hour rolling average at 0% O<sub>2</sub> in accordance with the following schedule:

- a. Catlettsburg Units 1 and 109 -- Date of application for the PAL;
- b. Robinson FCCU -- Date of application for the PAL;
- c. Garyville FCCU -- Date of application for the PAL;
- d. Canton FCCU -- Date of application for the PAL;
- e. St. Paul Park FCCU -- Date of application for the PAL;
- f. Detroit FCCU -- Date of application for the PAL; and
- g. Texas City FCCU -- Date of application for the PAL.
- ii. MAP shall install and operate CEMS pursuant to this Paragraph to monitor CO and to report compliance with the terms and conditions of this First Revised Consent Decree, as soon as practicable but by no later than the following dates:
  - a. Catlettsburg Units 1 and 109 -- Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree;
  - b. Robinson FCCU -- Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree;
  - c. Garyville FCCU -- Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree;
  - d. Canton FCCU -- December 31, 2001;
  - e. St. Paul Park FCCU -- May 31, 2002;
  - f. Detroit FCCU -- June 30, 2002; and
  - g. Texas City FCCU -- February 25, 2003.

Each CEMS shall be installed, certified, calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the applicable requirements of 40 C.F.R. §§ 60.11, 60.13 and Part 60 Appendix A, the applicable performance specification test of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendices B and F. In lieu of the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendix F §§ 5.1.1, 5.1.3 and 5.1.4, MAP shall conduct either a Relative Accuracy Audit ("RAA") or a Relative Accuracy Test Audit ("RATA") once every twelve (12) calendar quarters, provided that a Cylinder Gas Audit is conducted each calendar quarter. Where installed, CEMS will be used to demonstrate compliance with emission limits established under this First Revised Consent Decree. The CO CEMS sampling point on the Detroit FCCU previously was located on the FCCU regenerator flue gas line upstream of the

heat recovery boiler but that heat recovery boiler has been shut down. Since the shut down, MAP has located the CEMS sampling point for the Detroit FCCU at the point of emission to the atmosphere in the FCCU regenerator stack. MAP shall retain that same location for the CEMS sampling point on the Detroit FCCU for the duration of this First Revised Consent Decree.

#### L. Hydrotreater Outages:

The short-term FCCU NOx emission limits established pursuant to this Paragraph 12 shall not apply during periods of hydrotreater outages at the Canton, Detroit, St. Paul Park, Garyville and Catlettsburg Refineries, provided that MAP is maintaining and operating the FCCUs (including associated air pollution control equipment) at these Refineries in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions in accordance with an EPA-approved good air pollution control practices plan. By no later than thirty (30) days after the Date of Lodging of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall submit to EPA for its approval a plan to minimize NOx emissions from these five FCCUs (including associated air pollution control equipment) during hydrotreater outages. MAP shall comply with the plan at all times, including periods of start up, shut down, and malfunction of the hydrotreater.

implement a program to reduce NOx emissions from refinery heaters and boilers. Reductions will be accomplished through the installation of NOx controls on the controlled heaters and boilers, the shut down of certain units and the acceptance of lower permitted emission levels. Future compliance with the lower emission limits will be determined through source testing, the use of CEMS, and where installed, predictive emissions monitoring systems ("PEMS"), or monitoring of indicator parameters.

A. MAP shall install NOx emission control technology on certain specified heaters and boilers at the Covered Refineries. MAP shall select one or any combination of the following methods for control of NOx emissions from individual heaters or boilers controlled by MAP pursuant to Paragraph 13.B:

- i. SCR or SNCR;
- ii. Current Generation Ultra-Low NOx Burners or Next Generation Ultra-Low NOx Burners;
- iii. other technologies which MAP demonstrates to EPA's satisfaction will reduce NOx emissions to 0.040 lbs. per mmBTU or lower;
- iv. where installation of Current Generation Ultra-Low NOx Burners or Next Generation Ultra-Low NOx Burners is technologically infeasible for cylindrical heaters and/or boilers (to include, but necessarily be limited to, the Ultraformer Charge Heaters 3-F-1 and 3-F-2 at the Robinson Refinery), MAP may propose an alternate NOx single burner technology which MAP demonstrates to EPA's satisfaction will reduce NOx emissions to 0.055 lbs. per mmBTU or lower; or
- v. permanent shut down of heaters and boilers with surrender of all operating permits.

The heaters and boilers proposed for control by MAP shall be identified as required by this Paragraph 13.

B. On or before December 31, 2008, MAP shall complete a program to reduce the overall NOx emissions from the Controlled Heaters and Boilers at its Refineries in an amount greater than or equal to 3,866 tons per year from a prior actual to future allowable basis so as to satisfy the following inequality:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(E_{actual})_i - (E_{allowable})_i] \ge 3,866 \text{ tons of NOx per year}$$

Where:

(E<sub>allowable</sub>)<sub>i</sub> = [(The permitted allowable pounds of NOx per million BTU for heater or boiler i)/(2000 pounds per ton)] x [(the lower of permitted or maximum heat input rate capacity in million BTU per hour for heater or boiler i) x (the lower of 8760 or permitted hours per year)];

(E<sub>Actual</sub>)<sub>i</sub> = The tons of NOx per year prior actual emissions (unless prior actuals exceed allowable emissions, then use allowable) as shown in Appendix C for controlled heater or boiler i; and

The number of controlled heaters and boilers at all refineries applied towards satisfying the requirements of the equation set forth in this Paragraph 13 of this First Revised Consent Decree.

For purposes of this Subparagraph 13.B., MAP may use an emission limit as the "permitted allowable" used to calculate "E<sub>allowable</sub>" that applies to a common stack provided that all heaters and boilers which are tied into that stack are controlled by this First Revised Consent Decree. Provided further, however, that if such heaters and boilers which are tied into a common stack are not all controlled under this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP may use the permitted or maximum heat input rate capacity of the controlled heater(s), the common stack emission limit, and the controlled heater(s) baseline to calculate the emission reduction from the controlled heaters.

- C. Appendix C to this First Revised Consent Decree provides the following information for each of the heaters and boilers at each of the Covered Refineries:
  - i. the maximum heat input capacities and allowable heat input capacities in mmBTU/hr (HHV);
  - ii. the baseline actual emission rate for both calendar years 1999 and 2000 in lbs/mmBTU (HHV) and tons per year;
  - iii. the type of data used to derive the emission estimate (i.e. emission factor, stack test, or CEMS data) and the averaging period for the data used;
  - iv. the baseline utilization rate in annual average mmBTU/hr (HHV) for calendar years 1999 and 2000, or with respect to the Detroit Refinery and Robinson Refinery 1998 and 1999; and
  - v. MAP's initial identification of the heaters and boilers that are either already controlled and those that are likely to be controlled in accordance with Paragraph 13.A and 13.B.
- D. MAP shall submit a detailed NOx control plan ("Control Plan") to EPA for review and comment by no later than four months after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, with annual updates on March 31 of each year until termination of the First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall implement the Control Plan in accordance with the requirements of the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised Consent Decree. The Control Plan and its updates shall describe the progress of the NOx emissions reductions program for heaters and boilers and contain the following for each heater and boiler at each Covered Refinery:

- i. All of the information in Appendix C;
- ii. Identification of all heaters and boilers that MAP has controlled and plans to control to reduce NOx emissions;
- iii. Identification of the type of controls installed or planned with date installed or planned (including identification of the heaters and boilers to be permanently shutdown);
- iv. The allowable NOx emissions (in lbs/mmBTU (HHV), with averaging period) and allowable heat input rate (in mmBTU/hr (HHV)) obtained or planned with dates obtained or planned;
- v. The results of emissions tests and annual average CEMS data (in ppmvd at 3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/mmBTU, and tons per year) conducted pursuant to Paragraph 13.G;
- vi. The amount in tons per year applied or to be applied toward satisfying Paragraph 13.B; and
- vii. A description of the achieved and anticipated annual progress towards meeting the July 31, 2005 and December 31, 2008 emission reductions described on a refinery-by-refinery basis.
- E. MAP shall make two-thirds of the NOx emissions reductions required by Paragraph 13.B by July 31, 2005. MAP shall demonstrate that it has installed NOx controls on the Controlled Heaters and Boilers and obtained or applied for enforceable limits that will achieve the required reductions pursuant to Paragraph 13 and Paragraphs 24.A and 25 (Permitting) by certifying no later than September 30, 2005, that it has complied.
- F. By no later than December 31, 2008, Controlled Heaters and Boilers shall represent at least 30% of the allowable heat input capacity of all heaters and boilers greater than 40 mm/BTU at each Covered Refinery. The heater and boiler heat input capacity for each Covered Refinery shall be based on the allowable heat input capacity during the 1999-2000 baseline period.
- G. By the date of installation of controls on a heater and boiler, MAP shall monitor the process heaters and boilers that are being controlled to meet the requirements under Paragraph 13.B as follows:
  - i. For heaters and boilers with a capacity greater than 150 mmBTU/hr (HHV), install or continue to operate NOx CEMS;
  - ii. For heaters and boilers with a capacity of less than or equal to 150 mmBTU/hr (HHV) but greater than 100 mmBTU/hr (HHV), install or continue to operate a NOx CEMS, or install a parametric emission monitoring system ("PEMS"); and

iii. For heaters and boilers with a capacity of less than or equal to 100 mmBTU/hr (HHV) conduct an initial performance test and/or utilize a portable continuous analyzer. The results of this testing shall be reported based upon the average of three (3) one hour testing periods.

For purposes of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP may monitor from a common stack all emissions from Controlled Heaters and Boilers which are tied into that common stack. Monitoring and testing conducted by MAP under this Paragraph 13.G by the use of portable continuous analyzers, PEMS, or source testing shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements of Appendices E and F; provided however, that MAP shall not be required to install a NOx CEMS on any heater or boiler listed on Appendix C with a design firing rate of greater than 100 mmBTU/hr (HHV), if, after the installation of the control technology, the design firing rate drops below 100 mmBTU/hr (HHV). At the Garyville Refinery, MAP shall not be required to install a NOx CEMS on Crude Atmosphere Heaters 10-14-01 and 10-14-02 and HF Alky Iso-stripper Reboiler Heaters 27-14-01 and 27-14-02 until no later than December 31, 2002. At the Catlettsburg Refinery, MAP shall not be required to install a NOx CEMS on Crude Charge Heater #3 (both 2-23-B-3 and 2-23-B-4) and Saturates Gas Plant Heater 2-30-B-1 until November 1, 2004.

H.i. Within 180 days after installing the controls on a heater and boiler, MAP shall certify, calibrate, maintain, and operate all CEMS required by this Paragraph in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR §§ 60.11, 60.13 and Part 60 Appendix A, the applicable performance specification test of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendices B and F. With respect to 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Appendix F, in lieu of the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Appendix F §§ 5.1.1, 5.1.3 and 5.1.4, MAP shall conduct either a Relative Accuracy Audit ("RAA") or a Relative Accuracy Test Audit ("RATA") once every twelve (12) calendar quarters, provided that a Cylinder Gas Audit is conducted each calendar quarter. For the NOx CEMS located on the Crude Charge Heater 1-F-1 at the Robinson Refinery, consistent with the rationale of 40 C.F.R. § 60.105(a)(3)(iii), MAP shall use a relative accuracy limit of 4 ppm and a daily calibration drift action level of 1 ppm.

Where installed, CEMS will be used to demonstrate compliance with emission limits established under this First Revised Consent Decree.

- ii. For monitoring by means of a PEMS, MAP shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate all process analyzers required by this First Revised Consent Decree in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
- iii. For monitoring by means of performance tests, the results of the performance test shall be used to develop the representative operating parameters for each unit as well as indicators of compliance with the emission limit. The operating parameters shall include, at a minimum, combustion oxygen, air preheat temperature, and firebox temperature. MAP shall evaluate the necessity of using firebox or bridgewall temperatures and additional operating parameters and agrees to use such parameters as a means of monitoring performance where MAP and EPA mutually agree to the effectiveness of the parameters in predicting NOx emissions.
- I. The requirements of this Paragraph 13 do not exempt MAP from complying with any and all federal, state and local requirements that may require technology upgrades based on actions or activities occurring after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree.
- J. MAP shall retain all records required to support its reporting requirements under this Paragraph 13 until termination of the First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall submit such records to EPA upon request.
- K. If MAP proposes to transfer ownership of any Covered Refinery before the requirements of Paragraph 13 have been met, MAP shall notify EPA of that transfer and shall submit a proposed allocation to EPA for that Covered Refinery's share of tonnage reduction requirements of Paragraph 13 that will apply individually to that Covered Refinery after such transfer.
- L. <u>CO Emissions from Heaters and Boilers</u>: For each Covered Refinery seeking to implement a PAL for CO pursuant to Paragraph 26 of this First Revised Consent Decree, by the date of application to EPA for the PAL, MAP shall limit CO emissions from all heaters and boilers to 0.060 lb/mmBTU on a 24-hour rolling average basis and 0.040 lb/mmBTU on a

365-day rolling average basis. For each Covered Refinery that implements a PAL for CO pursuant to Paragraph 26 of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall monitor CO emissions to demonstrate compliance with this requirement as follows:

- i. For heaters and boilers with a capacity greater than 150 mmBTU/hr (HHV), install or continue to operate CO CEMs;
- ii. For heaters and boilers with a capacity of less than or equal to 150 mmBTU/hr (HHV) but greater than 100 mmBTU/hr (HHV), install or continue to operate a CO CEMS, or install a parametric emission monitoring system ("PEMS"); and
- iii. For heaters and boilers with a capacity of less than or equal to 100 mmBTU/hr (HHV) conduct an initial performance test and/or utilize a portable continuous analyzer. The results of this testing shall be reported based upon the average of three (3) one hour testing periods.
- 14. <u>SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Reductions from FCCUs</u>: MAP shall implement a program to reduce SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the FCCUs at the Covered Refineries by: installing and operating a new Wet Gas Scrubber at the Texas City FCCU; continuing to operate the existing Wet Gas Scrubbers at the Robinson and Garyville FCCUs; permanently shutting down Catlettsburg FCCU Unit 1; using SO2 Adsorbing Catalyst Additive and continued hydrotreatment at Catlettsburg FCCU Unit 109 and at the Detroit FCCU; and using SO<sub>2</sub> Adsorbing Catalyst Additive at the Canton FCCU and the St. Paul Park FCCU. MAP shall incorporate lower SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits into operating permits and demonstrate future compliance with the lower emission limits through the use of CEMS.

## A. SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Limits at the Texas City FCCU:

- i. By no later than March 31, 2003, MAP shall complete installation and begin operation of a Wet Gas Scrubber ("WGS") to control emissions from the Texas City FCCU. MAP shall design and operate the WGS to achieve an SO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 25 ppmvd or lower on a 365-day rolling average basis and 50 ppmvd on a 7-day rolling average basis, each at 0% oxygen.
- ii. By no later than March 1, 2005, and continuing thereafter, MAP shall comply with an SO<sub>2</sub> concentration limit at the Texas City FCCU of 20 ppmvd on a 365-day rolling average basis, at 0% oxygen. That emission limit already has been incorporated into Texas City's Title V permit.

- B. <u>SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Limits at the Robinson FCCU</u>: By no later than the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall operate its Robinson FCCU so that SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from this unit do not exceed 25 ppmvd based on a 365-day rolling average and 50 ppmvd based on a 7-day rolling average, each at 0% oxygen.
- C. <u>SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Limits at the Garyville FCCU</u>: By no later than the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall operate its Garyville FCCU so that SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from this unit do not exceed 25 ppmvd based on a 365-day rolling average and 50 ppmvd based on a 7-day rolling average, each at 0% oxygen. MAP shall demonstrate compliance pursuant to its State-approved PEMS, until such time as it has installed and certified its CEMS pursuant to Paragraph 14.H of the August 2001 Consent Decree.

## D. SO<sub>2</sub> Emission Limits for Catlettsburg FCCUs No. 1 and No. 109:

- i. <u>Unit No. 1</u>: By no later than April 30, 2004, MAP shall permanently shut down FCCU No. 1.
- ii. <u>Unit No. 109</u>: By no later than March 31, 2004, MAP shall convert Unit No. 109 to a fluid catalytic cracking unit and achieve an SO2 emission limit of 25 ppmvd or lower on a 365-day rolling average basis and 50 ppmvd on a 7-day rolling average basis, each at 0 % oxygen.
- E. Application of SO<sub>2</sub> Adsorbing Catalyst Additives at the Detroit, Canton and St. Paul Park FCCUs: MAP began to add SO<sub>2</sub> Adsorbing Catalyst Additives to determine the optimized additive addition rates for SO<sub>2</sub> Adsorbing Catalyst Additives at the Detroit FCCU on April 1, 2002, and at the St. Paul Park FCCU on January 27, 2003. Prior to March 30, 2002, MAP already was adding SO<sub>2</sub> Adsorbing Catalyst Additives at the Canton FCCU at a rate greater than 10% and accepted an additive addition rate of 10% (the maximum rate required under the August 2001 Consent Decree) without undertaking an optimization study.
- ii. **SO2 Adsorbing Catalyst Additives Optimized Addition Rate**: EPA approved the following brands of SO2 Adsorbing Catalyst Additives and the following optimized addition rates:

FCCU	Percentage	<u>Lb/Day</u>	Brand
Canton	10%	350 lb/day	SuperSOxGetter <sup>TM</sup>
Detroit	7.5%	164 lb/day	SuperSOxGetter <sup>TM</sup>
St. Paul Park	7.5%	280 lb/day	SOxGetter <sup>TM</sup>

At its option, MAP may elect to use SuperSOxGetter<sup>™</sup> at the St. Paul Park FCCU at a rate of 165 pounds per day during the demonstration period in Paragraph 14.F.

F. <u>SO2 Adsorbing Catalyst Additives Demonstration</u>: MAP commenced demonstrations of the SO2 Adsorbing Catalyst Additives at the optimized rates set forth in Paragraph 14.E.ii at the Canton FCCU on December 24, 2004, at the Detroit FCCU on January 12, 2004, and at the St. Paul Park FCCU on January 29, 2004.

## G. SO2 Emission Limits for the Canton, Detroit, and St. Paul Park FCCUs:

i. By no later than September 15, 2005, for the Canton FCCU, and June 30, 2006, for St. Paul Park and Detroit FCCUs, MAP shall comply with the following SO2 emission limits:

<u>FCCU</u>	7-day rolling average limit (0% oxygen)	365-day rolling average limit (0% oxygen)
Canton	100 ppmvd	50 ppmvd
Detroit	70 ppmvd	35 ppmvd
St. Paul Park	100 ppmvd	50 ppmvd

- ii. At all times between the commencement of the demonstration periods identified in Paragraph 14.F and the dates in Paragraph 14.G.i., MAP shall add the SO2 Adsorbing Catalyst Additives identified in Paragraph 14.E.ii. at the optimized addition rates identified therein.
- H. Monitoring Emissions and Demonstrating Compliance with FCCU Emission

  Limits: MAP shall use an SO<sub>2</sub> CEMS to measure SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and to report compliance with the terms and conditions of this First Revised Consent Decree at the following FCCUs by the dates specified:
  - i. Robinson FCCU Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree
  - ii. Catlettsburg Unit No.109 Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree
  - iii. Catlettsburg Unit No. 1 Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree
  - iv. Garyville FCCU -- December 31, 2001;

- v. Texas City FCCU -- February 25, 2003;
- vi. Canton FCCU December 31, 2001;
- vii. St. Paul Park FCCU May 31, 2002;
- viii. Detroit FCCU December 31, 2001;

All CEMS data collected by MAP shall be made available to EPA upon demand as soon as practicable. The SO<sub>2</sub> CEMS sampling point on the Detroit FCCU previously was located on the FCCU regenerator flue gas line upstream of the heat recovery boiler but that heat recovery boiler has been shut down. Since the shut down, MAP has located the CEMS sampling point for the Detroit FCCU at the point of emission to the atmosphere in the FCCU regenerator stack. MAP shall retain that same location for the CEMS sampling point on the Detroit FCCU for the duration of this First Revised Consent Decree.

I. <u>CEMS</u>: All CEMS installed and operated pursuant to this Paragraph will be installed, certified, calibrated, maintained, and operated in accordance with the applicable requirements of 40 C.F.R. §§ 60.11, 60.13 and 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendix A, the applicable performance specification test of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendices A and B. In lieu of the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendix F §§ 5.1.1, 5.1.3 and 5.1.4, MAP shall conduct either a Relative Accuracy Audit ("RAA") or a Relative Accuracy Test Audit ("RATA") once every twelve (12) calendar quarters, provided that a Cylinder Gas Audit is conducted each calendar quarter. Where installed, CEMS will be used to demonstrate compliance with emission limits established under this First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate all process analyzers required by this First Revised Consent Decree in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.

### J. Hydrotreater Outages:

The 7-day FCCU SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits established pursuant to this Paragraph 14 shall not apply during periods of hydrotreater outages at Canton, Detroit, St. Paul Park, and Catlettsburg Refineries, provided that MAP is maintaining and operating the FCCUs (including associated air pollution control equipment) at these Refineries in a manner consistent with good air pollution

control practices for minimizing emissions in accordance with an EPA-approved good air pollution control practices plan. By no later than thirty (30) days from the Date of Lodging of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall submit to EPA for its approval a plan to minimize  $SO_2$  emissions from the Canton, Detroit, St. Paul Park, and Catlettsburg FCCUs (including associated air pollution control equipment) during hydrotreater outages. MAP shall comply with the plan at all times, including periods of start up, shut down, and malfunction of the hydrotreater.

- 15. <u>SO<sub>2</sub> and PM Emissions Reductions from Heaters and Boilers</u>: MAP shall undertake the following measures to reduce SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from refinery heaters and boilers by eliminating or minimizing the burning of fuel oil and restricting H<sub>2</sub>S in refinery fuel gas as follows:
- A. <u>Elimination/Reduction of Oil Burning</u>: Except as provided in Appendix G, upon the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall discontinue burning Fuel Oil in all heaters and boilers at the Covered Refineries. This prohibition shall not apply during periods of natural gas curtailment by suppliers.

### B. NSPS Applicability To Heaters and Boilers:

- i. Upon the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall accept NSPS Subpart J applicability for all heaters and boilers, except where an alternate schedule for NSPS Subpart J compliance is set forth in Appendix H.
- ii. The #4 and #5 Topper Crude Charge Heaters at MAP's Texas City Refinery shall not be subject to the emissions limitations set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(1) for all periods between March 1, 2005, and February 28, 2006, in which the Valero refinery in Texas City, Texas, fails to supply MAP with fresh amine for the reduction of the hydrogen sulfide concentration in MAP's Texas City refinery fuel gas, provided that MAP complies with the following requirements: (1) during all such periods, MAP shall exercise good air pollution control practices to minimize emissions of sulfur dioxide; (2) to the extent commercially available and logistically feasible, MAP shall purchase low sulfur gas oil for processing in the

Texas City FCCU; (3) MAP shall engage in communications and dialogue with Valero in an effort to secure more consistent amine regeneration services from Valero at Valero's Texas City refinery; (4) MAP shall comply with the plantwide annual sulfur dioxide emissions limitations set forth in Paragraph 26.L. and (5) by no later than March 15, 2006, MAP shall submit a report to EPA setting forth the amount of sulfur dioxide emitted from the #4 and #5 Topper Crude Charge Heaters for the period between March 1, 2005, and February 28, 2006, including the calculations that were used to determine the emissions estimate.

- C. <u>Permitting</u>: MAP shall apply to incorporate into the relevant permits the NSPS Subpart J limits for hydrogen sulfide ("H<sub>2</sub>S") content of fuel gas or SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, where appropriate, for each heater and boiler as set forth in this Section.
- D. <u>Annual Report:</u> In each semi-annual report due under Section VIII on January 31 of each year, MAP shall include a provision certifying its compliance with this Paragraph 15. The provision shall include, at a minimum, the amounts and sulfur content of Fuel Oil burned in any refinery heater and boiler and the status of NSPS Subpart J compliance for each heater and boiler.
- E. <u>PM Emissions from Heaters and Boilers</u>: For each refinery that implements a PAL for PM pursuant to Paragraph 26 of this First Revised Consent Decree, by the date of application to EPA for the PAL, MAP shall limit PM emissions from each heater and boiler to 0.005 lb/mmBTU(HHV) on a 365-day rolling average and 0.010 lb/mmBTU(HHV) on a 24-hour rolling average.
- F. <u>PM Monitoring -- Heaters and Boilers</u>: MAP shall demonstrate compliance with the emissions limits set forth in Paragraph 15.E by application of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendix A, Method 5, if requested by EPA or the States.
- G. Additional SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Limits for Heaters and Boilers in a PAL: For each Covered Refinery seeking to implement a PAL for SO<sub>2</sub> pursuant to Paragraph 26, by the date of application to EPA for the PAL, MAP shall limit SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from all heaters and boilers that burn fuel gas only to 0.040 lb SO<sub>2</sub>/mmBTU (HHV) or 125 ppmvd H<sub>2</sub>S in fuel gas each on a

365-day rolling average basis. For purposes of determining an equivalent lb SO<sub>2</sub>/mmBTU if the 125 ppmvd H<sub>2</sub>S option is selected for a heater or boiler, for use as the permitted concentration in Section II.B. and daily concentration in section III.A.3. of Appendix P, the following equation shall be used:

 $SO_2$  emission rate in  $lb/mmBTU = [H_2S \text{ concentration ppmvd/1,000,000}] \times [1/(379 \text{ dscf/lb-mole})] \times 34 \text{ lb/lb-mole} \times (64 \text{ lb/lb-mole/34 lb/lb-mole}) / [fuel gas higher heating value (mmBTU/dscf)]}$ 

For each Covered Refinery that implements a PAL for SO<sub>2</sub> pursuant to Paragraph 26, MAP shall monitor SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and calculate a daily SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate by measuring the H<sub>2</sub>S content of the fuel gas to demonstrate compliance with the 0.040 lb SO<sub>2</sub>/mmBTU requirement as follows:

Calendar daily average  $SO_2$  emission rate in lb/mmBTU = [calendar daily average  $H_2S$  concentration ppmvd/1,000,000] x [1/(379 dscf/lb-mole)] x 34 lb/lb-mole x (64 lb/lb-mole/34 lb/lb-mole) / [calendar daily average fuel gas higher heating value (mmBTU/dscf)]

The 365-day rolling average shall be calculated on a daily basis for each heater and boiler by summing the calendar daily average SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate in pounds per mmBTU for the prior 365 days and then dividing by 365.

### 16. NSPS Applicability and Particulate Matter Emissions -- FCCU Controls:

A. <u>NSPS Applicability</u>: MAP's FCCU Regenerators shall be affected facilities subject to the requirements of NSPS Subpart A and J for each relevant pollutant by the dates specified in Appendix I.

### B. Particulate Matter Emissions

i. <u>Canton</u>: MAP shall reduce PM emissions at the Canton FCCU to 0.9 pound per 1000 pounds of coke burned on a 3-hour rolling average basis. MAP shall achieve these reductions through the installation of a third-stage separator. MAP shall meet this limit by no later than April 30, 2004.

- ii. **St. Paul Park:** MAP shall reduce PM emissions at the St. Paul Park FCCU to 0.9 pounds per 1000 pounds of coke burned on a 3-hour rolling average basis. MAP shall achieve these reductions through installation of a third stage separator. MAP shall meet this limit by no later than December 31, 2007.
- iii. **Detroit:** MAP shall reduce PM emissions at the Detroit FCCU to 1 pound per 1000 pounds of coke burned on a 3-hour rolling average basis. MAP shall achieve these reductions through installation of an electrostatic precipitator. MAP shall meet this limit by no later than December 31, 2004.
- iv. <u>Texas City</u>: MAP shall reduce PM emissions at the Texas City FCCU to 1 pound per 1000 pounds of coke burned on a 3-hour rolling average basis. MAP shall achieve these reductions through installation of a wet gas scrubber by no later than March 31, 2003.
- v. <u>Robinson & Garyville</u>: On the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall comply with an emissions limit of 1 pound per 1000 pounds of coke burned on a 3-hour rolling average basis for PM emissions at the Robinson and Garyville FCCUs.
- vi. <u>Catlettsburg</u>: MAP shall reduce PM emissions at the FCCU Nos. 1 and 109 to 1 pound per 1000 pounds of coke burned on a 3-hour rolling average basis. MAP shall achieve these reductions through installation of an electrostatic precipitator or baghouse. MAP shall meet this limit by no later than June 30, 2004.
- C. <u>Additional PM Limits</u>: For each Covered Refinery that implements a PAL for PM pursuant to Paragraph 26 of this First Revised Consent Decree, by the date of application to EPA for the PAL, MAP shall limit PM emissions from each FCCU to 0.5 pounds per 1000 pounds of coke burned on a 365-day rolling average basis and 1 pounds per 1000 pounds of coke burned on a 3-hour rolling average basis.

# D. PM Monitoring -- FCCU:

i. MAP shall install and operate either a continuous opacity monitoring system or an EPA-approved alternative monitoring plan to monitor PM emissions on each FCCU at each

Covered Refinery. MAP shall demonstrate compliance with the emissions limits set forth in Paragraph 16.C by application of 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Appendix A, Method 5, 5B, or 5F.

ii. <u>Canton PM CEMS Study</u>: MAP shall comply with the work requirements and schedule regarding a CEMS study at the Canton Refinery as set forth in Appendix Q. The United States agrees that MAP's agreement to perform the obligations set forth in Appendix Q relieves MAP of any responsibility to undertake or complete the study required in Appendix C of a consent decree entered in 1999 in the matter of <u>United States v. Ashland Inc.</u>, Civil Action No. 98-157 (E.D. Ky).

### 17. <u>Hydrocarbon Flaring/NSPS Applicability -- Flares</u>:

### A. Hydrocarbon Flaring

- i. NSPS Applicability: All Hydrocarbon Flaring Devices identified in Appendix A are subject to NSPS Subpart J as fuel gas combustion devices and are used as emergency control devices for quick and safe release of gases generated by a Malfunction, Startup and Shutdown.
- ii. <u>Good Air Pollution Control Practices</u>: MAP shall comply with the NSPS obligation to implement good air pollution control practices as required by 40 C.F.R. § 60.11(d) to minimize flaring activity.
- iii. Hydrocarbon Flaring: For Hydrocarbon Flaring Incidents, MAP shall follow the investigative and corrective action procedures described in Paragraphs 22.A and 22.B of this First Revised Consent Decree for AG Flaring. Stipulated penalties under either Paragraphs 22.C or 48.A shall not apply to Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident(s). Hydrocarbon Flaring Incidents will be reported in the semi-annual reports due under Paragraph 33, rather than on an incident-by-incident basis. Reports on Hydrocarbon Flaring Incidents which occur within the last forty-five days of a semi-annual period may be included in the next semi-annual report submitted under Paragraph 33. Follow-up reports describing completion of corrective actions that were identified in a prior report of a Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident may be reported in the semi-annual report covering the period in which the corrective action was completed. In lieu of analyzing possible corrective actions under Paragraph 22.A.i.e and taking interim and/or long-term

corrective action under Paragraph 22.B.i for a Hydrocarbon Flaring Incident attributable to the start up or shut down of a unit that MAP has previously analyzed, MAP may identify such prior analysis when submitting the report required under this Paragraph.

B. NSPS Compliance Schedule - 40 CFR 60.104(a)(1): To comply with applicable NSPS requirements for the combustion of certain, routinely generated refinery fuel gases at the Flaring Devices identified in Appendix J, MAP will either monitor these streams or take action to eliminate their routes to a Flaring Device by the dates specified in Appendix J. The combustion of gases generated by the Startup, Shutdown or Malfunction of a refinery process unit or released to a Flaring Device as a result of relief valve leakage or other emergency Malfunction shall be exempt from the requirement to comply with 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(1). For each of the routinely or continuously generated refinery fuel gas stream combusted in a Flaring Device identified in Appendix A, MAP shall monitor and report on the emissions with continuous emission monitors as required by 40 C.F.R. § 60.105(a)(4) or with a parametric monitoring system approved as an alternative monitoring system under 40 C.F.R. § 60.13(i).

# 18. Benzene Waste NESHAP Program Enhancements:

In addition to continuing to comply with all applicable requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart FF ("Benzene Waste NESHAP" or "Subpart FF"), MAP agrees to undertake, at each of the Covered Refineries, the measures set forth in Paragraphs 18.B through 18.Q to ensure continuing compliance with Subpart FF and to minimize or eliminate fugitive benzene waste emissions.

- A. <u>Compliance Status on Date of Lodging of August 2001 Consent Decree.</u> MAP shall comply with the compliance options specified below:
- i. On the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP's Garyville Refinery shall comply with the compliance option set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(c), utilizing the exemptions set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(c)(2) and (c)(3)(ii) (hereinafter referred to as the "2 Mg compliance option");

- ii. On the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP's Canton Refinery, Catlettsburg Refinery, and Texas City Refinery shall comply with the compliance option set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(e) (herein referred to as the "6BQ compliance option");
- iii. By no later than December 31, 2003, MAP's Detroit Refinery completed implementation of all actions necessary to ensure compliance with the 6BQ compliance option, consistent with the provisions of Paragraph 19.A of this First Revised Consent Decree;
- iv. By no later than December 31, 2002, MAP's Robinson Refinery completed implementation of all actions necessary to ensure compliance with the 6BQ compliance option, consistent with the provisions of a consent decree entered in an action styled <u>United States v. Marathon Oil Co., et al.</u>, Civil Action No. 99-4023-JPG (S.D. Ill) ("<u>Marathon/Robinson Benzene NESHAP Civil Action"</u>);
- v. On or before April 30, 2001, MAP reported that it had a TAB of less than 10 Mg/yr at its St. Paul Park Refinery, in accordance with Subpart FF.

# B. Refinery Compliance Status Changes.

- i. Commencing on the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree and continuing through termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall not change the compliance status of any Covered Refinery from the 6BQ compliance option to the 2 Mg compliance option. If at any time from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree through its termination, the St. Paul Park Refinery is determined to have a TAB equal to or greater than 10 Mg/yr, MAP shall not utilize the 2 Mg compliance option. MAP shall consult with EPA and the appropriate state agency before making any change in compliance strategy not expressly prohibited by this Paragraph 18.B. All changes must be undertaken in accordance with the regulatory provisions of the Benzene Waste NESHAP.
- ii. In accordance with the requirements of Paragraph 18.D.ii, MAP shall install controls at the St. Paul Park Refinery necessary to meet the requirements of the 6BQ compliance option as soon as practicable but no later than September 30, 2007.

- C. One-Time Review and Verification of Each Refinery's TAB and, as applicable,

  Each Refinery's Compliance with the 2 Mg or 6 BQ Compliance Options.
- i. <u>Detroit and Robinson Refineries</u>: By no later July 30, 2001, MAP's Detroit Refinery shall have completed a review and verification of the Detroit Refinery's TAB. MAP's Robinson Refinery already has completed a review and verification of its TAB. Consistent with the agreements set forth in Paragraph 19.A of this First Revised Consent Decree and in the consent decree entered into in the <u>Marathon/Robinson</u> Benzene NESHAP Civil Action, MAP shall implement all actions necessary to ensure compliance with the 6BQ compliance option at its Detroit and Robinson Refineries. The provisions of Paragraphs 18.C.ii, 18.C.iii, and 18.D. shall not apply to the Detroit and Robinson Refineries.
- ii. All Refineries Except Detroit and Robinson: Phase One of the Review and Verification Process. By no later than 270 days from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall complete a review and verification of each Refinery's TAB, and, except for St. Paul Park, each Refinery's compliance with the 2 Mg or 6BQ compliance option, as applicable. For each Refinery, MAP's review and verification process shall include, but not be limited to: (i) an identification of each waste stream that is required to be included in the Refinery's TAB (e.g., slop oil, tank water draws, spent caustic, desalter rag layer dumps, desalter vessel process sampling points, other sample wastes, maintenance wastes, and turnaround wastes); (ii) a review and identification of the calculations and/or measurements used to determine the flows of each waste stream for the purpose of ensuring the accuracy of the annual waste quantity for each waste stream; (iii) an identification of the benzene concentration in each waste stream, including sampling for benzene concentration at no less than 10 waste streams per Refinery consistent with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.355(c)(1) and (3); provided however, that previous analytical data or documented knowledge of waste streams may be used, 40 C.F.R. § 61.355(c)(2), for streams not sampled; and (iv) an identification of whether or not the stream is controlled consistent with the requirements of Subpart FF. By no later than thirty (30) days following the completion of Phase One of the review and verification process, MAP

shall submit a Benzene Waste NESHAP Compliance Review and Verification report ("BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report") that sets forth the results of Phase One, including but not limited to the items identified in (i) through (iv) of this Paragraph 18.C.ii. At its option, MAP may submit one BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report that includes the results of all Refineries or may submit five separate BWN Compliance Review and Verification Reports.

Verification Process. Based on EPA's review of the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report(s), EPA may select up to 20 additional waste streams at each Refinery for sampling for benzene concentration. MAP will conduct the required sampling and submit the results to EPA within ninety (90) days of receipt of EPA's request. MAP will use the results of this additional sampling to recalculate the TAB and the uncontrolled benzene quantity and to amend the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report, as needed. To the extent that EPA requires MAP to re-sample a Phase One waste stream as part of this Phase Two review, MAP may average the results of the two sampling events. MAP shall submit an amended BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report within ninety (90) days following the date of the completion of the required Phase Two sampling, if Phase Two sampling is required by EPA.

# D. <u>All Refineries Except Robinson and Detroit: Implementation of Actions Necessary to Correct Non-Compliance</u>.

i. Amended TAB Reports. If the results of the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report(s) indicate(s) that the Refinery's most recently-filed TAB report does not satisfy the requirements of Subpart FF, MAP shall submit, by no later than sixty (60) days after completion of the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report(s), an amended TAB report to the appropriate state agency. MAP's BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report(s) shall be deemed an amended TAB report for purposes of Subpart FF reporting to EPA.

- ii. St. Paul Park Refinery.
- a. MAP undertook a BWN Compliance Review and Verification process at the St. Paul Park Refinery in accordance with the requirements of Paragraph 18.C of the August 2001 Consent Decree. This review and verification process resulted in finding a TAB of under 10 Mg/yr. Therefore, MAP was not required to submit a compliance plan and strategy pursuant to Paragraph 18.D.ii of the August 2001 Consent Decree for the St. Paul Park Refinery.
- b. Based on the results of sampling undertaken in 2004 and 2005 pursuant to Paragraph 18.M of the August 2001 Consent Decree, the United States, Minnesota, and MAP agree that by no later than September 30, 2005, MAP shall submit to EPA, to Region 5 of EPA, and to the Minnesota Pollution Control Authority, a plan that identifies with specificity the compliance strategy and schedule that MAP will implement to ensure that the St. Paul Park Refinery installs the controls necessary to comply with the 6BQ compliance option as soon as practicable, but not later than September 30, 2007. This plan shall include MAP's adoption of all of the requirements of this Paragraph 18 that apply to a refinery covered under the 6BQ compliance option; provided however, that if the St. Paul Park Refinery already has adopted some of these requirements, MAP shall assert that it will continue to comply with those requirements. In its compliance strategy and schedule, MAP shall evaluate the feasibility of accelerating the installation of Benzene Waste NESHAP controls on waste management units at the St. Paul Park Refinery that are or may be significant sources of benzene emissions but currently have limited or no controls.
- c. By no later than the Date of Lodging of this First Revised Consent Decree and continuing until the St. Paul Park Refinery complies with the 6BQ compliance option, MAP shall continue to undertake the following measures to monitor and/or minimize benzene emissions from the St. Paul Park Refinery: (i) sample the benzene concentration in the desalter effluent water twice a month; (ii) optimize desalter operations to minimize benzene concentrations in the desalter effluent water while protecting downstream process equipment; (iii) maintain

compliance with 40 C.F.R. Subpart QQQ at the Refinery's oily-water sewer system; and (iv) refuse to accept for processing condensate crude.

- d. By no later than September 30, 2005, MAP shall undertake the following measures to monitor and/or minimize benzene emissions from the St. Paul Park Refinery: (i) comply with the requirements of the 6BQ compliance option for the Refinery's organic waste streams; (ii) control and monitor Tank 117 in accordance with the requirements of the Benzene Waste NESHAP; and (iii) control and monitor the vac trucks in Benzene Waste NESHAP service at the Refinery in accordance with the requirements of the Benzene Waste NESHAP.
- e. Commencing with the third calendar quarter in 2005 and continuing until MAP complies with the 6BQ compliance option at the St. Paul Park Refinery, MAP shall submit to EPA Region 5 and the MPCA by no later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, the results of all benzene sampling during the prior calendar quarter at the Refinery.
- iii. Canton, Catlettsburg, Garyville and Texas City Refineries. If the results of the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report(s) indicate that MAP is not in compliance with the 6BQ compliance option at the Canton, Catlettsburg and/or Texas City Refineries, or the 2 Mg compliance option at the Garyville Refinery, then, for each such Refinery not in compliance, MAP shall submit to EPA, to the appropriate EPA Region, and to the appropriate state agency, by no later than sixty (60) days after completion of the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report(s), a plan that identifies with specificity the compliance strategy and schedule that MAP will implement to ensure that the subject Refinery complies with its applicable compliance option as soon as practicable.
- iv. Review and Approval of Plans Submitted Pursuant to Paragraphs 18.D.ii and 18.D.iii. Any plans submitted pursuant to Paragraphs 18.D.ii and 18.D.iii shall be subject to the approval of, disapproval of, or modification by EPA, which shall act in consultation with the appropriate state agency. Within sixty (60) days after receiving any notification of disapproval or request for modification from EPA, MAP shall submit to EPA and the appropriate state agency a revised plan that responds to all identified deficiencies. Upon receipt of approval or approval with

conditions, MAP shall implement the plan. Disputes arising under this Paragraph 18.D.iv. shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of this Decree.

- v. Certification of Compliance with the 2 Mg or 6 BQ Compliance Option, as

  Applicable. By no later than thirty (30) days after completion of the implementation of all actions, if any, required pursuant to Paragraphs 18.D.ii and 18.D.iii to come into compliance with the applicable compliance option, MAP shall submit a report to EPA that, as to each Refinery, the Refinery complies with the Benzene Waste NESHAP.
- E. <u>Carbon Canisters</u>: MAP shall comply with the requirements of this Paragraph 18.E at all locations at MAP's Refineries where a carbon canister(s) is utilized as a control device under the Benzene Waste NESHAP.
- i. Except for the Detroit and Robinson Refineries, by no later than 270 days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall complete installation of primary and secondary carbon canisters and operate them in series. For the Detroit and Robinson Refineries, MAP shall complete installation of primary and secondary carbon canisters and operate them in series by no later than such time as MAP completes installation and start-up of the equipment necessary to ensure compliance with the 6 BQ option at those Refineries. By no later than thirty (30) days following completion of the installation of the dual canisters, MAP shall submit a report certifying the completion of the installation. The report shall include a list of all locations within each Refinery where secondary carbon canisters were installed, the installation date of each secondary canister, and the date that each secondary canister was put into operation. From the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall not use single carbon canisters for any new units or installations that require control pursuant to the Benzene Waste NESHAP at any of its Refineries. For dual carbon canister systems, "breakthrough" between the primary and secondary canister is defined as any reading equal to or greater than 200 ppm volatile organic compounds ("VOC") or 5 ppm benzene. If, however, EPA determines, in consultation with MAP, that the results of the study in Paragraph 18.O.ii demonstrate that a concentration of less

than 200 ppm VOCs or 5 ppm benzene is a more appropriate measure of breakthrough, then, for purposes of this Paragraph 18.E.i., "breakthrough" shall be re-defined consistent with EPA's determination.

- ii. By no later than the later of (a) seven (7) days after the installation of each secondary carbon canister; or (b) when MAP, using its best efforts, first detects that there is actual flow to the primary and secondary canister, MAP shall start to monitor for breakthrough between the primary and secondary carbon canister at times when there is actual flow to the carbon canister, in accordance with the frequency specified in 40 C.F.R. § 61.354(d).
- iii. MAP shall replace the original primary carbon canisters with fresh carbon canisters immediately when breakthrough is detected. The original secondary carbon canister will become the new primary carbon canister and the fresh carbon canister will become the secondary canister unless MAP chooses to replace both the primary and the secondary canister when breakthrough is detected. For this Paragraph 18.E.iii., "immediately" shall mean within twenty-four (24) hours.
  - iv. MAP shall maintain a supply of fresh carbon canisters at each Refinery at all times.
- v. Records for the requirements of Paragraph 18.E. shall be maintained in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 61.356(j)(10).
- F. <u>Annual Program</u>. MAP shall establish an annual program of reviewing process information for each Refinery, including but not limited to construction projects, to ensure that all new benzene waste streams are included in each Refinery's waste stream inventory.
- G. <u>Laboratory Audits</u>. MAP shall conduct audits of all laboratories that perform analyses of MAP's benzene waste NESHAP samples to ensure that proper analytical and quality assurance/quality control procedures are followed.
- i. By no later than 180 days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall conduct audits of the laboratories used by two (2) of its Refineries. MAP shall complete audits of the laboratories used by the remaining MAP Refineries within twelve

- (12) months of the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree. In addition, MAP shall audit any new laboratory used for analyses of benzene samples prior to use of the new laboratory.
- ii. If MAP has completed audits of any laboratory in the one year period prior to the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, additional audits of those laboratories pursuant to Paragraph 18.G.i. shall not be required.
- iii. During the life of the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall conduct subsequent laboratory audits, such that each laboratory is audited every two (2) years.
- iv. MAP may rely upon an audit conducted by another refiner that has a consent decree with the United States which contains Benzene Waste NESHAP laboratory audit requirements that are substantially similar to the requirements of this Paragraph 18.G provided that the audit is not more than two years old. If MAP relies upon an audit conducted by another refiner, MAP must first ensure that the laboratory has corrected any adverse findings in such audit.
- H. <u>Benzene Spills</u>. For each spill at each Refinery, MAP shall review such spills to determine if benzene waste was generated. MAP shall include benzene generated by such spills in the TAB and the uncontrolled benzene quantity calculations for each Refinery.

### I. Training.

- i. By no later than ninety (90) days from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall develop and begin implementation of annual (i.e., once each calendar year) training for all employees asked to draw benzene waste samples.
- ii. Canton, Catlettsburg, Garyville, and Texas City Refineries: For the Canton,
  Catlettsburg, Garyville, and Texas City Refineries, by no later than 180 days from the Date of
  Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall complete the development of standard
  operating procedures for all control equipment used to comply with the Benzene Waste
  NESHAP. By no later than 270 days thereafter, MAP shall complete an initial training program
  regarding these procedures for all operators assigned to this equipment. Comparable training
  shall also be provided to any persons who subsequently become operators, prior to their

assumption of this duty. Until termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, "refresher" training in these procedures shall be performed on a three year cycle.

- iii. <u>Detroit and Robinson Refineries</u>: The Robinson Refinery shall comply with the provisions of Paragraph 18.I.ii; provided however, that the development of the standard operating procedures and the initial training shall be completed by no later than December 31, 2002. The Detroit Refinery shall comply with the provisions of Paragraph 18.I.ii; provided however, that the development of the standard operating procedures and the initial training shall be completed by no later than June 30, 2003.
- iv. St. Paul Park Refinery: By no later than 90 days after the installation of the controls necessary to comply with the 6BQ compliance option, the St. Paul Park Refinery shall comply with the provisions of Paragraph 18.I.ii.
- v. As part of MAP's training program, MAP must ensure that the employees of any contractors hired to perform the requirements of this Paragraph are properly trained to implement all provisions of this Paragraph at their respective Refineries.

### J. Waste/Slop/Off-Spec Oil Management.

i. By no later than ninety (90) days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall submit to EPA, for each of MAP's Refineries, schematics that: (a) depict the waste management units (including sewers) that handle, store, and transfer waste/slop/off-spec oil streams; (b) identify the control status of each waste management unit; and (c) show how such oil is transferred within the Refinery. Representatives from MAP and EPA thereafter shall confer about the appropriate characterization of each Refinery's waste/slop/off-spec oil streams and the necessary controls, if any, for the waste management units handling such oil streams, for purposes of each Refinery's TAB calculation and, except for St. Paul Park each Refinery's compliance with the applicable compliance option. At a mutually-agreed upon time, MAP shall submit, if necessary, revised schematics that reflect the Parties' agreements regarding the characterization of these oil streams and the appropriate control standards.

- ii. Organic Benzene Waste Streams. For: (a) the Canton, Catlettsburg, Garyville, and Texas City Refineries from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree; (b) the Detroit Refinery after July 1, 2003; (c) the Robinson Refinery after January 1, 2003; and (d) the St. Paul Park Refinery after September 30, 2005, all waste management units handling "organic" benzene wastes, as defined in Subpart FF, shall meet the applicable control standards of Subpart FF. If, as a result of the discussions between MAP and EPA pursuant to Paragraph 18.J.i, the Parties agree that controls not already in place are necessary on any waste management unit handling organic benzene wastes, the Parties shall agree, in writing, to a schedule, not to exceed two years, for the completion of the installation of the necessary controls.
- iii. Aqueous Benzene Waste Streams. For purposes of calculating each Refinery's TAB pursuant to the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(a), MAP shall include all waste/slop/off-spec oil streams that become "aqueous" until such streams are recycled to a process or put into a process feed tank (unless the tank is used primarily for the storage of wastes). For purposes of complying with the 2 Mg. or 6BQ compliance option, all waste management units handling aqueous benzene waste streams shall either meet the applicable control standards of Subpart FF or shall have their uncontrolled benzene quantity count toward the applicable 2 or 6 megagram limit.
- iv. Plan to Quantify Uncontrolled Waste/Slop/Off-Spec Oil Streams. By no later than ninety (90) days after EPA has approved the schematics, as revised if necessary, required under Paragraph 18.J.i., MAP shall submit, for each of its Refineries, a plan(s) to quantify waste/slop/off-spec oil movements for all benzene waste streams which are not controlled. EPA will review the plan and may recommend revisions consistent with Subpart FF. Upon plan approval, MAP shall maintain records quantifying such movements.
- v. Disputes under this Paragraph 18.J.. shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of this First Revised Consent Decree.
- K. End of Line Sampling (6 BQ Compliance Option). The provisions of thisParagraph 18.K shall apply to the Canton, Catlettsburg, and Texas City Refineries from the Date

of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree; shall apply to the Detroit Refinery from July 1, 2003, through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree; shall apply to the Robinson Refinery from January 1, 2003, through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree; and shall apply to the St. Paul Park Refinery upon the installation of controls necessary to comply with the 6BQ compliance option through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree (hereinafter "Applicability Dates for Paragraph 18.K").

- i. By no later than four (4) months after the start of the Applicability Dates for Paragraph 18.K, MAP shall submit to EPA for approval a plan(s) for an "end of the line" ("EOL") determination of the benzene quantity in uncontrolled waste streams. MAP's proposed plan shall include, but not be limited to, sampling locations, methods for flow calculations, and the assumed volatilization rate(s) to be used in calculating the uncontrolled benzene quantity. Any disputes regarding plan approval under this Paragraph 18.K. shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of the First Revised Consent Decree. Delays in the approval of the plan(s) for one or more Refineries shall not constitute grounds for delays in commencing the sampling program for Refineries that have received approval.
- ii. If, during the Applicability Dates for Paragraph 18.K, changes in processes, operations, or other factors lead MAP to conclude that the approved sampling locations, approved methods for determining flow calculations, and/or assumed volatilization rates no longer provide an accurate measure of a Refinery's EOL benzene quantity, MAP shall submit a revised plan to EPA for approval.
- iii. On a monthly basis, MAP shall conduct EOL sampling, commencing during the first month of the first full calendar quarter after MAP receives written approval from EPA of the sampling plan for the particular Refinery. MAP shall take, and have analyzed, three representative samples from each approved sampling location. MAP shall use the average of these three samples as the benzene concentration for the stream at the approved location. Based on the EOL monthly sampling results, the approved flow calculations, and the volatilization

assumptions, MAP shall calculate the sum of the EOL benzene quantity for the three months contained within the respective quarter. Nothing in this Paragraph 18.K. shall preclude MAP from taking representative samples more frequently within any calendar month, provided that MAP identifies the basis for the additional samples. Such samples shall be included in calculating the average monthly EOL benzene quantity.

iv. If the sum of the EOL benzene quantity for the three month period contained within a quarter equals or exceeds 1.2 Mg., MAP shall take and have analyzed three representative samples, drawn on separate days during the subsequent calendar quarter, of each uncontrolled stream containing benzene over 0.05 Mg/yr, as identified in the most recently submitted TAB report (hereinafter "Sampling of >0.05 Streams"). MAP shall undertake Sampling of >0.05 Streams for the purpose of trying to identify the cause or source of the potentially elevated benzene quantities.

v. MAP shall continue to undertake Sampling of >0.05 Streams in the second quarter after the EOL benzene quantity exceeded 1.2 Mg unless either: (i) the EOL benzene quantity in the first quarter of the Sampling of > 0.05 Streams demonstrates that the Refinery's EOL benzene quantity, prorated on a yearly basis, will be below 4.8 Mg/yr; or (ii) MAP discovers and corrects the cause of the potentially elevated benzene quantities and EPA concurs in MAP's diagnosis and corrective measures.

vi. If the sum of the EOL benzene quantity for two consecutive quarters indicates that the EOL benzene quantity, prorated on a yearly basis, will exceed 4.8 Mg/yr, and MAP has not discovered and corrected the cause of the potentially elevated benzene through the process of Sampling of >0.05 Streams, MAP shall take and have analyzed three representative samples, drawn on separate days during the third calendar quarter, of each uncontrolled stream containing benzene over 0.03 Mg/yr, as identified in the most recently submitted TAB report (hereinafter "Sampling of > 0.03 Streams"). MAP shall undertake Sampling of >0.03 Streams for the purpose of continuing to try to identify the cause or source of the potentially elevated benzene quantities.

vii. Sampling of >0.05 and/or >0.03 Streams shall not be required if MAP advises EPA, and EPA concurs, that the potentially elevated benzene quantities can be attributed to an identifiable event, such as a spill to the sewer or a turnaround. After such an identifiable event, however, MAP shall calculate its projected uncontrolled benzene quantity for the calendar year in which the event occurs. If that projection is greater than 6 mg/yr, then MAP shall submit to EPA for approval a plan that either (a) identifies with specificity the compliance strategy and schedule that MAP will implement to ensure that the subject Refinery does not exceed 6 Megagrams of uncontrolled benzene for the calendar year; or (b) if as a result of the quantity of benzene released during the event MAP is unable to propose a plan to ensure that the subject Refinery's uncontrolled benzene for the calendar year will be 6 Megagrams or less, then MAP shall identify the actions to be taken to minimize the uncontrolled benzene for the remainder of the year. MAP shall submit this plan within thirty (30) days after the end of the quarter which resulted in a projection of greater than 6 Mg/yr of uncontrolled benzene. Sampling of >0.05 and/or >0.03 Streams shall not excuse MAP from continuing to take monthly EOL samples.

viii. If in three consecutive quarters (a) the sum of the benzene quantity indicates that MAP's EOL benzene quantity, prorated on a yearly basis, will exceed 4.8 Mg/yr; or (b) MAP's sampling of >0.05 and/or >0.03 streams indicates that MAP's projected uncontrolled benzene for the calendar year will exceed 6 Megagrams, and MAP has not discovered and corrected, with EPA's concurrence, the cause of the potentially elevated benzene through the process of Sampling of >0.05 and >0.03 Streams, then, in the fourth quarter, MAP shall retain a third party contractor to undertake a comprehensive TAB study and compliance review ("Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review"). By no later than the last day of the fourth quarter, MAP shall submit a proposal to EPA that identifies the contractor, the contractor's scope of work, and the contractor's schedule for the Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review. Unless, within thirty (30) days after EPA receives this proposal, EPA disapproves or seeks modifications, MAP shall authorize the contractor to commence work. By no later than thirty (30) days after MAP receives the results of the Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review, MAP shall submit

the results to EPA. MAP and EPA subsequently shall discuss informally the results of the Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review. By no later than ninety (90) days after MAP receives the results of the Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review, or such other time as MAP and EPA may agree, MAP shall submit to EPA for approval a plan that addresses any deficiencies identified in the Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review and any deficiencies that EPA brought to MAP's attention as a result of the Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review. Within sixty (60) days after receiving any notification of disapproval or request for modification from EPA, MAP shall submit to EPA a revised plan that responds to all identified deficiencies. Upon receipt of approval or approval with conditions, MAP shall implement the plan.

- L. End of Line Sampling (2 Mg Compliance Option). For the Garyville Refinery, from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree through termination:
- i. MAP shall comply with the requirements of Paragraphs 18.K.i. through 18.K.viii., except that: (a) "0.4 Mg" shall be substituted at each location in Paragraph 18.K where the phrase "1.2 Mg" is used; (b) "1.6 Mg/yr" shall be substituted at each location in Paragraph 18.K where the phrase "4.8 Mg/yr" is used; (c) "2" Mg/yr shall be substituted in Paragraph 18.K.vii for "6" Mg/yr; and
- ii. MAP shall measure quarterly, consistent with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. §§ 61.355(c)(1) and (3), the concentration of all waste streams that qualify for the 10 ppm exemption (see 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(c)(2)) and contain greater than 0.1 Mg/yr of benzene. MAP shall begin this sampling during the first full calendar quarter after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree. After two years, EPA will evaluate the quarterly sampling results to determine the appropriateness of less frequent sampling.
- M. End of Line Sampling (TAB is less than 10 Mg/yr). For the St. Paul Park Refinery, from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree through the date of submission of an End of Line sampling plan for the St. Paul Park Refinery pursuant to Paragraph 18.K.i:

- i. MAP shall, once per calendar year commencing in 2002, conduct sampling, consistent with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.355(c)(1) and (3), of all waste streams containing benzene that contributed 0.05 Mg/yr or more to the previous year's TAB calculation;
- ii. By no later than ninety (90) days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, or such other time as is mutually agreed upon, representatives from EPA and the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency ("MPCA") shall meet at the St. Paul Park Refinery with representatives from MAP for the purpose of identifying an appropriate procedure for conducting EOL sampling and measuring EOL benzene quantities at that Refinery. EPA, the MPCA, and MAP shall confer about potential EOL sample locations, shall review process and flow information and oil movement transfers, and shall evaluate the effect of remediation activities at the St. Paul Park Refinery on EOL sampling and EOL benzene quantities. Benzene in wastes generated by remediation activities shall not be included in the calculation of the EOL benzene quantity at the St. Paul Park Refinery. By no later than thirty (30) days after EPA and the MPCA have met with MAP at the St. Paul Park Refinery, MAP shall submit a plan to EPA for approval that contains proposed sampling locations and methods for flow calculations to be used in the EOL determination of benzene quantity. Any disputes regarding plan approval shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of the August 2001 Consent Decree. If, during the life of this First Revised Consent Decree, changes in processes, operations, or other factors lead MAP to conclude that either the approved sampling locations and/or the approved methods for determining flow calculations no longer provide an accurate measure of the St. Paul Park Refinery's EOL benzene quantity, MAP shall submit a revised plan to EPA for approval.
- iii. On a quarterly basis, MAP shall conduct an EOL determination of benzene quantity, commencing in the first full calendar quarter after MAP receives written approval from EPA of the sampling plan for the St. Paul Park Refinery. MAP shall take, and have analyzed, at least three representative samples from each approved sampling location. MAP shall use the average of these three samples as the benzene concentration for the stream at the approved location.

Based on the EOL quarterly sampling results and the approved flow calculations, MAP shall calculate the quarterly EOL benzene quantity.

iv. Because, by no later than September 30, 2005, MAP shall submit a compliance strategy and schedule to install the controls necessary to comply with the 6BQ compliance option at the St. Paul Refinery as soon as practicable, the requirements of Subparagraphs 18.M.iv. - vi. of the August 2001 Consent Decree no longer shall apply.

### N. Miscellaneous Measures.

- i. MAP shall manage all groundwater remediation conveyance systems at each of its Refineries having such systems in accordance with the Benzene Waste NESHAP.
- ii. The provisions of this Paragraph 18.N.ii. shall apply to: (a) the Canton, Catlettsburg, Garyville, and Texas City Refineries from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree; (b) the Robinson Refinery by no later than December 31, 2002, through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree; (c) the Detroit Refinery by no later than June 30, 2003, through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree; and (d) the St. Paul Park Refinery, by no later than 90 days after the installation of the controls necessary to comply with the 6BQ compliance option through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall:
  - a. Conduct monthly visual inspections of all water traps within the Refinery's individual drain systems;
  - b. Identify and mark all area drains that are segregated stormwater drains;
  - c. On a weekly basis, visually inspect all conservation vents or indicators on process sewers for detectable leaks; reset any vents where leaks are detected; and record the results of the inspections. After two (2) years of weekly inspections, and based upon an evaluation of the recorded results, MAP may submit a request to the appropriate EPA Region to modify the frequency of the inspections. EPA shall not unreasonably withhold its consent. Nothing in this Paragraph 18.N.ii.c. shall require MAP to monitor conservation vents on fixed roof tanks.
  - d. For the Texas City Refinery and for the Robinson Refinery, conduct quarterly monitoring of the oil-water separators in accordance with the "no detectable emissions" provision in 40 C.F.R. § 61.347.

Notwithstanding Paragraph 18.N.ii.(d), for the St. Paul Park Refinery, MAP shall implement the provisions of Paragraph 18.N.ii.b from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree through termination of the First Revised Consent Decree.

iii. For purposes of the August 2001 Consent Decree and the First Revised Consent Decree, MAP is not required to control the overhead gas from the Benzene Waste NESHAP strippers at the Canton, Detroit, Garyville, and Robinson Refineries by directing it through a condenser so long as such overhead gas is routed to the fuel gas system through a closed vent system.

# O. <u>Projects/Investigations</u>.

- i. By no later than one-hundred eighty (180) days after the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP reported that it already had installed closed purge sampling devices on sampling points on waste and process streams consistent with safety, feasibility, and cost, and with the requirements of 40 C.F.R., Part 63, Subpart CC. MAP believes that a project or investigation involving these closed loop systems will have little effect on benzene emissions.
- ii. By January 31, 2002, MAP shall commence a study of the effectiveness of the benzene and VOC limits proposed under Paragraph 18.E.i. This study shall last no more than two (2) years and will be performed in accordance with the guidelines established in Appendix K. MAP shall submit a report summarizing the results of the study by May 8, 2004.
  - P. Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements for this Paragraph
- i. Outside of the Reports Required under 40 C.F.R. § 61.357 and under the Semi-Annual Progress Report Procedures of Section VIII (Recordkeeping and Reporting). At the times specified in the applicable provisions of this Paragraph, MAP shall submit, as and to the extent required, the following reports to EPA, to the applicable EPA Region, and to the applicable state agency:
  - a. BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report (¶ 18.C.ii.), as amended, if necessary (¶ 18.C.iii.);
  - b. Amended TAB Report, if necessary (¶ 18.D.i.);

- c. Plan for St. Paul Park to come into compliance with the 6 BQ compliance option upon discovering that its TAB equals or exceeds 10 Mg/yr through the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Report (¶ 18.D.ii.), or the Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review that may result from EOL sampling (¶ 18.M.vi);
- d. Plan for the Canton, Catlettsburg, Garyville, and/or Texas City Refineries to come into compliance with the applicable compliance option, if the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Reports indicate non-compliance (¶ 18.D.iii.);
- e. Compliance certification, if necessary (¶ 18.D.v.);
- f. Report certifying the completion of the installation of dual carbon canisters (¶ 18.E.i.);
- g. Schematics of waste/slop/off-spec oil movements (¶ 18.J.i.), as revised, if necessary (¶ 18.J.i.);
- h. Schedule to complete implementation of controls on waste management units handling organic benzene waste, if necessary (¶ 18.J.ii.);
- i. Plan to quantify uncontrolled waste/slop/off-spec oil movements (¶ 18.J.iv.)
- j. EOL Sampling Plans (¶ 18.K.i., 18.L.i, 18.M.ii.), and revised EOL Sampling Plans, if necessary (¶ 18.K.ii., 18.L.i., 18.M.ii.);
- k. Plan to ensure that uncontrolled benzene does not equal or exceed, as applicable, 2, 6, or 10 Mg/yr -- or is minimized -- based on projected calendar year uncontrolled benzene quantities as determined through EOL sampling (¶¶ 18.K.vii., 18.L.i, 18.M.v.)
- 1. Proposal for a Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review, if necessary (¶¶ 18.K.viii., 18.L.i., 18.M.vi.);
- m. Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review, if necessary (¶¶ 18.K.viii., 18. L.i., 18.M.vi.);
- n. Plan to implement the results of the Third-Party TAB Study and Compliance Review, if necessary (¶¶ 18.K.viii., 18.L.i., 18.M.vi.);
- o. Report on installation of closed purge sampling devices (¶ 18.0.i.);
- p. Results of the study of "breakthrough" in carbon canisters (¶ 18.0.ii.).
- ii. As part of Either the Reports Required under 40 C.F.R. § 61.357 or the

# Semi-Annual Progress Report Procedures of Section VIII (Recordkeeping and Reporting).

a. <u>Canton, Catlettsburg, Garyville, and Texas City Refineries</u>. In addition to the information submitted in the quarterly reports required pursuant to 40 C.F.R. §§ 61.357(d)(6) and

- (7) ("Section 61.357 Reports"), the Canton, Catlettsburg, Garyville, and Texas City Refineries shall include the following information in those reports:
  - (1)<u>Laboratory Audits</u>. In the first Section 61.357 Report due after entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall identify all laboratory audits that MAP completed pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 18.G starting in the one year period prior to the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree and continuing through the calendar quarter for which the quarterly report is due. MAP shall include, at a minimum, the identification of each laboratory audited, a description of the methods used in the audit, and the results of the audit. In each subsequent Section 61.357 Report, MAP shall identify all laboratory audits that were completed pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 18.G during the calendar quarter, including in each such Report, at a minimum, the identification of each laboratory audited, a description of the methods used in the audit, and the results of the audit. MAP may submit a summary of the findings and corrective actions from the laboratory audits rather than submitting the entire laboratory audit report;
  - Training. In the first Section 61.357 Report due after entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall describe the measures that it took to comply with the training provisions of Paragraph 18.I, starting from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree and continuing through the calendar quarter for which the first quarterly report is due. In each subsequent Section 61.357 Report, MAP shall describe the measures that MAP took to comply with the training provisions of Paragraph 18.I during the calendar quarter;
  - (3) <u>EOL Sampling Results.</u> Once EOL sampling is required under this Paragraph 18, MAP shall include the following information in each Section 61.357 Report:
    - (a) Three Months of Monthly EOL Sampling Results. MAP shall report the results of the three months of monthly EOL sampling undertaken pursuant to Paragraphs 18.K.iii. and 18.L.i. for the calendar quarter. The report shall include a list of all waste streams sampled, the results of the benzene analysis for each sample, and the computation of the EOL benzene quantity for the months contained within the respective quarter;
    - (b) Sampling of >0.05 Streams or Sampling of >0.03 Streams. If the quarter is one in which MAP is required to undertake Sampling of >0.05 Streams or Sampling of >0.03 Streams at any Refinery, MAP also shall: (A) submit the results of those sampling events; (B) describe the actions that MAP is taking to identify and correct the source of the potentially elevated benzene quantities; and (C) to the extent that MAP identifies actions to correct the potentially elevated benzene quantities, specifically seek EPA's concurrence with MAP's proposal;
  - (4) Quarterly Sampling at the Garyville Refinery of 10 ppm-exempted streams of >0.1 Mg/yr benzene. In the first Section 61.357 Report due after entry

of the August 2001 Consent Decree, and in each subsequent Section 61.357 Report, the Garyville Refinery shall report the results of the quarterly, non-EOL sampling required pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 18.L.ii. The Report shall include a list of all waste streams sampled and the results of the benzene analysis for each sample.

- b. <u>Detroit and Robinson Refineries</u>. In lieu of Section 61.357 Reports, the Detroit and Robinson Refineries shall submit information required by this Paragraph 18.P.ii.b. in Progress Reports pursuant to the requirements of Section VIII of this First Revised Consent Decree. For each six month reporting period, those Refineries shall submit the information described in Paragraphs 18.P.ii.a.(1)-(3). After completion of work required to ensure that the Detroit and Robinson Refineries comply with the 6BQ compliance option, those two Refineries may elect to submit the information described in Paragraphs 18.P.ii.a.(1)-(3) in their Section 61.357 Reports.
- c. <u>St. Paul Park Refinery</u>. In lieu of a Section 61.357 Report, the St. Paul Park Refinery shall submit information required by this Paragraph 18.P.ii.c. in Progress Reports pursuant to the requirements of Section VIII of this First Revised Consent Decree. For each six month reporting period, the St. Paul Park Refinery shall submit the information described in Paragraphs 18.P.ii.(a)(1)-(2), and the following information:
  - (1) The annual, non-EOL sampling required at the St. Paul Park Refinery pursuant to the requirements of Paragraph 18.M.i. (this information shall be submitted in the first progress report of each year);
  - (2) The results of the quarterly EOL sampling undertaken pursuant to Paragraph M.iii. for the calendar quarter. The report shall include a list of all waste streams sampled, the results of the benzene analysis for each sample, and the computation of the EOL benzene quantity for the respective quarter. The St. Paul Park Refinery shall identify whether the semi-annual benzene quantity equals or exceeds 5.0 Mg/yr and whether the projected calendar year benzene quantity equals or exceeds 10 Mg/yr. If either condition is met, the St. Paul Park Refinery shall include in the report the plan required pursuant to Paragraph 18.M.iv and/or 18.M.v., and shall specifically seek EPA's concurrence in the plan.

After the St. Paul Park Refinery completes the installation of the measures necessary to comply with the 6BQ compliance option, the St. Paul Park Refinery may elect to submit the information required in Paragraph18.P.ii.a.(1)-(3) through Section 61.357 Reports instead of the Progress Reports due under Section VIII of this First Revised Consent Decree.

Q. Agencies to Receive Reports, Plans and Certifications Required in the Paragraph; Number of Copies. MAP shall submit all reports, plans and certifications required to be submitted under this Paragraph to EPA and to the appropriate EPA Region. Where indicated, MAP also shall submit the information to the appropriate state agency. By agreement between MAP and each of the offices that are to receive the materials in this Paragraph, MAP may submit the materials electronically.

## 19. Benzene Measures at the Detroit and Texas City Refineries:

### A. Benzene Waste NESHAP Compliance Measures at the Detroit Refinery

- i. <u>Overview</u>. By no later than December 31, 2003, MAP shall complete implementation of all actions necessary to ensure that the Detroit Refinery complies with the Benzene Waste NESHAP compliance option set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(e) (hereinafter "the 6BQ compliance option"). Commencing on July 1, 2003, MAP shall comply with all standards of Subpart FF that are applicable to facilities utilizing the 6BQ compliance option, and with the monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements of 40 C.F.R. §§ 61.354, 61.356, and 61.357, respectively, as applicable to facilities utilizing the 6BQ compliance option.
- ii. Closed-Vent Systems and Control Devices Currently Operating at the Refinery.

  By no later than ninety (90) days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree,

  MAP shall submit to Region 5 of U.S. EPA an identification of all closed-vent systems and
  control devices that already are operational at the Detroit Refinery that meet the standards of 40

  C.F.R. § 61.349. Until termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall continue to
  operate these systems and devices in accordance with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.349,
  unless MAP notifies Region 5 of U.S. EPA in writing of its intent to discontinue the operation of
  any such system or device, describes its reasons for seeking to discontinue the use, and does not
  receive an objection from U.S. EPA within sixty (60) days of U.S. EPA's receipt of the written
  notice.

# iii. Organic Benzene Wastes

- a. <u>Meaning of Organic Benzene Wastes</u>. "Organic benzene wastes" mean facility wastes that have a flow-weighted annual average benzene waste content of less than 10 percent.
- b. Organic Benzene Wastes -- General. By no later than December 31, 2003, and continuing until termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall manage and treat all organic benzene waste streams at the Detroit Refinery in accordance with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(c)(1), as referenced in 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(e)(1).
- c. <u>Control of Waste Management Units in Organic Benzene Waste Service</u>. In accordance with the schedule set forth below, MAP shall complete installation of the controls necessary to comply with the applicable standards for the following waste management units that are in organic benzene waste service at the Detroit Refinery:

Unit Identification	Applicable Standard: 40 C.F.R. §:	Date of <u>Completion</u>
SR Platformer Aromatics Sump (aka CP Sump)	61.346	12/31/01
Piping from the CP Sump to the CP Flare Knock-Out Drum	61.346	12/31/01
CP Flare Knock-Out Drum, secondary	61.343	12/31/01
Piping from CP Flare Knock-Out Drum to Refinery slop system	61.346	12/31/01
Piping from Disulfide Separator to Refinery slop system	61.346	12/31/01
Tanks 8, 508, and 23	61.351	6/30/01
Piping from Tank 507 to Tanks 508 and 23	61.346	6/30/03
Gravity Drum near Tank 507 and Gravity Drum near Tank 59	61.343	6/30/03
Tanks 29T40 and 29T41 (formerly Tanks 6A and 6B)	61.343	12/31/03
Piping from Tanks 29T40 and 29T41 to Tanks 508 and 23	61.346	6/30/03
Piping from Unifiner, Alkylation, and Crude Flare Knock-Out Drums to Tanks 23 and 508	61.346	6/30/01
Trucks that Unload into Gravity Drum near Tank 507 and into Gravity Drum near Tank 59	61.345	6/30/03
Vacuum Trucks that Unload into Tanks 23 and 507	61.345	6/30/03

d. Waste Management Units in Organic Benzene Waste Service -- Future Identification or Development. If, at any time prior to the termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP: (i) identifies a waste management unit(s) in organic benzene waste service that is/are not identified in Paragraph 19.A.iii.c; or (ii) creates organic benzene waste streams that are intended to be directed to waste management units other those identified in Paragraph 19.A.iii.c. or discharged to any uncontrolled part of the individual drain system at the Refinery, then, within thirty (30) after the identification or creation, MAP shall notify Region 5 of U.S. EPA in writing of the identification or creation, shall describe the actions, if any, that MAP had to take, or will take, to comply with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(c)(1), and shall set forth a schedule, if necessary, for achieving compliance. MAP may proceed with its proposed actions unless U.S. EPA notifies MAP, within thirty (30) days of receipt of MAP's notice, of U.S. EPA's objections to MAP's plans.

# iv. Aqueous Benzene Wastes.

- a. Meaning of Aqueous Benzene Wastes. "Aqueous benzene wastes" mean facility wastes (including remediation and process unit turnaround waste) with a flow-weighted annual average benzene waste content of 10 percent or greater, on a volume basis as total water, or any waste stream that is mixed with water or wastes at any time such that the resulting mixture has an annual water content greater than 10 percent.
- b. <u>Aqueous Benzene Wastes -- General</u>. Commencing no later than June 30, 2003, and continuing until termination, MAP shall manage and treat all aqueous benzene wastes at the Detroit Refinery in accordance with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(e)(2).
  - c. Compliance Measures for Aqueous Benzene Wastes.
- (1) <u>Installation</u>, <u>Operation</u>, and <u>Maintenance of a Desalter Water Flash Column</u>. By no later than June 30, 2003, MAP shall complete the installation of a new unit -- designated by MAP as the "desalter water flash column" -- downstream of the Detroit Refinery's desalter that will serve as a "benzene treatment process," as that term is used in 40 C.F.R. § 61.348. By that

same date, MAP shall complete installation of all equipment necessary to ensure that the vapor lines leading off of the vessel that will serve as the flash column and leading off of the overhead receiver comply with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.349.

- (2) Individual Drain System Components in the Melvindale and Crude Tank Farms. By no later than June 30, 2003, MAP shall complete the installation of controls that comply with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 61.346 on all components of the individual drain system that are located in the Melvindale and Crude Tank farms at the Detroit Refinery. The Melvindale and Crude Tank farms are depicted in Appendix M to this First Revised Consent Decree.
- (3) <u>Tank 507</u>. By no later than June 30, 2003, MAP shall complete the installation of controls on Tank 507 that comply with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. §§ 61.351(a)(1).
- (4) <u>Truck-Loading Terminal</u>. By no later than June 30, 2003, MAP shall re-route through a system controlled pursuant to the requirements of Subpart FF the aqueous benzene wastes from the truck-loading area at the bulk gasoline terminal.
- d. Testing, Monitoring, and Reporting Requirements for New Installations.

  Commencing no later than July 1, 2003, and continuing until termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall comply with all applicable Subpart FF testing, monitoring, and reporting requirements for the new desalter water flash column, the new components of the individual drain system at the Melvindale and Crude Tank farms, Tank 507, and the new installations at the truck-loading terminal.
- e. Containers, Tanks, Components of the Individual Drain System and Other Waste

  Management Units in Aqueous Benzene Waste Service. Commencing no later than July 1, 2003,

  for all containers, tanks, components of the individual drain system and any other waste

  management units in aqueous benzene waste service at the Detroit Refinery, MAP shall either

  install controls consistent with the requirements of Subpart FF or shall calculate the benzene

  from any uncontrolled units toward the 6 Megagram limitation of 40 C.F.R. § 61.342(e)(2).

# v. Certification of Completion of Compliance Measures

By no later than sixty (60) days after MAP concludes that all requirements in Paragraphs 19.A.iii and 19.A.iv have been fully performed, MAP shall submit to Region 5 of U.S. EPA a report that describes with particularity the actions that MAP took to comply with the provisions of Paragraphs 19.A.iii and 19.A.iv. As part of that report, MAP shall certify completion of the requirements and that the Detroit Refinery complies with the 6BQ compliance option. At its option, U.S. EPA may elect to inspect the Refinery. If, after a review of the written report, U.S. EPA determines that any requirements have not been completed, U.S. EPA shall notify MAP in writing of the activities it must undertake to complete the requirements. MAP shall perform all activities described in U.S. EPA's notice in accordance with the specifications established therein, subject to MAP's right to invoke the dispute resolution provisions of Section XIV. When U.S. EPA concludes, based on the initial, or any subsequent request for a Certification of Completion that the requirements in Paragraphs 19.A.iii and 19.A.iv been fully performed by MAP, U.S. EPA shall so notify MAP in writing.

#### B. Benzene Minimization Program at the Texas City Refinery.

- i. <u>Third-Party Consultant</u>. By no later than thirty (30) days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall retain a third-party consultant to assist in: (i) developing and implementing a plan to investigate the possible emission sources at the Texas City Refinery that contribute to benzene in the ambient air at and around the Texas City Refinery; and (ii) recommending actions at the Texas City Refinery to minimize benzene in the ambient air.
- ii. <u>Investigation Plan</u>. By no later than ninety (90) days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall submit to EPA and the a plan to investigate ("Investigation Plan") the possible emission sources that contribute to benzene in the ambient air at and around the Texas City Refinery. In developing the Investigation Plan, MAP and its consultant shall consider, among other available materials, internal operating and maintenance records and the ten reports issued by the Laboratory and Mobile Monitoring Section and the

Toxicology and Risk Assessment Section of the Texas Natural Resource Conservation

Commission ("TNRCC") that date from December 12, 1995, through April 20, 2001. The

Investigation Plan shall include but not be limited to, measures designed to investigate and

evaluate: the sources of episodic increases in benzene in the ambient air (that is, incidents that

cause short-term spikes in ambient benzene concentration); the effectiveness of the enhanced

biodegradation unit in consuming the benzene that enters it; the integrity of all tanks storing or

handling materials which contain benzene, including but not limited to, the clay treater charge

tank 117, the plant solvent tank 115, the wet solvent tank 113, and sludge tank 132; the integrity

of the hatches/covers on water draw pits for slop oil tanks at the wastewater treatment plant; the

procedures used at the centrifuge to treat slop oil and sludges, including procedures for temporary

storage; and the carbon canister used to control the DAF and slop oil tank emissions when the

oxidizer is inoperable. The Investigation Plan shall include a schedule for conducting the

investigation.

iii. Action Plan. After approval of the Investigation Plan, MAP shall implement it. By no later than sixty (60) days after completing the Investigation Plan, MAP shall submit the results to EPA. At the same time, MAP shall submit to EPA a plan that identifies the actions ("Action Plan") that MAP shall take to minimize benzene emissions from the sources identified in the investigation. The Action Plan shall include, but not be limited to, if and as appropriate, a schedule of implementation for one-time actions and a schedule of periodic monitoring and maintenance for ongoing actions. After approval of the Action Plan, MAP shall implement it.

iv. Approval by EPA. The Investigation and Action Plans shall be subject to the approval of, disapproval of, or modification by EPA. Within sixty (60) days after receiving any notification of disapproval or request for modification from EPA, MAP shall submit to EPA a revised plan that responds to all identified deficiencies. Upon receipt of approval or approval with conditions, MAP shall implement the revised plan. Disputes arising under this Paragraph shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of this Decree.

# 20. Leak Detection and Repair ("LDAR") Program Enhancements:

In order to minimize or eliminate fugitive emissions of volatile organic compounds ("VOCs"), benzene, volatile hazardous air pollutants ("VHAPs"), and organic hazardous air pollutants ("HAPs") from equipment in light liquid and/or in gas/vapor service, MAP shall undertake at each of its Refineries the enhancements at Paragraph 20.A through Paragraph 20.P to each Refinery's LDAR program under Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 60, Subpart GGG; Part 61, Subparts J and V; Part 63, Subparts F, H, and CC; and applicable state LDAR requirements. The terms "equipment," "in light liquid service" and "in gas/vapor service" shall have the definitions set forth in the applicable provisions of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 60, Subpart GGG; Part 61, Subparts J and V; Part 63, Subparts F, H and CC; and applicable state LDAR regulations.

- A. Written Refinery-Wide LDAR Program. By no later than 120 days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall develop and maintain, for each of its Refineries, a written, Refinery-wide program for compliance with all applicable federal and state LDAR regulations. Until termination of the First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall implement this program on a Refinery-wide basis, and MAP shall update each Refinery's program as necessary to ensure continuing compliance. Each Refinery-wide program shall include at a minimum:
- i. An overall, Refinery-wide leak rate goal that will be a target for achievement on a process-unit-by-process-unit basis;
- ii. An identification of all equipment in light liquid and/or in gas/vapor service that has the potential to leak VOCs, HAPs, VHAPs, and benzene within process units that are owned and maintained by each Refinery;
- iii. Procedures for identifying leaking equipment within process units that are owned and maintained by each Refinery;
  - iv. Procedures for repairing and keeping track of leaking equipment;
  - v. Procedures for identifying and including in the LDAR program new equipment; and

- vi. A process for evaluating new and replacement equipment to promote consideration and installation of equipment that will minimize leaks and/or eliminate chronic leakers.
- B. **Training.** By no later than one year from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall implement the following training programs at each of its Refineries:
- i. For personnel newly-assigned to LDAR responsibilities, MAP shall require LDAR training prior to each employee beginning such work;
- ii. For all personnel assigned LDAR responsibilities, MAP shall provide and require completion of annual LDAR training; and
- iii. For all other Refinery operations and maintenance personnel (including contract personnel), MAP shall provide and require completion of an initial training program that includes instruction on aspects of LDAR that are relevant to the person's duties. Until termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, "refresher" training in LDAR shall be performed on a three year cycle.
- C. <u>LDAR Audits</u>. Commencing upon the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall implement at each of its Refineries, the Refinery-wide audits set forth in Paragraphs 20.C.i. and 20.C.ii., to ensure each Refinery's compliance with all applicable LDAR requirements. MAP's LDAR audits shall include but not be limited to, comparative monitoring, records review, tagging, data management, and observation of the LDAR technicians' calibration and monitoring techniques.
- i. <u>Third-Party Audits</u>. MAP shall retain a contractor(s) to perform a third-party audit of each Refinery's LDAR program at least once every four years. The first third-party audit for three of MAP's seven Refineries shall be completed no later than one year from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree. The audits of MAP's remaining Refineries shall be completed within two years from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree.
- ii. <u>Internal Audits</u>. MAP shall conduct internal audits of each Refinery's LDAR program by sending personnel familiar with the LDAR program and its requirements from one or more of MAP's other Refineries or locations to audit another MAP Refinery. MAP shall complete the

first round of these internal LDAR audits by no later than two years from the date of the completion of the third-party audits required in Paragraph 20.C.i. Internal audits of each Refinery shall be held every four years thereafter for the life of this First Revised Consent Decree.

- iii. To ensure that an audit at each Refinery occurs every two years, third-party and internal audits shall be separated by two years.
- iv. <u>Alternative</u>. As an alternative to the internal audits required by Paragraph 20.C.ii., MAP may elect to retain third-parties to undertake these audits, provided that an audit of each Refinery occurs every two (2) years.

## D. Implementation of Actions Necessary to Correct Non-Compliance.

If the results of any of the audits conducted pursuant to Paragraph 20.C at any of MAP's Refineries identify any areas of non-compliance, MAP shall implement, as soon as practicable, all steps necessary to correct the area(s) of non-compliance, and to prevent, to the extent practicable, a recurrence of the cause of the non-compliance. Until termination of the First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall retain the audit reports generated pursuant to Paragraphs 20.C.i. and 20.C.ii. and shall maintain a written record of the corrective actions that MAP takes at each of its Refineries in response to any deficiencies identified in any audits. In the Progress Report submitted pursuant to the provisions of Section VIII of this First Revised Consent Decree (Recordkeeping and Reporting) on January 31 of each year, MAP shall submit the audit reports and corrective action records for audits performed and actions taken during the previous year.

# E. Internal Leak Definition for Valves and Pumps.

MAP shall utilize the following internal leak definitions for valves and pumps in light liquid and/or gas/vapor service, unless other permit(s), regulations, or laws require the use of lower leak definitions.

- i. Leak Definition for Valves.
- a. Except as expressly provided in Paragraph 20.E.i.b., by no later than two years after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall utilize an internal leak definition of 500 ppm VOCs for all of its Refineries' valves, excluding pressure relief devices.
- b. For the Catlettsburg Refinery, MAP shall utilize an internal leak definition of 500 ppm for the valves on the #5 Crude Unit and the Sat Gas Plant by no later than eighteen (18) months after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, and shall utilize an internal leak definition of 500 ppm for the valves on the FCCU Units 1 and 109 and the #4 Vacuum Units by no later than thirty-two (32) months after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree.
- ii. <u>Leak Definition for Pumps</u>. MAP shall utilize an internal leak definition of 2000 ppm for its Refineries' pumps by the following dates:
- a. By no later than eighteen (18) months after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall utilize this definition for 50% of the total number of pumps that MAP has at all of its Refineries combined;
- b. By no later than twenty-four (24) months after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall utilize this definition for 85% of the total number of pumps that MAP has at all of its Refineries combined;
- c. By no later than forty (40) months after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall utilize this definition for all of the pumps at all of its Refineries.
- F. Reporting, Recording, Tracking, Repairing and Remonitoring Leaks of Valves and Pumps Based on the Internal Leak Definitions.
- i. <u>Reporting</u>. For regulatory reporting purposes, MAP may continue to report leak rates in valves and pumps against the applicable regulatory leak definition, or may use the lower, internal leak definitions specified in Paragraph 20.E.
- ii. <u>Recording, Tracking, Repairing and Remonitoring Leaks</u>. MAP shall record, track, repair and remonitor all leaks in excess of the internal leak definitions of Paragraphs 20.E.i. and

- 20.E.ii. (at such time as those definitions become applicable), except that MAP shall have thirty (30) days to make repairs and remonitor leaks that are greater than the internal leak definitions but less than the applicable regulatory leak definitions.
- G. First Attempt at Repairs on Valves. Beginning no later than ninety (90) days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall make a "first attempt" at repair on any valve that has a reading greater than 200 ppm of VOCs excluding control valves, pumps, and components that LDAR personnel are not authorized to repair. MAP or its designated contractor, however, shall remonitor, by no later than the end of the next calendar day, all valves that LDAR personnel attempted to repair. Unless the remonitored leak rate is greater than the applicable leak definition, no further action will be necessary. If, after two years, MAP can demonstrate with sufficient monitoring data that the "first attempt" repair at 200 ppm will worsen or not improve the Refinery's leak rates, MAP may request that EPA reconsider or amend this requirement.

## H. LDAR Monitoring Frequency.

- i. <u>Pumps</u>. When the lower leak definition for pumps becomes applicable pursuant to Paragraph 20.E.ii, MAP shall monitor pumps at the lower leak definition on a monthly basis.
- ii. <u>Valves</u>. By no later than two years after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall implement a program to monitor valves more frequently than is required by applicable regulations by monitoring valves -- other than difficult to monitor or unsafe to monitor valves -- on a quarterly basis, with no ability to skip periods on a process-unit-by-process-unit basis. If, however, a process unit is subject to the Hazardous Organic NESHAP ("HON") or the modified-HON option in the Refinery MACT, MAP must comply with the monitoring requirements in the applicable regulation.

#### I. Electronic Monitoring, Storing, and Reporting of LDAR Data.

i. <u>Electronic Storing and Reporting of LDAR Data</u>. At each of its Refineries, MAP has and will continue to maintain an electronic database for storing and reporting LDAR data.

- ii. Electronic Data Collection During LDAR Monitoring. By no later than two years after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall use dataloggers and/or electronic data collection devices during all LDAR monitoring, in accordance with operational specifications to be proposed by MAP and certified by MAP as required in Paragraph 20.O.i.b. MAP or its designated contractor shall use its/their best efforts to transfer, on a daily basis, electronic data from electronic datalogging devices to the electronic database of Paragraph 20.I.i. For all monitoring events in which an electronic data collection device is used, the collected monitoring data shall include a time and date stamp, an operator identification, and an instrument identification. MAP may use paper logs where necessary or more feasible (e.g., small rounds, remonitoring, or when dataloggers are not available or broken), and shall record, at a minimum, the identification of the technician undertaking the monitoring, the date, and the identification of the monitoring equipment. MAP shall use its best efforts to transfer any manually recorded monitoring data to the electronic database of Paragraph 20.I.i. within seven days of monitoring.
- J. QA/QC of LDAR Data. By no later than ninety (90) days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP or a third party contractor retained by MAP shall develop and implement a procedure to ensure a quality assurance/quality control ("QA/QC") review of all data generated by LDAR monitoring technicians. MAP shall ensure that monitoring data provided to MAP by its contractors is reviewed for QA/QC before the contractor submits the data to MAP. At least once per calendar quarter, MAP shall perform QA/QC of the contractor's monitoring data which shall include, but not be limited to: number of components monitored per technician, time between monitoring events, and abnormal data patterns.
- K. <u>LDAR Personnel</u>. By no later than the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall establish a program that will hold LDAR personnel accountable for LDAR performance. MAP shall maintain a position within each Refinery responsible for LDAR management, with the authority to implement improvements.
- L. <u>Adding New Valves and Pumps</u>. By no later than one hundred and twenty (120) days from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall establish a

tracking program for maintenance records (e.g., a Management of Change program) to ensure that valves and pumps added to each Refinery during maintenance and construction is integrated into the LDAR program.

#### M. Calibration/Calibration Drift Assessment.

- i. <u>Calibration</u>. MAP shall conduct all calibrations of LDAR monitoring equipment using methane as the calibration gas, in accordance with 40 C.F.R. Part 60, EPA Reference Test Method 21.
- ii. <u>Calibration Drift Assessment</u>. Beginning no later than the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall conduct calibration drift assessments of LDAR monitoring equipment at the end of each monitoring shift, at a minimum. MAP shall conduct the calibration drift assessment using, at a minimum, a 500 ppm calibration gas. If any calibration drift assessment after the initial calibration shows a negative drift of more than 10% from the previous calibration, MAP shall remonitor all valves that were monitored since the last calibration that had a reading greater than 100 ppm and shall remonitor all pumps that were monitored since the last calibration that had a reading greater than 500 ppm.
- N. <u>Delay of Repair</u>. Beginning no later than the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, for any equipment for which MAP is allowed, under the applicable regulations, to place on the "delay of repair" list for repair:
  - i. For all equipment, MAP shall:
- a. Require sign-off by the unit supervisor that the piece of equipment is technically infeasible to repair without a process unit shutdown, before the component is eligible for inclusion on the "delay of repair" list; and
- b. Include equipment that is placed on the "delay of repair" list in MAP's regular LDAR monitoring.
  - ii. For valves:
- a. For valves, other than control valves, leaking at a rate of 10,000 ppm or greater, MAP shall continue to use its "drill and tap" method for fixing such leaking valves, rather than placing

the valve on the "delay of repair" list, unless MAP can demonstrate that there is a safety, mechanical, or major environmental concern posed by repairing the leak in this manner. After two unsuccessful attempts to repair a leaking valve through the drill and tap method, MAP may place the leaking valve on its "delay of repair" list. If a new method develops for repairing such valves, MAP will advise EPA prior to implementing such new method.

- b. With respect to components leaking between 500 ppm VOCs (the leak rate under this Paragraph) and the regulatory definition (10,000 pm VOCs in the majority of jurisdictions), drill and tap is not required if repairs are unsuccessful with conventional repair methods. Such components may be placed on the delay of repair list. After such components are repaired, they do not have to be remonitored for two consecutive months after the repair unless some other requirement outside of this First Revised Consent Decree requires more frequent monitoring.
- iii. For pumps: At such time as the lower leak rate definition applies pursuant to Paragraph 20.E.ii, for pumps leaking at a rate of 2000 ppm or greater, MAP shall undertake its best efforts to isolate and repair such pumps with a first attempt at fifteen (15) days.
- iv. MAP shall make reasonable efforts to minimize the number of components that is places on the "delay of repair" list pursuant to this Subparagraph 20.N. Once a component is on the list, MAP shall repair or replace it during the next unit shutdown or turnaround (provided that the shutdown or turnaround is planned at least fifteen days in advance).

# O. Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements for this Paragraph.

- i. Outside of the Reports Required under 40 C.F.R. § 63.654 and the Progress Report Procedures of Section VIII (Recordkeeping and Reporting).
- a. <u>Written Refinery-Wide LDAR Program</u> No later than thirty (30) days after completion of the development of the written refinery-wide LDAR programs that MAP develops pursuant to Paragraph 20.A, MAP shall submit a copy of each Refinery's Program to EPA, to the appropriate Region, and to the appropriate state agency.
- b. <u>Certification of Use of Electronic Data Collection during LDAR Monitoring</u>. No later than two years and thirty days after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree,

MAP shall certify that it utilizes at all of its Refineries, pursuant to the requirements of Paragraph 20.I.ii., electronic data collection devices during LDAR monitoring. As part of this certification, MAP shall certify that it is following the manufacturer's recommended operating procedures for electronic dataloggers and/or other electronic devices.

- ii. As Part of Either the Reports Required under 40 C.F.R. § 63.654 or the Progress

  Report Procedures of Section VIII (Recordkeeping and Reporting). Consistent with the requirements of Section VIII (Recordkeeping and Reporting), MAP shall include the following information, at the following times, in its semi-annual progress reports:
- a. <u>First Progress Report Due under the August 2001 Consent Decree</u>. At the later of: (i) the first progress report due under the August 2001 Consent Decree; or (ii) the first progress report in which the requirement becomes due, MAP shall include the following:
  - (1) A certification of the implementation of the "first attempt at repair" program of Paragraph 20.G;
  - (2) A certification of the implementation of QA/QC procedures for review of data generated by LDAR technicians as required by Paragraph 20.J;
  - An identification of the individual at each Refinery responsible for LDAR performance as required by Paragraph 20.K;
  - (4) A certification of the development of a tracking program for new valves and pumps added during maintenance and construction as required by Paragraph 20.L;
  - (5) A certification of the implementation of the calibration drift assessment procedures of Paragraph 20.M; and
  - (6) A certification of the implementation of the "delay of repair" procedures of Paragraph 20.N.
- b. <u>Semi-Annual Progress Report due on July 31 of Each Year</u>. Until on or after termination of the First Revised Consent Decree, in the progress report that MAP submits on July 31 of each year, MAP shall include an identification of each audit that was conducted pursuant to the requirements of Paragraph 20.C in the previous calendar year including, for each Refinery, an identification of the auditors, a summary of the audit results, and a summary of the actions that MAP took or intends to take to correct all deficiencies identified in the audits.

- c. <u>In Each Report due under 40 C.F.R.</u> § 63.654. In each report due under 40 C.F.R. § 63.654, MAP shall include:
  - (1) <u>Training</u>. Information identifying the measures that MAP took to comply with the provisions of Paragraph 20.B; and
  - (2) Monitoring. The following information on LDAR monitoring: (a) a list of the process units monitored during the quarter; (b) the number of valves and pumps monitored in each process unit; (c) the number of valves and pumps found leaking; (d) the number of "difficult to monitor" pieces of equipment monitored; (e) the projected month of the next monitoring event for that unit; and (f) a list of all equipment currently on the "delay of repair" list and the date each component was placed on the list.

# P. Agencies to Receive Reports, Plans and Certifications Required in this

**Paragraph; Number of Copies.** MAP shall submit all reports, plans and certifications required to be submitted under this Paragraph to EPA and to the appropriate EPA Region. Where indicated, MAP also shall submit the information to the appropriate state agency. By agreement between MAP and each of the offices that are to receive the materials in this Paragraph, MAP may submit the materials electronically.

21. NSPS Applicability Re: Sulfur Recovery Plants: Beginning no later than the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, except as provided below, the following MAP Sulfur Recovery Plants ("SRPs") shall be subject to, and will continue to comply with, the applicable provisions of NSPS Part 60, Subpart A and J:

Canton Refinery (OH) SRP: Claus Trains #34 & #38;

Catlettsburg Refinery (KY) SRP: Claus Trains #1 & #2;

Detroit Refinery (MI) SRP: Claus Trains A, B & C;

Garyville Refinery (LA) SRP: Claus Trains #20 & #34;

Garyville Refinery (LA) SRP: Claus Trains #46 within 180 days after start-up;

Robinson Refinery (IL) SRP: Claus Trains #62 & #63;

St. Paul Park Refinery (MN) SRP: Claus Trains #1 & #2;

St. Paul Park Refinery (MN) SRP: Claus Train #3 by no later than November 16, 2004;

Texas City Refinery (TX) SRP: MAP shall install a Sulfur Recovery Plant at the Texas City Refinery no later than July 31, 2007. Beginning on July 31, 2007, the Sulfur Recovery Plant at the Texas City Refinery shall be subject to and will comply with all of the applicable provisions of NSPS Subpart A and J and any applicable provisions of this First Revised Consent Decree, except that MAP shall have until 180 days after the startup of the Texas City SRP to certify its SRP CEMS in accordance with Appendix A of Part 60 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

#### A. Sulfur Pit Emissions:

- i. Except for the St. Paul Park Claus Train ## 1 and 3, MAP shall re-route all Sulfur Recovery Plant sulfur pit emissions from the Sulfur Recovery Plants identified at Paragraph 21, so that sulfur pit emissions to the atmosphere are either eliminated or included and monitored as part of the applicable Sulfur Recovery Plants tail gas emissions that meet the NSPS Subpart J limit for SO<sub>2</sub>, a 12-hour rolling average of 250 ppmvd SO<sub>2</sub> at 0% oxygen, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(2). MAP agrees to re-route all sulfur pit emissions by no later than the first turnaround (a turnaround shall mean a full unit turnaround of an approximately three or more week duration) of the applicable Claus train occurring six (6) months after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree.
- ii. St. Paul Park Claus Train ## 1 and 3. By no later than December 30, 2004, MAP shall eliminate all emissions from existing Sulfur Pit No. 1 (which services Claus Train # 1) by taking it out of service. MAP already has included sulfur pit vapor controls in the design and operation of the new Claus Train (# 3) which already has replaced Claus Train # 1.

# B. Sulfur Recovery Plant Emissions Compliance:

i. By no later than the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall, for all periods of operation at each of its Sulfur Recovery Plants, comply with 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(2), except during periods of startup, shutdown or malfunction of the Sulfur Recovery Plant or during a malfunction of the TGU(s). For the purpose of determining compliance with the Sulfur Recovery Plant emission limits, the "start-up/shutdown" provisions set forth in NSPS

Subpart A apply to the Sulfur Recovery Plant and not to the independent start-up or shut-down of its corresponding control device(s) (e.g., TGU). However, the Malfunction exemption set forth in NSPS Subpart A (and as defined in the First Revised Consent Decree at Paragraph 11.X) shall apply to both the Sulfur Recovery Plant and its control device(s).

- ii. As of the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall monitor all emission points (stacks) to the atmosphere for tail gas emissions from each of its Sulfur Recovery Plants, and report excess emissions, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 60.7(c), 60.13, and 60.105(a)(5). During the life of the August 2001 Consent Decree and this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall continue to conduct Sulfur Recovery Plant emissions monitoring with CEMS at all of the emission points unless an SO<sub>2</sub> alternative monitoring procedure has been approved by EPA, per 40 C.F.R. § 60.13(i), for any of the emission points. This requirement for continuous monitoring of the Sulfur Recovery Plant emission points is not applicable to the AG Flaring Devices used to flare the Acid Gas or Sour Water Stripper Gas for those Sulfur Recovery Plants.
- iii. At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, MAP shall, to the extent practicable, operate and maintain its Sulfur Recovery Plant, its TGUs, and any supplemental control devices in accordance with its obligation to minimize Sulfur Recovery Plant emissions through implementation of good air pollution control practices as required in 40 C.F.R. § 60.11(d).
- C. <u>Good Operation and Maintenance</u>: By no later than 120 days from the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall, for each refinery with a Sulfur Recovery Plant, submit to the applicable EPA Regional Office and applicable State or Local Agency, a summary of a plan, implemented or to be implemented, for enhanced maintenance and operation of its Sulfur Recovery Plant, the TGU(s), any supplemental control devices, and the appropriate Upstream Process Units ("PMO Plan"). The PMO Plan shall be a compilation of MAP's approaches for exercising good air pollution control practices for minimizing SO<sub>2</sub> emissions at each Refinery. The Plan(s) shall provide for continuous operation of the Sulfur Recovery Plant between scheduled maintenance turnarounds with minimization of emissions from the Sulfur

Recovery Plant. The Plan(s) shall include, but not be limited to, sulfur shedding procedures, new startup and shutdown procedures, emergency procedures and schedules to coordinate maintenance turnarounds of its Sulfur Recovery Plant Claus trains, TGU, and any supplemental control device to coincide with scheduled turnarounds of major Upstream Process Units. The Plan shall have as a goal the elimination of AG Flaring. MAP shall comply with the Plan at all times, including periods of start up, shut down, and malfunction of the Sulfur Recovery Plant. Modifications related to minimizing Acid Gas Flaring and/or SO<sub>2</sub> emissions made by MAP to the Plan shall be summarized in an annual submittal to the appropriate EPA Regional Office and appropriate State or Local Agency.

# D. Optimization Studies:

- i. To date, MAP has: conducted reliability and performance improvement audits for all of its Sulfur Recovery Plants, TGUs, and amine units in December 1999 and January 2000; created a company-wide "Amine Best Practices Group," and; created a "Sulfur and Amine Technologist" position on its "Refining Engineering" staff to assist the engineering and operating staff at each refinery in resolving issues of Sulfur Recovery Plant performance and reliability. To optimize performance at its Refineries, MAP shall install:
  - a. a redundant SCOT heater, reactor, waste heat boiler and quench tower at the
     Canton refinery by June 30, 2001;
  - b. a third Claus train with amine unit, tail gas unit and thermal oxidizer at the Garyville Refinery by December 31, 2001;
  - c. a replacement Claus Train for Train #1 and a second Tail Gas Treating Unit at the St. Paul Park Refinery by November 16, 2004 (MAP may operate the old Claus Train #1 until December 30, 2004, to optimize and trouble-shoot the operation of the new SRU); and
  - d. a Sulfur Recovery Plant with amine unit and tail gas unit at the Texas City refinery by July 31, 2007.

- ii. By no later than June 30, 2002, MAP shall complete an optimization study (internal or external) on each of the Sulfur Recovery Plant at the Detroit and Robinson refineries and report the results to the applicable EPA Regional Office and applicable State or Local Agency. The optimization study shall consider:
  - A detailed evaluation of plant design and capacity, operating parameters and
     efficiencies including catalytic activity, and material balances;
  - An analysis of the composition of the acid gas and sour water stripper gas resulting from the processing of crude slate actually used, or expected to be used, in the Sulfur Recovery Plant;
  - c. A thorough review of each critical piece of process equipment and instrumentation within the Claus train that is designed to correct deficiencies or problems that prevent the Claus train from achieving its optimal sulfur recovery efficiency and expanded periods of operation;
  - d. Establishment of baseline data through testing and measurement of key parameters throughout the Claus train;
  - e. Establishment of a thermodynamic process model of the Claus train;
  - f. For any key parameters that have been determined to be at less than optimal levels, initiation of logical, sequential, or stepwise changes designed to move such parameters toward their optimal values;
  - g. Verification through testing, analysis of continuous emission monitoring data or other means, of incremental and cumulative improvements in sulfur recovery efficiency, if any;
  - h. Establishment of new operating procedures for long term efficient operation; and
  - i. Each study shall be conducted to optimize the performance of the Claus trains in light of the actual characteristics of the feeds to the SRUs.
- E. <u>Tail Gas Incidents</u>. For Tail Gas Incidents, MAP shall follow the same investigative, reporting, corrective action and assessment of stipulated penalty procedures as outlined in

Paragraph 22 for Acid Gas and Sour Water Stripper Gas Flaring. Those procedures shall be applied to TGU shutdowns, bypasses of a TGU, unscheduled shutdowns of a Sulfur Recovery Plant or other miscellaneous unscheduled Sulfur Recovery Plant events which results in a Tail Gas Incident.

22. Acid Gas and Sour Water Stripper Gas Flaring: MAP has identified causes of AG Flaring at all of its Refineries for AG Flaring Incidents that occurred between 1997 and 2000.

MAP has implemented (or is in the process of identifying and implementing) corrective actions to minimize the number and duration of AG Flaring events. For all Covered Refineries, MAP agrees to implement a program to investigate the cause of future Acid Gas Flaring Incidents, take reasonable steps to correct the conditions that have caused or contributed to such Acid Gas Flaring Incidents, and minimize the flaring of acid gas and sour water stripper gases from each of the Covered Refineries. MAP shall follow the procedures in this Paragraph 22 to evaluate whether future Acid Gas/Sour Water Stripper Gas Flaring Incidents are due to Malfunctions or are subject to stipulated penalties. The investigative and evaluative procedures in this Paragraph are also to be used for assessing if Tail Gas Incidents, as described in Paragraphs 21.E, are due to Malfunctions or are subject to stipulated penalties. The procedures, as set forth below, require root cause analysis and corrective action for all types of flaring, and stipulated penalties for Acid/Sour Water Stripper Gas Flaring Incidents or Tail Gas Incidents if the root causes were not due to malfunctions.

#### A. Investigation and Reporting

- i. No later than forty-five (45) days following the end of an Acid Gas Flaring Incident, MAP shall submit to the EPA regional office in which the refinery is located, and the appropriate State or Local office, a report that sets forth the following:
  - a. The date and time that the Acid Gas Flaring Incident started and ended. To the extent that the Acid Gas Flaring Incident involved multiple releases either within a twenty-four (24) hour period or within subsequent, contiguous, non-overlapping twenty-four (24) hour periods, MAP shall set forth the starting and ending dates and times of each release;

- b. An estimate of the quantity of sulfur dioxide that was emitted and the calculations that were used to determine that quantity;
- c. The steps, if any, that MAP took to limit the duration and/or quantity of sulfur dioxide emissions associated with the Acid Gas Flaring Incident;
- d. A detailed analysis that sets forth the Root Cause and all contributing causes of that Acid Gas Flaring Incident, to the extent determinable;
- e. An analysis of the measures, if any, that are available to reduce the likelihood of a recurrence of an Acid Gas Flaring Incident resulting from the same Root Cause or contributing causes in the future. The analysis shall discuss the alternatives, if any, that are available, the probable effectiveness and cost of the alternatives, and whether or not an outside consultant should be retained to assist in the analysis. Possible design, operation and maintenance changes shall be evaluated. If MAP concludes that corrective action(s) is (are) required under Paragraph 22.B, the report shall include a description of the action(s) and, if not already completed, a schedule for its (their) implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates. If MAP concludes that corrective action is not required under Paragraph 22.B, the report shall explain the basis for that conclusion;
- f. A statement that: (i) specifically identifies each of the grounds for stipulated penalties in Paragraphs 22.C.i.a and 22.C.i.b of this Decree and describes whether or not the Acid Gas Flaring Incident falls under any of those grounds; (ii) if an Acid Gas Flaring Incident falls under Paragraph 22.C.i.c of this Decree, describes which Paragraph (22.C.i.c.1 or 22.C.i.c.2) applies and why; and (iii) if an Acid Gas Flaring Incident falls under either Paragraph 22.C.i.b or Paragraph 22.C.i.c.2, states whether or not MAP asserts a defense to the Flaring Incident, and if so, a description of the defense; and
- To the extent that investigations of the causes and/or possible corrective actions g. still are underway on the due date of the report, a statement of the anticipated date by which a follow-up report fully conforming to the requirements of this Paragraph 22.A.i.d and 22.A.i.e shall be submitted; provided, however, that if MAP has not submitted a report or a series of reports containing the information required to be submitted under this Paragraph within the 45 day time period set forth in Paragraph 22.A (or such additional time as U.S. EPA may allow) after the due date for the initial report for the Acid Gas Flaring Incident, the stipulated penalty provisions of Paragraph 48 shall apply, but MAP shall retain the right to dispute, under the dispute resolution provision of this First Revised Consent Decree, any demand for stipulated penalties that was issued as a result of MAP's failure to submit the report required under this Paragraph within the time frame set forth. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be deemed to excuse MAP from its investigation, reporting, and corrective action obligations under this Section for any Acid Gas Flaring Incident which occurs after an Acid Gas Flaring Incident for which MAP has requested an extension of time under this Paragraph.
- h. To the extent that completion of the implementation of corrective action(s), if any, is not finalized at the time of the submission of the report required under this Paragraph, then, by no later than thirty (30) days after completion of the implementation of corrective action(s), MAP shall submit a report identifying the corrective action(s) taken and the dates of commencement and completion of

implementation. Alternatively, MAP may submit such information in the next regular semi-annual report submitted under this First Revised Consent Decree.

#### **B.** Corrective Action

- i. In response to any Flaring Incident, MAP as expeditiously as practicable, shall take such interim and/or long-term corrective actions, if any, as are consistent with good engineering practice to minimize the likelihood of a recurrence of the Root Cause and all contributing causes of that Acid Gas Flaring Incident.
- ii. If EPA does not notify MAP in writing within thirty (30) days of receipt of the report(s) required by Paragraph 22.A.i that it objects to one or more aspects of MAP's proposed corrective action(s), if any, and schedule(s) of implementation, if any, then that (those) action(s) and schedule(s) shall be deemed acceptable for purposes of MAP's compliance with Paragraph 22.B.i of this Decree. EPA does not, however, by its consent to the entry of this First Revised Consent Decree or by its failure to object to any corrective action that MAP may take in the future, warrant or aver in any manner that any of MAP's corrective actions in the future shall result in compliance with the provisions of the Clean Air Act or its implementing regulations. Notwithstanding EPA's review of any plans, reports, corrective measures or procedures under this Paragraph 22, MAP shall remain solely responsible for non-compliance with the Clean Air Act and its implementing regulations. Nothing in this Paragraph 22 shall be construed as a waiver of EPA's rights under the Clean Air Act and its regulations for future violations of the Act or its regulations.
- iii. If EPA does object, in whole or in part, to MAP's proposed corrective action(s) and/or its schedule(s) of implementation, or, where applicable, to the absence of such proposal(s) and/or schedule(s), it shall notify MAP of that fact within thirty (30) days following receipt of the report(s) required by Paragraph 22.A.i above. If MAP and EPA cannot agree on the appropriate corrective action(s), if any, to be taken in response to a particular Acid Gas Flaring Incident, either Party may invoke the Dispute Resolution provisions of Section XIV of the First Revised Consent Decree.

iv. Nothing in Paragraph 22 shall be construed to limit MAP's right to take such corrective actions as it deems necessary and appropriate immediately following an Acid Gas Flaring Incident or in the period during preparation and review of any reports required under this Section.

#### C. Stipulated Penalties

- i. The provisions of this Paragraph 22.C shall apply to each Covered Refinery. The provisions of Paragraph 22.C are intended to implement the process outlined in the logic diagram attached hereto as Appendix O to this First Revised Consent Decree. These provisions shall be interpreted and construed, to the maximum extent feasible, to be consistent with that Attachment. However, in the event of a conflict between the language of Paragraph 22 and Appendix O, the language of this Paragraph shall control.
- a. The stipulated penalty provisions of Paragraph 48 shall apply to any Acid Gas Flaring Incident for which the Root Cause was one or more or the following acts, omissions, or events:
  - 1. Error resulting from careless operation by the personnel charged with the responsibility for the Sulfur Recovery Plants, TGUs, or Upstream Process Units;
  - 2. Failure to follow written procedures;
  - A failure of equipment that is due to a failure by MAP to operate and maintain that equipment in a manner consistent with good engineering practice; or
  - 4. The following Root Causes shall not provide a basis for asserting a malfunction defense unless MAP can demonstrate to the EPA that such root cause substantially differs from the earlier same Root Cause:
    - i. <u>Canton</u>: SRU Air Blower Failures or Tail Gas Unit bypassing due to single train:
    - ii. <u>Catlettsburg</u>: excessive hydrocarbons in SRU feed;
    - iii. <u>Detroit</u>: DCS power failures, excessive hydrocarbon in SRU feed, or loss of air to C SRU Train;
    - iv. <u>Garyville</u>: hydrocarbon carryover from the HGO Hydrotreater, failure of acid gas feed solenoid valve, or bearing failure of main air blowers;
    - v. <u>Robinson</u>: excessive hydrocarbons in SRU feed, amine foaming/contamination, or air blower failures; and

vi. <u>St. Paul Park</u>: instrument freeze-up problems or corrosion on instrument wiring.

Except for a <u>force majeure</u> event, MAP shall have no defenses to a demand for stipulated penalties for an Acid Gas Flaring Incident falling under this Paragraph 22.C.i.a.

- b. The stipulated penalty provisions of Paragraph 48 shall apply to any Acid Gas Flaring Incident that either:
  - 1. Results in emissions of sulfur dioxide at a rate greater than twenty (20.0) pounds per hour continuously for three (3) consecutive hours or more and MAP failed to act in a manner consistent with the PMO Plan and/or to take any action during the Acid Gas Flaring Incident or Tail Gas Incident to limit the duration and/or quantity of sulfur dioxide emissions associated with such Incident; or
  - 2. (i) For Acid Gas Flaring Incidents, causes the total number of Acid Gas Flaring Incidents in a rolling twelve (12) month period to exceed five (5); or (ii) for Tail Gas Incidents, causes the total number of Tail Gas Incidents per Refinery in a rolling twelve (12) month period to exceed five (5).

In response to a demand by the United States for stipulated penalties, the United States and MAP both agree that MAP shall be entitled to assert a Malfunction defense with respect to any Acid Gas Flaring Incident falling under this Paragraph. In the event that a dispute arising under this Paragraph is brought to the Court pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of this First Revised Consent Decree, nothing in this Paragraph is intended or shall be construed to stop MAP from asserting that, in addition to the Malfunction Defense, Startup, Shutdown, and upset defenses are available for Acid Gas or Sour Water Stripper Gas Flaring Incidents under 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(1), nor to stop the United States from asserting its view that such defenses are not available. In the event that a Flaring Incident falls under both Paragraph 22.C.i.a and Paragraph 22.C.i.a shall apply.

- c. With respect to any Acid Gas Flaring Incident other than those identified in Paragraphs 22.C.i.a and 22.C.i.b, the following provisions shall apply:
  - 1. <u>First Time</u>: If the Root Cause of the Acid Gas Flaring Incident was not a recurrence of the same Root Cause that resulted in a previous Acid Gas Flaring Incident at that refinery that occurred since the effective date of the August 2001 Decree, then:
    - i. If the Root Cause of the Acid Gas Flaring Incident was sudden, infrequent, and not reasonably preventable through the exercise of good engineering

- practice, then that cause shall be designated as an agreed-upon malfunction for purposes of reviewing subsequent Acid Gas Flaring Incidents;
- ii. If the Root Cause of the Acid Gas Flaring Incident was sudden and infrequent, and was reasonably preventable through the exercise of good engineering practice, then MAP shall implement corrective action(s) pursuant to Paragraph 22.B.i. of this Section.
- 2. Recurrence: If the Root Cause is a recurrence of the same Root Cause that resulted in a previous Acid Gas Flaring Incident that occurred since the Effective Date of the August 2001 Consent Decree, then MAP shall be liable for stipulated penalties under Paragraph 48 of the First Revised Consent Decree unless:
  - i. the Flaring Incident resulted from a Malfunction, or
  - ii. the Root Cause previously was designated as an agreed-upon malfunction under Paragraph 22.C.i.c.1.(i); provided, however, that in the event that a dispute arising under this Paragraph is brought to the Court pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of this First Revised Consent Decree, nothing in this Paragraph is intended or shall be construed to stop MAP from asserting its view that, in addition to a Malfunction defense, Startup, Shutdown, and upset defenses are available for Acid Gas or Sour Water Stripper Gas Flaring Incidents under 40 C.F.R. § 60.104(a)(1), nor to stop the United States from asserting its view that such defenses are not available; or
  - the Acid Gas Flaring Incident was a recurrence of an event for which MAP had previously developed, or was in the process of developing, a corrective action plan but MAP had not yet completed implementation.
- d. Other than for a Malfunction or <u>force majeure</u>, if no Acid Gas Flaring Incident or violation of the final emission limit for that refinery established under Paragraph 21 occurs at a refinery for a rolling 36 month period, then the stipulated penalty provisions of Paragraph 48 no longer apply at that refinery. EPA may elect to reinstate the stipulated penalty provision if MAP has an Acid Gas Flaring Incident which would otherwise be subject to stipulated penalties. EPA's decision shall not be subject to dispute resolution. Once reinstated, the stipulated penalty provision shall continue for the remaining life of this First Revised Consent Decree for that Refinery.

#### D. Miscellaneous

i. Calculation of the Quantity of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions resulting from AG

**Flaring**: For purposes of this First Revised Consent Decree, the quantity of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions resulting from AG Flaring shall be calculated by the following formula:

Tons of  $SO_2 = [FR][TD][ConcH_2S][8.44 \times 10^{-5}].$ 

The quantity of SO<sub>2</sub> emitted shall be rounded to one decimal point. (Thus, for example, for a calculation that results in a number equal to 10.050 tons, the quantity of SO<sub>2</sub> emitted shall be rounded to 10.1 tons.) For purposes of determining the occurrence of, or the total quantity of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions resulting from, a AG Flaring Incident that is comprised of intermittent AG Flaring, the quantity of SO<sub>2</sub> emitted shall be equal to the sum of the quantities of SO<sub>2</sub> flared during each such period of intermittent AG Flaring.

ii. Calculation of the Rate of SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions During AG Flaring and HC Flaring. For purposes of this First Revised Consent Decree, the rate of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions resulting from AG Flaring and HC Flaring shall be expressed in terms of pounds per hour, and shall be calculated by the following formula:

 $ER = [FR][ConcH_2S][0.169].$ 

The emission rate shall be rounded to one decimal point. (Thus, for example, for a calculation that results in an emission rate of 19.95 pounds of  $SO_2$  per hour, the emission rate shall be rounded to 20.0 pounds of  $SO_2$  per hour; for a calculation that results in an emission rate of 20.05 pounds of  $SO_2$  per hour, the emission rate shall be rounded to 20.1.)

# iii. Meaning of Variables and Derivation of Multipliers used in the Equations in Paragraph 22:

ER =	Emission Rate in pounds of SO <sub>2</sub> per hour
FR =	Average Flow Rate to Flaring Device(s) during Flaring, in standard cubic feet per hour
TD =	Total Duration of Flaring in hours
ConcH <sub>2</sub> S =	Average Concentration of Hydrogen Sulfide in gas during Flaring (or immediately prior to Flaring if all gas is being flared) expressed as a volume fraction (scf H <sub>2</sub> S/scf gas)
$8.44 \times 10^{-5} =$	[lb mole $H_2S/379$ scf $H_2S$ ][64 lbs $SO_2$ /lb mole $H_2S$ ][Ton/2000 lbs]
0.169 =	[lb mole $H_2S/379$ scf $H_2S$ ][1.0 lb mole $SO_2/1$ lb mole $H_2S$ ][64 lb $SO_2/1.0$ lb mole $SO_2$ ]

The flow of gas to the AG Flaring and HC Flaring Device(s) ("FR") shall be as measured by the relevant flow meter or reliable flow estimation parameters. Hydrogen sulfide concentration ("ConcH<sub>2</sub>S") shall be determined from the Sulfur Recovery Plant feed gas analyzer or from knowledge of the sulfur content of the process gas being flared.. In the event that either of these data points is unavailable or inaccurate, the missing data point(s) shall be estimated according to best engineering judgment. The report required under Paragraph 22.A.i. — shall include the data used in the calculation and an explanation of the basis for any estimates of missing data points.

# iv. Calculation of the Quantity of SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions resulting from a Tail Gas Incident: For the purposes of this First Revised Consent Decree, the quantity of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions resulting from a Tail Gas Incident shall be calculated by one of the following methods, based on the type of event:

- a. If the Tail Gas Incident is combusted in a flare the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are calculated using the methods outlined in Paragraph 22.D.i and ii; or
- b. If the Tail Gas Incident is a event exceeding the 250 ppmvd NSPS J limit, from a monitored Sulfur Recovery Plant incinerator or stack, then the following formula applies:

$$ER_{TGI} = \sum_{i=1}^{TD_{TGI}} [FR_{Inc.}]_{i} [Conc. SO_{2} - 250]_{i} [0.169 \times 10^{-6}] [\frac{20.9 - \% O_{2}}{20.9}]_{i}$$

Where:

 $ER_{TGI}$  = Emissions from Tail Gas at the Sulfur Recovery Plant incinerator or stack,  $SO_2$  lb over a twenty-four (24) hour period

 $TD_{TGI}$  = Total Duration (number of hours) when the incinerator or stack CEMS exceeded 250 ppmvd  $SO_2$  corrected to 0%  $O_2$  on a rolling twelve (12) hour average, in each twenty-four (24) hour period of the Incident

i = Each hourly average

FR<sub>Inc.</sub> = Incinerator or Stack Exhaust Gas Flow Rate (standard cubic feet per hour, dry basis) (actual stack monitor data or engineering estimate based on the acid gas feed rate to the SRP) for each hour of the Incident

Conc.  $SO_2$  = Each actual twelve (12) hour rolling average  $SO_2$  concentration (CEMS data) that is greater than 250 ppm in the incinerator or stack exhaust gas, ppmvd corrected to  $0\% O_2$ , for each hour of the Incident

 $\% O_2 = O_2$  concentration (CEMS data) in the incinerator or stack exhaust gas in volume % on dry basis for each hour of the Incident

 $0.169 \times 10^{-6} = [lb mole of SO_2 / 379 SO_2] [64 lbs SO_2 / lb mole SO_2] [1 x 10^{-6}]$ Standard conditions = 60 degree F; 14.7 lb<sub>force</sub>/sq.in. absolute

In the event the concentration  $SO_2$  data point is inaccurate or not available or a flow meter for  $FR_{Inc}$ , does not exist or is inoperable, then estimates will be used based on best engineering judgement.

v. Any disputes under the provisions of this Part shall be resolved in accordance with the Section XIV (Dispute Resolution) of this First Revised Consent Decree.

#### 23. RCRA Injunctive Measures – Detroit and Robinson:

#### A. Detroit:

- i. MAP certifies that for the Detroit Refinery:
- a. In accordance with the requirements of RCRA, MAP has disposed of the debris discovered during a 1998 National Enforcement Investigations Center ("NEIC") inspection of the Detroit Refinery that was found in the following containers: (i) a cut-off 55 gallon drum ("Cut-off Drum") that was located at the 29T12 sump and contained debris, including personal protective equipment, contaminated with API sludge; (ii) a bottle labeled "waste freon" ("Freon Bottle") that had been stored in a cabinet in the quality control laboratory; (iii) a container labeled "hazardous waste" in the quality control laboratory; and (iv) a 11,500 portable frac tank ("Frac Tank") located at the 29T12 pad;
- b. In accordance with the requirements of RCRA, MAP has disposed of the Cut-off Drum and the Freon Bottle;
- c. MAP did not own, at the time of the National Enforcement and Investigation Center ("NEIC") inspection, and no longer has custody or control over, the Frac Tank;
  - d. MAP has repaired the interior lining in the vault system of Tank 21V47;
  - e. MAP no longer uses Tank 21V47 for managing hazardous wastes; and

- f. MAP has amended its RCRA contingency plan to include all information required by Michigan Rule 299.9306(1) and 40 C.F.R. § 265.52.
- ii. By no later than thirty (30) days after the entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall submit to the Waste, Pesticides and Toxics Division of EPA Region 5, a plan for the Detroit Refinery that includes: (i) procedures for managing API sludge in accordance with all applicable federal and state RCRA requirements; (ii) an identification of all satellite accumulation areas at the Detroit Refinery and a procedure for updating the identification of these areas as such areas may change from time to time; and (iii) a procedure for documenting all inspections required pursuant to federal and state RCRA requirements. The plan shall be subject to the approval of, disapproval of, or modification by EPA. Within sixty (60) days after receiving any notification of disapproval or request for modification from EPA, MAP shall submit to EPA a revised plan that responds to all identified deficiencies. Upon receipt of approval or approval with modification, MAP shall timely implement the plan. Disputes arising under this Paragraph 23.A.ii. shall be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution provisions of the August 2001 Consent Decree.
- iii. If any required action has not been taken or completed in accordance with any requirement of this Paragraph, within ten (10) calendar days after the due date, MAP shall notify EPA of the failure, the reason for the failure, and the proposed date for compliance. Nothing in this Paragraph 23.A.iii. shall be construed to limit MAP's liability for stipulated penalties except upon the express written waiver of EPA.
- B. <u>Robinson</u>: MAP shall (i) maintain records for documenting repairs of leaking closure devices on Level 2 hazardous waste containers subject to regulation under 40 C.F.R. § 265.1087(d)(4)(iii); and (ii) ensure that all spent material from carbon canisters is characterized properly to determine if it is a hazardous waste.

#### VI. PERMITTING

24. A. <u>Construction</u>: MAP agrees to obtain all required federally enforceable permits for the construction of the pollution control technology or installation of equipment to be installed

required to meet the above pollution reductions. This Paragraph is not intended to prevent MAP from applying to the appropriate permitting authority for a pollution control project exclusion.

- B. Schedules of Implementation and Modifications Thereto: For any work in Section V of this First Revised Consent Decree that requires a federal, state and/or local permit or approval, MAP shall be responsible for submitting in a timely fashion applications for federal, state and local permits and approvals for work and activities required so that permit or approval decisions can be made in a timely fashion. MAP shall use its best efforts to: (i) submit permit applications (i.e., applications for permits to construct operate or their equivalent) that comply with all applicable requirements; and (ii) secure approval of permits after filing the applications, including timely supplying additional information, if requested. If it appears that the failure of a governmental entity to act upon a timely-submitted permit application may delay MAP's performance of work according to an applicable implementation schedule, MAP shall notify the appropriate EPA regional office of any such delays as soon as MAP reasonably concludes that the delay could affect its ability to comply with the implementation schedule set forth in this First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall propose a modification to the applicable schedule of implementation. EPA shall not unreasonably withhold its consent to requests for modifications of schedules of implementation if the requirements of this Paragraph are met. Stipulated penalties shall not accrue nor be due and owing during any period between an originally-scheduled implementation date and an approved modification to such date; provided however, that EPA shall retain the right to seek stipulated penalties if EPA does not approve a modification to a date or dates. The failure of a governmental entity to act upon a timely-submitted permit or approval application shall not constitute a force majeure event triggering the requirements of Section XIII; this Paragraph shall apply.
- C. <u>Commercial Unavailability of Control Equipment and/or Additives</u>: MAP shall be solely responsible for compliance with any deadline or the performance of any work as described in Section V of this First Revised Consent Decree that requires the acquisition and installation of control equipment and/or catalyst additive. If it appears that the commercial

unavailability of any control equipment and/or catalyst additive may delay MAP's performance of work according to an applicable implementation schedule, MAP shall notify the United States in accordance with the requirements of Paragraph 67 of this First Revised Consent Decree of any such delays as soon as MAP reasonably concludes that the delay could affect its ability to comply with the implementation schedule set forth in this First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall propose a modification to the applicable schedule of implementation. Prior to the notice required by this Paragraph 24.C, MAP must have contacted a reasonable number of vendors of such equipment or additive and obtained a written representation (or equivalent communication to EPA) from the vendor that the equipment or additive is commercially unavailable. In the notice, MAP shall reference this Paragraph 24.C. of this First Revised Consent Decree, identify the milestone date(s) it contends it will not be able to meet, provide the United States with written correspondence to the vendor identifying efforts made to secure the control equipment or catalyst additive, and describe the specific efforts MAP has taken and will continue to take to find such equipment or additive. MAP may propose a modified schedule or modification of other requirements of this First Revised Consent Decree to address such commercial unavailability. Section XIV ("Retention of Jurisdiction/Dispute Resolution") shall govern the resolution of any claim of commercial unavailability. EPA shall not unreasonably withhold its consent to requests for modifications of schedules of implementation if the requirements of this Paragraph are met. Stipulated penalties shall not accrue nor be due and owing during any period between an originally-scheduled implementation date and an approved modification to such date; provided however, that EPA shall retain the right to seek stipulated penalties if EPA does not approve a modification to a date or dates. The failure by MAP to secure control equipment and/or catalyst additive shall not constitute a force majeure event triggering the requirements of Section XIII; this Paragraph shall apply.

25. **Operation**: As soon as practicable following the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, but in no event later than twelve (12) months following the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP shall submit applications to incorporate the emission

limits and standards required by Paragraphs 12-16, 17.A.i, and 21 that are effective as of the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree into minor or major new source review permits or other permits that will ensure that the underlying emission limit or standard survives the termination of this First Revised Consent Decree. Upon issuance of such permits, MAP shall file any applications necessary to incorporate the requirements of those permits into the Refinery's Title V permit. As soon as practicable, but in no event later than thirty (30) days after the effective date or establishment of any emission limits, standards and schedules under Section V of the First Revised Consent Decree ("Affirmative Relief/Environmental Projects (or Measures)"), MAP shall submit applications to incorporate those emission limitations into minor or major new source review permits or other permits that will ensure that the underlying emission limit or standard survives the termination of this First Revised Consent Decree. Upon issuance of such permits, MAP shall file any applications necessary to incorporate the requirements of those permits into the Refinery's Title V permit. The Parties agree that incorporation of the requirements of this Decree into Title V permits may be by "administrative amendment" under 40 C.F.R. 70.7(d) and analogous state Title V rules. In states that have a consolidated program for minor or major new source review permits and Title V permits, MAP may submit an application for the incorporation of the emission limits and standards in this Consent Decree by means of a Title V permit application or modification, or an equivalent means as allowed by the state permitting authority, with the understanding that the permit application or modification creates an underlying requirement ensuring survival of the emission limit or standard after the termination of this First Revised Consent Decree.

26. Plant Applicability Limits -- This Paragraph 26 sets forth a process for the establishment of partial "plant applicability limits" ("PALs") for each of the MAP petroleum refineries located at Robinson, Illinois; Garyville, Louisiana; Texas City, Texas; Catlettsburg, Kentucky; Detroit, Michigan; Canton, Ohio; and St. Paul, Minnesota for the pollutants NOx, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM and CO<sub>2</sub>. Under this Paragraph 26, MAP may not emit NOx, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM or CO into the atmosphere from the emissions units included within a PAL in excess of the aggregate emissions

limits ("Cap") established for the PAL pursuant to this Paragraph 26. The Cap established under this Paragraph 26 for each refinery shall be considered the actual emissions for the emissions units under the PAL for the purpose of determining emissions increases associated with a physical change or change in method of operation for such emissions units for Federal new source review for the life of the PAL.

#### A. Covered Emissions Units:

- i. The initial PALs established pursuant to this Paragraph 26 shall include only those emissions units identified in Appendix P.
- ii. MAP may expand, upon EPA approval, the universe of emissions units to be included within a particular PAL to include additional emissions units. MAP shall identify all combustion units at each refinery and will endeavor to include in the PAL such units, where practicable.
- iii. For newly constructed units included within the PAL that receive major NSR permits and that reflect the application of BACT or LAER, the Cap shall be increased by an amount equal to the emissions units allowable emissions. For emissions units included within the PAL that are modified, that receive major NSR permits, and that reflect the application of BACT or LAER, the Cap shall be increased by an amount equal to the difference between the new allowable emissions rate and the emissions unit's previous contribution to the Cap as determined in reference to Appendix P.
- B. Establishing Baseline Emissions: MAP shall establish baseline emissions for emissions units within any PAL based on emissions from the two most recent consecutive calendar years, or other such representative two calendar year period as approved by EPA. MAP shall calculate the baseline emissions covering the time period set forth in the preceding sentence and set forth in Appendix P ("Baseline Cap and Compliance Determination for the PAL(s)").
- C. <u>Initial Cap</u>: On or before December 31, 2003, MAP shall provide EPA with a report that identifies its proposed level for the Cap associated with each initial PAL in tons per year on a 365-day rolling average consistent with Appendix P ("Baseline, Cap, and Compliance

Determination for the PAL(s)"). The effective date of the PALs at each of MAP's petroleum refineries shall be the date EPA approves each such PAL.

- D. Changes in Cap(s): On or before each February 15th after the PAL is approved, and each February 15th thereafter, MAP shall submit to EPA for its approval, an application to revise the then existing Cap. MAP's proposal shall reflect the contribution to the Cap from each emissions unit covered by the PAL, including those emissions units that were controlled as required by the First Revised Consent Decree pursuant to Section V ("Compliance Measures") in the preceding calendar year. The recalculation of the cap for emissions from units that were controlled as required by the First Revised Consent Decree in the preceding year, shall be determined by reference to Section II.B of Appendix P. In addition, MAP's proposed revision to a Cap must be consistent with any regulatory requirements enacted by a State or local authority to meet attainment objectives, effective before December 31 of that preceding calendar year. Each Cap proposed by MAP pursuant to this Paragraph 26 shall be expressed in tons per year on a 365-day rolling average consistent with Appendix P.
- E. <u>Cap Approval and Compliance</u>: EPA will notify MAP of its determination of the Cap proposed by MAP. MAP will demonstrate compliance with each Cap on a 365-day rolling average beginning no later than January 1st of the calendar year following EPA's approval and on each day thereafter through December 31st of that calendar year.
- F. <u>PSD and Major Non-Attainment NSR Major Modifications to or Affecting</u>

  <u>Emissions Units within the PAL</u>: During the life of a PAL, the following shall apply to determination of whether a major modification has occurred pursuant to PSD and major non-attainment NSR:
- i. For a modification to an emission unit under a PAL, for a particular pollutant, that affects only other emissions units within the PAL, the net emissions change for units under the PAL shall be zero.
- ii. For modifications to an emissions unit within a PAL, for a particular pollutant, that affect an emissions unit outside of the PAL:

- a. the emissions change for the unit modified within the PAL shall be zero;
- b. the emissions change for emissions units under the PAL that are not modified but are affected shall be zero; and
- c. the emissions change for emissions units outside of the PAL that are affected shall be calculated as required by the applicable PSD and major non-attainment NSR regulations.
- iii. For a modification to a unit outside of the PAL, for a particular pollutant, that affects an emissions unit within a PAL:
  - a. the emissions change for the emissions unit within the PAL that is affected shall be zero; and
  - the emissions change for the emissions unit outside the PAL that is affected shall be calculated as required by the applicable PSD and major non-attainment NSR regulations.
- iv. For the purposes of netting for changes to units outside of the PAL, no contemporaneous increases or decreases shall be allowed or considered for emissions units under the PAL.
- v. Net emissions change for emissions units not within the PAL shall always be less than the significance levels. Increased emissions allowed pursuant to issuance of a PSD or major non-attainment NSR permits shall not be considered an increase pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 52.21, and the SIP-approved PSD and major non-attainment NSR programs.

# G. Consent Decree/NSPS/Minor NSR Applicability:

- i. This Paragraph does not in any way change, alter or modify any obligation of MAP, to comply with the concentration based limits ("ppmvd" or "lb/mmBTU") imposed by Paragraphs 12, 16, and 21.
- ii. This Paragraph does not in any way change, alter or modify any obligation of MAP, whether existing or imposed by virtue of this First Revised Consent Decree, to comply with the NSPS. If any physical or operational change results in an increase in the emission rate to the

atmosphere of any pollutant from the affected facility to which a NSPS applies, MAP must comply with all applicable parts of the NSPS and the General Provisions in 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart A. The determination of whether there has been an increase in emissions to the atmosphere shall be based on a comparison of the emission rate (in pounds per hour) at the maximum achievable capacity prior to and after the physical or operational change.

iii. The establishment of a PAL under this Paragraph does not in any way change, alter or modify any obligation of MAP, to comply with any applicable minor NSR permitting requirements or obligations.

# H. Notice of Changes to Emissions Unit:

Together with its annual proposal for a Cap revision required by Paragraph 26.D, MAP shall provide a written report to EPA and the Plaintiff-Intervenors of actual construction of physical or operational changes made to emissions units included within any PAL. The report shall:

- a. Describe the physical or operational change;
- b. Identify the emissions unit that the physical or operational change has affected or will affect, whether or not such emissions unit is included within the Cap;
- c. Provide a statement of whether or not any New Source Performance Standard

  ("NSPS") is applicable to the physical or operational change and the reason why the

  NSPS does or does not apply; and
- d. A netting analysis (increases and decreases) for all emissions units not within the PAL that emit SO<sub>2</sub> or NOx, PM and CO for that prior calendar year.

# I. PAL and Cap Life and Renewal

i. <u>PAL Life</u>: The life of any PAL established pursuant to this Paragraph 26 shall be no more than five (5) years from its effective date as determined under Paragraph 26.C. The provisions of Paragraph 26.F of the First Revised Consent Decree shall apply only during those same five (5) years.

- ii. <u>Cap Life</u>: Expiration of the Cap without renewal shall result in an examination of PSD/NSR applicability for all emissions units included within the PAL in accordance with the then-effective PSD and major non-attainment NSR regulations.
- iii. Second PAL: At any time prior to three (3) months before termination of a PAL established pursuant to Paragraph 26.C, MAP may apply to EPA to renew such PAL. The baseline for any second PAL shall be calculated pursuant to Appendix P. MAP shall determine baseline emissions for emissions unit to be included in any second PAL through monitoring conducted consistent with Appendix P. MAP shall comply with the terms and conditions of Paragraph 26.A-G with respect to any renewed PAL.
- J. Cap Exceedence: If MAP allows or causes an exceedence of the 365-day rolling average cap for any pollutant, MAP shall undertake an analysis to determine whether emission unit(s) at the source were modified for that pollutant during the life of the PAL. MAP shall complete the analysis required by the foregoing sentence within ninety (90) days of the exceedence and report such analysis to EPA. No later than 180 days from the date of the exceedence, MAP shall submit to EPA for its review and approval a proposed BACT/LAER determination for each modified emissions unit(s) identified above and a schedule for installation of any BACT/LAER controls proposed. MAP shall propose a schedule that will propose installation of controls as soon as practicable but not to exceed forty-two (42) months from the initial date of the exceedence. EPA shall review and, after consultation with the appropriate State or local permitting authority, notify MAP of its approval or rejection of the proposal. Upon EPA approval, MAP shall install BACT (or LAER as appropriate) on the emissions units modified. The modification analysis shall be conducted as though the cap is a non-enforceable limit. Except as provided in this Paragraph 26, nothing in this provision is intended to limit the applicability of 40 C.F.R. § 52.21, the SIP-approved PSD and major non-attainment NSR programs.
- K. <u>CAP Exceedence Stipulated Penalties</u>: For exceeding a cap, MAP shall pay the higher of \$27,500 (as adjusted for inflation) per pollutant for each succeeding day that MAP

exceeds the 365-day annual rolling average or \$20,000 per ton (or fraction thereof) in excess of the cap for each pollutant.

- L. <u>Plantwide Sulfur Dioxide Emissions Limitations for the Texas City Refinery</u>. MAP shall not exceed sulfur dioxide emissions of 876 tons per calendar year from the Texas City Refinery for each of the years 2005 and 2006. By no later than January 31 of 2006 and 2007, MAP shall submit a report to EPA that sets forth the total plantwide sulfur dioxide emissions for the preceding calendar year, together with the calculations used in determining the emissions. If MAP exceeds the annual emission limit in this Paragraph 26.L for the years 2005 or 2006, MAP shall pay as stipulated penalties \$25,000 per ton (or fraction thereof) of sulfur dioxide emissions in excess of 876 tons per calendar year that are generated from the combustion of high sulfur fuel gas in the ## 4 and 5 Topper Crude Charge Heaters.
- 27. Retirement of NOx Allowances/Credits: MAP shall surrender to EPA any NOx allowances or credits allocated to the affected emissions units (e.g., NOx Budget Unit, NOx Budget Opt-In Unit, or any equivalent unit in a federally-approved NOx or ozone control program) at the Covered Refineries under any federally-approved NOx or ozone control program to the extent that such allowances or credits exceed the emissions allowed under the 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree for the affected emissions units at that Refinery for the period of the allocation. Each year by the deadline for transferring NOx allowances or credits for compliance under such control program, MAP shall make this surrender by transferring the unused NOx allowances or credits to an account specified by EPA. The surrendered NOx allowances or credits shall not be used for compliance under such control program. The emissions allowed under the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree for the affected emissions unit for the allocation period shall be calculated by multiplying the unit's allowed NOx emission rate (in pounds per mmBtu heat input) under the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree by that unit's total actual heat input (in mmBtu) for the allocation period divided by 2000 pounds per ton. Nothing in this Paragraph shall preclude MAP from selling or trading NOx allowances or credits allocated to an affected emissions unit at

any of the Covered Refineries to the extent that such allowances or credits do not exceed the emissions allowed under the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree for the affected emission units for the allocation period. The NOx emission reductions required under the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree shall be treated as reductions required under the Clean Air Act and shall not be treated as early reductions under any federally-approved NOx or ozone control program.

#### VII. ENVIRONMENTALLY BENEFICIAL PROJECTS

28. [Omitted.]

### 29. Pollution Reduction -- Supplemental and Beneficial Environmental Projects

A. MAP shall undertake the following environmentally beneficial projects with a collective cost to the Company of approximately \$6.5 million. MAP agrees that in any public statements regarding the funding of the projects identified below, MAP will state that they are being undertaken pursuant to this settlement.

#### B. Fordson Island:

- i. By no later than December 31, 2006, MAP shall use reasonable efforts to convey its ownership interest in Fordson Island located in the Rouge River (which, as of the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, had an estimated market value of \$500,000) to a federal, state, or local governmental body or to a non-profit organization. MAP shall seek EPA's approval of the potential transferee. If, after using such reasonable efforts, MAP is unable to find such a governmental body or non-profit organization to accept the conveyance, MAP shall notify EPA of this inability by no later than January 15, 2007. If MAP makes that notification, then by no later than March 31, 2007, MAP shall develop the property it owns on Fordson Island for use as a wildlife habitat. Until termination of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall maintain that property for use as a wildlife habitat.
- ii. Prior to the Date of Lodging of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP completed the following activities:

- a. Flushed, capped and abandoned MAP's existing hydrocarbon dock lines to the island and rerouted them to an alternate location at a projected cost of \$3,100,000;
- b. Removed existing MAP industrial equipment on the island at a projected cost of \$300,000; and
- c. Performed an environmental evaluation of MAP's portion of the island to applicable standards for use of the property as a public park at a cost of approximately \$100,000
- iii. MAP already has initiated clean up and remediation activities consistent with the requirements of the August 2001 Consent Decree. By no later than December 31, 2006, MAP shall complete clean up and remediation activities in anticipation of the needs of any prospective transferee, provided that the cost of this clean-up work does not exceed \$500,000. In the event that MAP determines that the cost of this additional clean-up and remediation will exceed \$500,000, MAP shall develop the property as a wildlife habitat.
- C. <u>Texas City Sanitation Truck Retrofit Project</u>: By no later than April 1, 2006, MAP shall spend no less than \$100,000 so that diesel retrofit technologies are installed on no less than seven high-emitting, in-service heavy duty diesel sanitation trucks owned by Texas City, Texas, in order to reduce emissions of particulates and ozone precursors. MAP will cooperate fully with Texas City, Texas, to implement this project.
- D. St. Paul Park Thermal Oxidizer: By December 31, 2002, MAP shall install and operate at the St. Paul Park Refinery a thermal oxidizer for the control of VOC and odors from the St. Paul Park Refinery's wastewater treatment plant at a projected capital cost of \$2.5 million dollars, including the piping, foundations, fuel, instrumentation, modification to the contactor covers and oxidizer. MAP shall submit to the MPCA necessary permit applications for the construction of the thermal oxidizer by July 31, 2001. In the event that MPCA does not issue the permit to construct and operate by December 31, 2001, the deadline for installation and operation shall be extended by the time that MPCA's permit issuance exceeds December 31, 2001.
- E. In the event that MAP is unable to undertake any of these supplemental environmental projects, MAP shall propose to EPA alternative projects for EPA's approval or shall submit to

EPA a cash penalty in the amount set forth in the paragraph relating to the project that is not undertaken within thirty (30) days of giving EPA notice that the project will not be undertaken.

- 30. By signing this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP certifies that it is not required, and has no liability under any federal, state or local law or regulation or pursuant to any agreements or orders of any court, to perform or develop any of the projects identified in Paragraph 29. MAP further certifies that it has not applied for or received, and will not in the future apply for or receive (1) credit as a Supplemental Environmental Project or other penalty offset in any other enforcement action for such projects, or (2) credit for any emissions reductions resulting from such projects in any federal, state or local emissions trading or early reduction program.
- 31. The Progress Report required by Paragraph 33 of the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree for the period in which each project identified in Paragraph 29 is completed shall contain the following information with respect to such projects:
  - i. A detailed description of each project as implemented;
  - ii. A brief description of any significant operating problems encountered, including any that had an impact on the environment, and the solutions for each problem;
  - iii. Certification that each project has been fully implemented pursuant to the provisions of the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree (as applicable); and
  - iv. A description of the environmental and public health benefits resulting from implementation of each project (including quantification of the benefits and pollutant reductions, if feasible).
- 32. MAP agrees that in any public statements regarding the funding of these SEPs, MAP must clearly indicate that these projects are being undertaken as part of the settlement of an enforcement action for alleged Clean Air Act violations.

## VIII. REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING

33. MAP will submit to EPA and the applicable Plaintiff-Intervenor semi-annually on January 31 and July 31 until termination of this First Revised Consent Decree a progress report for each of the Covered Refineries. Each report will contain, for the relevant Covered Refinery, the following:

- i. progress report on the implementation of the requirements of Section V (Affirmative Relief/Environmental Projects) at the relevant Covered Refinery;
- ii. a table in the same form as Appendix R to this First Revised Consent Decree that reports (a) the emission rate of SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO<sub>x</sub> and PM, as applicable, for each emissions unit in pounds/hour (lbs/hr) and tons/month (e.g., FCCUs, heaters and boilers, sulfur recovery plants) for each month of the six month period covered by the report; (b) a summary of the refinery-wide monthly emission rate (in lbs/hr and tons/month); and (c) the basis for each emission rate (i.e., CEMs, stack tests or emission factors);
- iii. an identification of any exceedance(s) of the emission limits required or established by Section V of this First Revised Consent Decree for the six (6) month period covered by the report;
- iv. a description of any problems anticipated with respect to meeting the requirements of Section V of this First Revised Consent Decree at the relevant Covered Refinery;
- v. a description of the status of all Supplemental Environmental Projects and Beneficial Environmental Projects (if any) being conducted at the Covered Refinery;
- vi. any such additional matters as MAP believes should be brought to the attention of EPA and the Applicable Plaintiff-Intervenor.

The report will be certified by either the person responsible for environmental management at the appropriate Covered Refinery or by a person responsible for overseeing implementation of this Decree across MAP as follows:

I certify under penalty of law that this information was prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my directions and my inquiry of the person(s) who manage the system, or the person(s) directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete.

#### IX. CIVIL PENALTY

34. Within thirty (30) days of the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, MAP paid a civil penalty of \$3,800,000 as follows: 1) \$3,700,000 to the United States Treasury; 2) \$50,000 to the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality; and 3) \$50,000 to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.

Payment of monies to the United States shall be made by Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") to the United States Department of Justice, in accordance with current EFT procedures, referencing the USAO File Number and DOJ Case Number 90-5-2-1-07247 and the civil action

case name and case number of the Eastern District of Michigan. The costs of such EFT shall be MAP's responsibility. Payment shall be made in accordance with instructions provided to MAP by the Financial Litigation Unit of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Michigan. Any funds received after 11:00 a.m. (EST) shall be credited on the next business day. MAP shall provide notice of payment, referencing the USAO File Number and DOJ Case Number 90-5-2-1-07247 and the civil action case name and case number, to the Department of Justice and to EPA, as provided in Paragraph 83 (Notice).

Payment of the civil penalty owed to the State of Louisiana under this Paragraph shall be made by certified check made payable to the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality and sent to Darryl Serio, Fiscal Director, Office of Management and Finance, LDEQ, P.O. Box 82263, Baton Rouge 70804-2263.

Payment of the civil penalty owed to the State of Minnesota under this Paragraph shall be made by certified check made payable to Minnesota Pollution Control Agency and sent to Enforcement Penalty Coordinator, Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, 520 Lafayette Road, St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194.

- 35. The civil penalty set forth herein is a penalty within the meaning of Section 162(f) of the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 162(f), and, therefore, MAP shall not treat this penalty payment as tax deductible for purposes of federal, state, or local law.
- 36. Upon the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, the August 2001 Consent Decree and the First Revised Consent Decree shall constitute an enforceable judgment for purposes of post-judgment collection in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 69, the Federal Debt Collection Procedure Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 3001-3308, and other applicable federal authority. The United States shall be deemed a judgment creditor for purposes of collection of any unpaid amounts of the civil and stipulated penalties and interest.

#### X. STIPULATED PENALTIES

- 37. MAP shall pay stipulated penalties to the United States for each failure by MAP to comply with the terms of this First Revised Consent Decree as provided herein. The stipulated penalties shall be calculated in the following amounts specified in Paragraphs 38 through 50.
- 38. Paragraph 12 Requirements for NOx and CO Emission Reductions from FCCUs.

A. For failure to install the NOx control technologies at the Texas City, Robinson, and Catlettsburg FCCUs as required by this First Revised Consent Decree, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadling	ne \$1250
31st through 60th day after deadl	line \$3000
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day	\$5000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the economic benefit of MAP's delayed compliance, whichever is greater

B. For failure to use NOx Reducing Catalyst Additives as required by Paragraph 12 of the First Revised Consent Decree, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadline	\$1000
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$1500
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$2000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the economic benefit of MAP's delayed compliance, whichever is greater

- C. For failure to meet any emissions limit proposed by MAP or established by EPA (final or interim) for NOx and CO pursuant to Paragraph 12, per day, per unit: \$750 for each calendar day on which the specified 3-hour rolling average exceeds the applicable limit; and \$2500 for each calendar day on which the specified 365-day rolling average exceeds the applicable limit.
- D. For failure to prepare and/or submit written deliverables required by Paragraph 12, per day:

Period of Delay Penalty per day

1<sup>st</sup> through 30<sup>th</sup> day after deadline \$200

31st through 60th day after deadline \$500

Beyond 60<sup>th</sup> day after deadline \$1000

E. For failure to install NOx CEMS, per unit, per day:

Period of Delay Penalty per day

1<sup>st</sup> through 30<sup>th</sup> day after deadline \$500

31st through 60th day after deadline \$1000

Beyond 60<sup>th</sup> day after deadline \$2000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times economic

benefit of delayed compliance, whichever is greater.

#### 39. Paragraph 13 -- Requirements for NOx Emission Reductions Heaters/Boilers.

A. For failure to install required control technologies by the dates specified in

# Paragraph 13:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$2500
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$6000
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$10,000 or an amount equal economic benefit of MAP's delayed compliance, whichever is greater

B. For failure to source test emissions on a controlled heater and boiler, per unit, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$450
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$1000
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$2000

C. For failure to install CEMS or parametric emission monitoring system on a controlled heater or boiler by the required deadline, per unit, per day:

Period of Delay

1st through 30th day after deadline

\$450

 $31^{st}$  through  $60^{th}$  day after deadline \$1000

Beyond 60<sup>th</sup> day after deadline \$2000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the economic

benefit of delayed compliance whichever is greater.

D. For failure to submit the written deliverables required by Paragraph 13, per day:

Period of Delay

1st through 30th day after deadline

\$200

31st through 60th day after deadline

\$500

Beyond 60th day

\$1000

#### 40. Paragraph 14 - Requirements for SO, Emission Reductions from FCCUs.

A. For failure to install each application of a wet gas scrubber at Texas City as required by this First Revised Consent Decree, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$1250
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$3000
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$5000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the economic benefit of the delayed compliance whichever is greater

B. For failure to use SO<sub>2</sub> adsorbing catalyst additive during the demonstration period as required by Paragraph 14 of the First Revised Consent Decree, at each unit, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadline	\$1000
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$1500
Beyond 60th day	\$2000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the economic benefit of the delayed compliance whichever is greater

# C. [Omitted.]

D. For failure to meet emission SO<sub>2</sub> limits proposed by MAP or established by EPA (final or interim) pursuant to Paragraph 14, per day, per unit: \$1500 for each calendar day on which the specified 7-day rolling average exceeds the applicable limit; \$3000 for each calendar day on which the specified rolling average exceeds the applicable limit.

# 41. Paragraph 15 - Requirements for SO<sub>2</sub> and PM Emission Reductions from Heaters and Boilers.

A. For failure to cease fuel oil burning by each date specified in Paragraph 15.A of this First Revised Consent Decree, per refinery, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadline	\$1750
Beyond 31st day	\$5000

41.A.i. For failure to comply with the requirements of Paragraph 15.B.ii., the greater of:

a.	Period of Non-Compliance	Penalty per Day
	1 - 30 Days	\$ 400
	31 - 60 Days	\$1000
	Over 60 Days	\$2000

or

b. 1.2 times the economic benefit of non-compliance.

A failure to comply with the plantwide annual sulfur dioxide emissions limitation set forth in Paragraph 26.L. (which is incorporated into Paragraph 15.B.ii) shall have the stipulated penalty set forth in Paragraph 26.L and not the stipulated penalty of this Paragraph 41.A.i.

B. For burning any refinery fuel gas that contains hydrogen sulfide in excess of 0.1 grains per dry standard cubic foot on a 3-hour rolling average at any fuel gas combustion device as specified in Paragraph 15.C of this First Revised Consent Decree, per refinery, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$5000
Beyond 31st day	\$7500

C. For failure to submit the written deliverables to EPA pursuant to this Paragraph 15 per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadline	\$200
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$500
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day	\$1000

D. For failure to meet PM emission limits set forth in Paragraph 15.E, per day, per unit: \$750 for each calendar day on which the specified 24-hour rolling average exceeds the applicable limit; \$2500 for each calendar day on which the specified 365-day rolling average exceeds the applicable limit.

#### 42. Paragraph 16 - Requirements for Particulate Matter Emission -- FCCU Controls

A. For failure to install each ESP, third stage separator, or equivalent technology as required by Paragraph 16 of this First Revised Consent Decree within the specified time frame, per unit, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadline	\$1250
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$3000
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$5000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the economic benefit of the delayed compliance whichever is greater

B. For failure to meet total particulate emissions for each FCCU exhaust gas at each refinery, per day, per unit until compliance is demonstrated: \$3000

# 43. Paragraph 17 -- Hydrocarbon Flaring/ NSPS Applicability - Flares

A. For failure to perform root cause analysis and submit written report for those Hydrocarbon Flaring Incidents which exceed 500 lbs sulfur dioxide above permitted values as reflected in Paragraph 17.A of this First Revised Consent Decree:

<u>Period of Delay</u> <u>Penalty per day</u>

1st through 30th day after deadline \$ 500 per day per incident

31st through 60th day after deadline \$1,500 per day per incident

Beyond 60th day after deadline \$2,000 per day per incident

B. For failure to meet date for achieving NSPS compliance for those flaring devices reflected in Appendix J of this First Revised Consent decree:

<u>Period of Delay</u> <u>Penalty per day</u>

1st through 30th day after deadline \$500 per day

31st through 60th day after deadline \$1,500 per day

Beyond 60th day after deadline \$2,000 per day

## 44. Paragraph 18 - Requirements for Benzene Waste NESHAP Program

#### **Enhancements**

For each violation in which a frequency is specified in Paragraph 18, the amounts identified below shall apply on the first day of violation, shall be calculated for each incremental period of violation (or portion thereof), and shall be doubled beginning on the fourth consecutive, continuing period of violation. For requirements where no frequency is specified, penalties will not be doubled.

A. For failure to complete the BWN Compliance Review and Verification Reports as required by Paragraph 18.C.ii and C.iii:

\$7,500 per month, per refinery

B. For failure to implement the actions necessary to correct non-compliance as required by Paragraph 18.D:

Period of Delay Penalty per day

1<sup>st</sup> through 30<sup>th</sup> day after deadline \$1250

31st through 60th day after deadline \$3000

Beyond 60<sup>th</sup> day \$5000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the

economic benefit of MAP's delayed

compliance, whichever is greater

C. For failure to install or operate secondary carbon canisters as required by Paragraph 18.E.i:

\$5,000 per week, per carbon canister:

D. For failure to conduct required breakthrough monitoring on carbon canisters, or for failure to monitor for breakthrough on carbon canisters during actual flow:

\$1,000 per monitoring event, per refinery.

E. For failure to replace carbon canisters where both primary and secondary carbon canisters are utilized immediately upon detection of the breakthrough:

\$1,000 per day, per carbon canister

F. For failure to conduct each lab audit required in Paragraph 18.G:

\$5,000 per month, per audit

G. For failure to implement the training requirements of Paragraph 18.I:

\$10,000 per quarter, per refinery

H. For failure to submit or maintain any records or materials required by Paragraphs 18.E and 18.J of this First Revised Consent Decree:

\$2,000 per record or submission

I. For failure to install controls on waste management units handling organic wastes as required by Paragraph 18.J.ii:

\$10,000 per month, per waste management unit

J. For failure to conduct sampling in accordance with the sampling plans required by Paragraphs 18.K., 18.L., or 18.M:

\$5,000 per week, per stream, or \$30,000 per quarter, per stream, whichever is greater, but not to exceed \$150,000 per quarter per refinery

K. For failure to submit the plan or retain the third-party contractor required by Paragraphs 18.K.vii, 18.K.viii, 18.L.i, 18.M.v., and 18.M.vi:

\$10,000 per month, per refinery

L. For failure to comply with the miscellaneous compliance measures set forth in Paragraph 18.N.ii, as follows:

For N.ii.a, monthly visual inspections: \$500 per drain not inspected;

For N.ii.b, identify/mark segregated stormwater drains: \$1,000 per week per drain;

For N.ii.c, weekly monitoring of vents: \$500 per vent not monitored;

For N.ii.d, quarterly monitoring of oil/water separators: \$5,000 per separator not monitored;

M. For failure to complete the study required by Paragraph 18.O.ii:

\$2,000 per month

N. For failure to submit the written deliverables required by Paragraph 18.P:

\$1,000 per week, per report

O. If it is determined through an EPA, State, or local investigation that MAP has failed to include all benzene containing waste streams in its TAB calculation submitted pursuant to Paragraphs 18.C.ii or 18.C.iii, MAP shall pay the following per waste stream:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
for waste streams < 0.03 Mg/yr	\$250
for waste streams between 0.03 and 0.1 Mg/	/yr \$1000
for waste streams between 0.1 and 0.5 Mg/y	r \$5,000
for waste streams > 0.5 Mg/yr	\$10,000

# 45. <u>Paragraph 19 - Requirements Benzene Measures at the Detroit and Texas City</u> Refineries:

A. For discontinuing the use of closed-vent systems ("CVS") and control devices without complying with Paragraph 19.A.ii:

\$1000 per week, per CVS or control device (as applicable)

B. For failure to submit a description of new waste management units in organic benzene waste service or take actions to comply with Subpart FF for those new units, as required in Paragraph 19.A.iii.d:

\$1,000 per week

C. For failure to install the controls on waste management units as required by Paragraphs 19.A.iii.c and 19.A.iv.c

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$1250
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$3000
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day	\$5000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the economic benefit of MAP's delayed compliance, whichever is greater

- D. For making a false certification under Paragraph 19.A.v, \$27,500.
- E. For failure to perform activities to complete the requirements of Paragraph 19.A, as required in Paragraph 19.A.v:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadline	\$1250
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$3000
Beyond 60th day	\$5000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the
	economic benefit of MAP's delayed
	compliance, whichever is greater

F. For failure to retain a third-party consultant as required by Paragraph 19.B.i:

\$1000 per week

G. For failure to submit the Investigation and Action Plans as required by Paragraphs 19.B.ii and iii:

\$1000 per week, per plan

H. For failure to implement any part of the approved plan for minimizing benzene as required by Paragraph 19.B.iv:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadline	\$1250
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$3000
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day	\$5000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the economic benefit of MAP's delayed compliance, whichever is greater

# 46. Paragraph 20 - Requirements for Leak Detection and Repair Program

#### **Enhancements**

For each violation in which a frequency is specified in Paragraph 20, the amounts identified below shall apply on the first day of violation, shall be calculated for each incremental period of violation (or portion thereof), and shall be doubled beginning on the fourth consecutive, continuing period of violation. For requirements where no frequency is specified, penalties will not be doubled.

- A. For failure to implement the training programs specified in Paragraph 20.B:
  - \$10,000 per month, per program, per refinery
- B. For failure to conduct any of the audits described in Paragraph 20.C:
  - \$5,000 per month, per audit
- C. For failure to implement any actions necessary to correct non-compliance as required in Paragraph 20.D:

<u>Period of Delay</u> <u>Penalty per day</u>

1<sup>st</sup> through 30<sup>th</sup> day after deadline \$1250

31st through 60th day after deadline \$3000

Beyond 60<sup>th</sup> day \$5000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the

economic benefit of MAP's delayed compliance, whichever is greater

D. For failure to initiate an internal leak rate definition as specified in Paragraph 20.E: \$10,000 per month per process unit

E. For failure to implement the first attempt repair program in Paragraph 20.G or for failure to implement the QA/QC procedures described in Paragraph 20.J:

\$10,000 per month, per refinery

F. For failure to implement the more frequent monitoring program required by Paragraph 20.H.ii:

\$10,000 per month, per unit

G. For failure to designate an individual as accountable for LDAR performance as required in Paragraph 20.K, or for failure to implement the maintenance tracking program in Paragraph 20.L, or for failure to write a LDAR program that meets the requirements of Paragraph 20.A:

\$3,750 per week, per refinery

- H. For failure to use dataloggers or maintain electronic data as required by Paragraph 20.I.:\$5,000 per month, per refinery
- I. For failure to conduct the calibration drift assessments or remonitor valves and pumps based on calibration drift assessments in Paragraph 20.M:

\$100 per missed event per refinery

J. For failure to repair valves and pumps based on the delay of repair standards in Paragraph 20.N:

\$5,000 per valve or pump

- K. For failure to submit the written deliverables required by Paragraph 20.O:\$1,000 per week per report
- L. If it is determined through an EPA, State, or local investigation (rather than MAP discovering it through monitoring or inspections) that MAP has failed to include all valves and pumps in its LDAR program, MAP shall pay \$175 per component that it had failed to include.
  - M. For failure to timely implement the monitoring program under Paragraph 20.H: \$5,000 per week, per unit

#### 47. Paragraph 21 - NSPS Applicability Re: Sulfur Recovery Plant

A. For failure to satisfy a requirement of the Consent Decree to re-route all sulfur pit emissions from Canton, Catlettsburg, Detroit ("C Train") and St. Paul Park to the Sulfur Recovery Plant or Thermal Oxidizer per day, per Sulfur Recovery Plant:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day after deadline	\$1000
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$1750
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$4000 or an amount equal to 1.2 times the amount of delayed compliance whichever is greater.

B. For failure to comply with the NSPS Subpart J emission limit or other emission limit established in Paragraph 21 per day on which the specified rolling average exceeds the applicable limit, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day	\$1500
31st through 60th day	\$2000
Beyond 60th day	\$2500

C. For failure to install TGU (or equivalent technology or practice) and install CEMs, as specified in Paragraph 21.B at each refinery, per day, per unit:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$2000
Beyond 31st day after deadline	\$3000
Beyond 60th day after deadline	\$5000 or 1.2 times the economic
	benefit of delayed compliance,

whichever is greater;

D. For failure to conduct optimization studies as specified in Paragraphs 21.D, per refinery per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$500
Beyond 31st day after deadline	\$1500
Beyond 60th day after deadline	\$2000

E. For failure to develop and comply with the Operation and Scheduled Maintenance Plans as specified in Paragraph 21.C., per Refinery, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$500
Beyond 31st day after deadline	\$1500
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$2000

F. For failure to submit written deliverables to EPA as specified in Paragraph 21.B. for per refinery, per day:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$200
Beyond 31st day after deadline	\$500
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$1000

48. Paragraphs 22 and 21.E - Requirements for Acid Gas and Sour Water Stripper

Gas Flaring and Tail Gas Incidents: MAP shall be liable for stipulated penalties for violations of the requirements of this First Revised Consent Decree as set forth in this paragraph.

A. For Flaring Incidents for which MAP is liable under Paragraphs 22.C., and Tail Gas Incidents under Paragraph 21.E:

Tons Emitted in Flaring Incident	Length of Time from Commencement of Flaring within the Flaring Incident to Termination of Flaring within the Flaring Incident is 3 hours or less	Length of Time from Commencement of Flaring within the Flaring Incident to Termination of Flaring within the Flaring Incident is greater than 3 hours but less than or equal to 24 hours	Length of Time of Flaring within the Flaring Incident is greater than 24 hours
5 Tons or less	\$500 per Ton	\$750 per Ton	\$1,000 per Ton
Greater than 5 Tons, but less than or equal to 15 Tons	\$1,200 per Ton	\$1,800 per Ton	\$2,300 per Ton, up to, but not exceeding, \$27,500 in any one calendar day
Greater than 15 Tons	\$1,800 per Ton, up to, but not exceeding, \$27,500 in any one calendar day	\$2,300 per Ton, up to, but not exceeding, \$27,500 in any one calendar day	\$27,500 per calendar day for each calendar day over which the Flaring Incident lasts

For purposes of calculating stipulated penalties pursuant to this Paragraph 48, only one cell within the matrix shall apply. Thus, for example, for a Flaring Incident in which the Flaring starts at 1:00 p.m. and ends at 3:00 p.m., and for which 14.5 tons of sulfur dioxide are emitted, the penalty would be \$17,400 (14.5 x \$1,200); the penalty would not be \$13,900 [(5 x \$500) + (9.5 x \$1200)]. For purposes of determining which column in the table set forth in this Paragraph applies under circumstances in which Flaring occurs intermittently during a Flaring Incident, the Flaring shall be deemed to commence at the time that the Flaring that triggers the initiation of a Flaring Incident commences, and shall be deemed to terminate at the time of the termination of the last episode of Flaring within the Flaring Incident. Thus, for example, for Flaring within a Flaring Incident that (i) starts at 1:00 p.m. on Day 1 and ends at 1:30 p.m. on Day 1; (ii) recommences at 4:00 p.m. on Day 1 and ends at 4:30 p.m. on Day 2 and ends at 1:30 a.m. on Day 2; and (iv) no further Flaring occurs within the Flaring Incident, the Flaring within the

Flaring Incident shall be deemed to last 12.5 hours -- not 1.5 hours -- and the column for Flaring of "greater than 3 hours but less than or equal to 24 hours" shall apply.

B. For failure to timely submit any report required by Paragraphs 21.E or 22, or for submitting any report that does not conform to the requirements of Paragraphs 21.E or 22:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
Days 1-30	\$800
Days 31-60	\$1,600
Over 60 days	\$3,000

C. For those corrective action(s) which MAP: (i) agrees to undertake following receipt of an objection by U.S. EPA pursuant to Paragraph 22.B.iii and 21.E; or (ii) is required to undertake following Dispute Resolution, then, from the date of U.S. EPA's receipt of MAP's report under Paragraph 22.B or required by Paragraph 21.E of this First Revised Consent Decree until the date that either (i) a final agreement is reached between U.S. EPA and MAP regarding the corrective action or (ii) a court order regarding the corrective action is entered, MAP shall be liable for stipulated penalties as follows:

i.	Period of Delay	Penalty per day
	Days 1-120	\$50
	Days 121-180	\$100
	Days 181 - 365	\$300
'n	Over 365 Days	\$3,000
	or	

ii. 1.2 times the economic benefit resulting from MAP's failure to implement the corrective action(s).

The decision of whether to demand as a stipulated penalty Alternative (i) or Alternative (ii) shall rest exclusively within the discretion of the United States.

D. For failure to complete any corrective action under Paragraphs 21.E or 22.B.i of this

Decree in accordance with the schedule for such corrective action agreed to by MAP or imposed on

MAP pursuant to the Dispute Resolution provisions of this Decree (with any such extensions thereto as to which U.S. EPA and MAP may agree in writing):

Period of Delay	Penalty per day	
Days 1-30	\$ 1,000	
Days 31-60	\$ 2,000	
Over 60	\$ 5,000	

# 49. Paragraph 23 – Requirements for RCRA Injunctive Measures – Detroit and

#### **Robinson**

A. For failure to submit a plan consistent with the requirements of Paragraph 23.A.ii:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1st through 30th day	\$1000
31st through 60th day	\$2500
Beyond 60 days	\$5000

B. For failure to maintain records documenting repairs of leaking closure devices on Level 2 hazardous waste containers, as required in Paragraph 23.B:

\$2000 per record

C. For failure to characterize whether spent material from carbon canisters is a hazardous waste, as required in Paragraph 23.B:

\$5000 per canister

## 50. Paragraph 29 - Requirements for SEPs:

For MAP's failure to perform any one of the SEPs identified in Paragraph 29 in accordance with the EPA-approved schedule, per day, per project:

Period of Delay	Penalty per day
1 <sup>st</sup> through 30 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$500
31st through 60th day after deadline	\$2000
Beyond 60 <sup>th</sup> day after deadline	\$2500

# 51. Requirements for Reporting and Recordkeeping (Section VIII) - Reports Required By Paragraph 33:

For failure report as required by Section VIII, per day:

Beyond 60th day

Period of Delay

1<sup>st</sup> through 30<sup>th</sup> day after deadline

\$300

31<sup>st</sup> through 60<sup>th</sup> day after deadline

\$1100

52. Requirements to Escrow Stipulated Penalties. For failure to pay the civil penalty as specified in Section IX of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall be liable for \$30,000 per day plus interest on the amount overdue at the rate specified in 28 U.S.C § 1961(a). For failure to escrow stipulated penalties as required by Paragraph 55 of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall be liable for \$2,500 per day plus interest on the amount overdue at the rate specified in 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a).

\$2000

- 53. Payment of Stipulated Penalties: MAP shall pay stipulated penalties upon written demand by the United States no later than sixty (60) days after MAP receives such demand. Stipulated penalties shall be paid to the United States in the manner set forth in Section IX (Civil Penalty) of this First Revised Consent Decree. EPA's demand for the payment of stipulated penalties will identify the particular violation(s) to which the stipulated penalty relates, the stipulated penalty amount EPA is demanding for each violation (as can be best estimated), the calculation method underlying the demand, and the grounds upon which the demand is based.
- 54. Stipulated Penalties Dispute: Should MAP dispute its obligation to pay part or all of a stipulated penalty, it may avoid the imposition of the stipulated penalty for failure to pay a penalty due to the United States, by placing the disputed amount demanded by the United States in a commercial escrow account pending resolution of the matter and by invoking the Dispute Resolution provisions of Section X.iv within the time provided in this Paragraph 54 for payment of stipulated penalties. If the dispute is thereafter resolved in MAP's favor, the escrowed amount plus accrued interest shall be returned to them, otherwise the United States shall be entitled to the

escrowed amount that was determined to be due by the Court plus the interest that has accrued on such amount. The United States reserves the right to pursue any other non-monetary remedies to which it is entitled, including but not limited to, additional injunctive relief for MAP's violations of this First Revised Consent Decree.

#### XI. INTEREST

55. MAP shall be liable for interest on the unpaid balance of the civil penalty specified in Section IX, and MAP shall be liable for interest on any unpaid balance of stipulated penalties to be paid in accordance with Section X. All such interest shall accrue at the rate established pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a) -- i.e., a rate equal to the coupon issue yield equivalent (as determined by the Secretary of Treasury) of the average accepted auction price for the last auction of 52-week U.S. Treasury bills settled prior to the Date of Lodging of the First Revised Consent Decree. Interest shall be computed daily and compounded annually. Interest shall be calculated from the date payment is due under the First Revised Consent Decree through the date of actual payment. For purposes of this Paragraph 55, interest pursuant to this Paragraph will cease to accrue on the amount of any penalty payment made into an interest bearing escrow account as contemplated by Section IX (Civil Penalty) and Section X (Stipulated Penalties) of the First Revised Consent Decree. Monies timely paid into escrow shall not be considered to be an unpaid balance under this section.

#### XII. RIGHT OF ENTRY

56. Any authorized representative of the EPA or an appropriate state agency, including independent contractors, upon presentation of credentials, shall have a right of entry upon the premises of the facilities of MAP's Refineries as identified herein, at any reasonable time for the purpose of monitoring compliance with the provisions of this First Revised Consent Decree, including inspecting plant equipment, and inspecting and copying all records maintained by MAP required by this First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall retain such records for the period of the First Revised Consent Decree. Nothing in this First Revised Consent Decree shall limit the authority of EPA to conduct tests and inspections under any statutory or regulatory provision.

#### XIII. FORCE MAJEURE

- 57. If any event occurs which causes or may cause a delay or impediment to performance in complying with any provision of this First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall notify the United States in writing as soon as practicable, but in any event within ten (10) business days of when MAP first knew of the event or should have known of the event by the exercise of due diligence. In this notice, MAP shall specifically reference this Paragraph 57 of this First Revised Consent Decree and describe the anticipated length of time the delay may persist, the cause or causes of the delay, and the measures taken or to be taken by MAP to prevent or minimize the delay and the schedule by which those measures shall be implemented. MAP shall adopt all necessary measures to avoid or minimize such delays. The notice required by this section shall be effective upon the mailing of the same by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the appropriate EPA Regional Office as specified in Paragraph 83 (Notice).
- 58. Failure by MAP to substantially comply with the notice requirements of Paragraph 57 as specified above shall render this Section XIII (Force Majeure) voidable by the United States as to the specific event for which MAP has failed to comply with such notice requirement, and, if voided, is of no effect as to the particular event involved.
- 59. The United States shall notify MAP in writing regarding its claim of a delay or impediment to performance within thirty (30) days of receipt of the <u>force majeure</u> notice provided under Paragraph 58.
- 60. If the United States agrees that the delay or impediment to performance has been or will be caused by circumstances beyond the control of MAP including any entity controlled by MAP and that MAP could not have prevented the delay by the exercise of due diligence, the Parties shall stipulate to an extension of the required deadline(s) for all requirement(s) affected by the delay by a period equivalent to the delay actually caused by such circumstances. Such stipulation shall be filed as a modification to the First Revised Consent Decree pursuant to the modification procedures established in this First Revised Consent Decree. MAP shall not be liable for stipulated penalties for the period of any such delay.

- 61. If the United States does not accept MAP's claim of a delay or impediment to performance, MAP must submit the matter to the Court for resolution to avoid payment of stipulated penalties, by filing a petition for determination with the Court. Once MAP has submitted this matter to the Court, the United States shall have twenty (20) business days to file its response to the petition. If the Court determines that the delay or impediment to performance has been or will be caused by circumstances beyond the control of MAP including any entity controlled by MAP and that the delay could not have been prevented by MAP by the exercise of due diligence, MAP shall be excused as to that event(s) and delay (including stipulated penalties), for a period of time equivalent to the delay caused by such circumstances.
- 62. MAP shall bear the burden of proving that any delay of any requirement(s) of this First Revised Consent Decree was caused by or will be caused by circumstances beyond its control, including any entity controlled by it, and that they could not have prevented the delay by the exercise of due diligence. MAP shall also bear the burden of proving the duration and extent of any delay(s) attributable to such circumstances. An extension of one compliance date based on a particular event may, but does not necessarily, result in an extension of a subsequent compliance date or dates.
- 63. Unanticipated or increased costs or expenses associated with the performance of the MAP's obligations under this First Revised Consent Decree shall not constitute circumstances beyond its control, or serve as a basis for an extension of time under this Section XIII.
- 64. Notwithstanding any other provision of this First Revised Consent Decree, this Court shall not draw any inferences nor establish any presumptions adverse to either party as a result of MAP serving a <u>force majeure</u> notice or the Parties' inability to reach agreement.
- 65. As part of the resolution of any matter submitted to this Court under this Section XIII, the Parties by agreement, or the Court, by order, may in appropriate circumstances extend or modify the schedule for completion of work under the First Revised Consent Decree to account for the delay in the work that occurred as a result of any delay or impediment to performance agreed to

by the United States or approved by this Court. MAP shall be liable for stipulated penalties for its failure thereafter to complete the work in accordance with the extended or modified schedule.

#### XIV. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION/DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 66. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of implementing and enforcing the terms and conditions of the First Revised Consent Decree and for the purpose of adjudicating all disputes (including, but not limited to, EPA's determinations under Section V (Affirmative Relief/Environmental Projects (or Measures)) of the First Revised Consent Decree) among the Parties that may arise under the provisions of the First Revised Consent Decree, and until the First Revised Consent Decree terminates in accordance with Paragraph 87 of this First Revised Consent Decree (Termination).
- 67. The dispute resolution procedure provided by this Section XIV shall be available to resolve all disputes arising under this First Revised Consent Decree, including assertion of commercial unavailability under paragraph 24.C of this First Revised Consent Decree, provided that the party making such application has made a good faith attempt to resolve the matter with the other party.
- 68. The dispute resolution procedure required herein shall be invoked upon the giving of written notice by one of the Parties to this First Revised Consent Decree to another advising of a dispute pursuant to this Section XIV. The notice shall describe the nature of the dispute, and shall state the noticing party's position with regard to such dispute. The party receiving such a notice shall acknowledge receipt of the notice and the Parties shall expeditiously schedule a meeting to discuss the dispute informally not later than fourteen (14) days from the receipt of such notice.
- 69. Disputes submitted to dispute resolution shall, in the first instance, be the subject of informal negotiations between the Parties. Such period of informal negotiations shall not extend beyond thirty (30) calendar days from the date of the first meeting between representatives of the United States and MAP, unless it is agreed that this period should be extended.
- 70. In the event that the Parties are unable to reach agreement during such informal negotiation period, the United States shall provide MAP with a written summary of its position

regarding the dispute. The position advanced by the United States shall be considered binding unless, within forty-five (45) calendar days of MAP's receipt of the written summary of the United States' position, it files with the Court a petition which describes the nature of the dispute. The United States shall respond to the petition within forty-five (45) calendar days of filing.

- 71. In the event, that the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenors make differing determination or take differing action that affect MAP's rights or obligations under this First Revised Consent Decree the final decisions of the United States shall be binding, unless otherwise modified by the Court.
- 72. Where the nature of the dispute is such that a more timely resolution of the issue is required, the time periods set out in this Section XIV may be shortened upon motion of one of the Parties to the dispute.
- 73. The Parties do not intend that the invocation of this Section XIV by a party to cause the Court to draw any inferences nor establish any presumptions adverse to either party as a result of invocation of this Section.
- 74. As part of the resolution of any dispute submitted to dispute resolution, the Parties, by agreement, or this Court, by order, may, in appropriate circumstances, extend or modify the schedule for completion of work under this First Revised Consent Decree to account for the delay in the work that occurred as a result of dispute resolution. MAP shall be liable for stipulated penalties for its failure thereafter to complete the work in accordance with the extended or modified schedule.

#### XV. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT

- 75. The effect of settlement of this action is governed by this Paragraph 75.
- A. <u>NSR/PSD</u>: For purposes of Paragraph 75.A, the following statutory and regulatory requirements shall be called "the Applicable NSR/PSD Requirements":
  - (1) PSD requirements at Part C of Subchapter I of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7475, and the regulations promulgated thereunder at 40 C.F.R. § 52.21;

- "Plan Requirements for Non-Attainment Areas" at Part D of Subchapter I of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7502-7503, and the regulations promulgated thereunder at 40 C.F.R. §§ 51.165 (a) and (b); Title 40, Part 51, Appendix S; and 40 C.F.R. § 52.24; and
- (3) Any applicable state regulations that implement, adopt, or incorporate the specific federal regulatory requirements identified above.
- i. NOx and SO<sub>2</sub>: With respect to emissions of NOx, and SO<sub>2</sub> from each of MAP's fluidized catalytic cracking units, the Catlettsburg RCCU, and the heaters and boilers at the Covered Refineries, entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree resolved all civil liability of MAP to the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenors for violations of the Applicable NSR/PSD Requirements that: (1) commenced and ceased prior to the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree; or (2) commenced prior to the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree and continued until the earlier of December 31, 2003, or the effective date of any PAL for NOx or SO<sub>2</sub> established under Paragraph 26.
- ii. <u>PM and PM</u><sub>10</sub>: At such time as MAP notifies EPA that MAP has agreed to comply with both the PM emission limits established in Paragraph 15.E (for heaters and boilers) and the PM emission limits established in Paragraph 16.B (for fluidized catalytic cracking units), then with respect to emissions of PM and PM<sub>10</sub> from each of MAP's FCCUs, the Catlettsburg RCCU, and the heaters and boilers at the Covered Refineries, the civil liability of MAP to the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenors shall be resolved for violations of the Applicable NSR/PSD Requirements that: (1) commenced and ceased prior to date of the notification; or (2) commenced prior to the date of the notification and continued until the earlier of December 31, 2003, or the effective date of any PAL for PM or PM<sub>10</sub> established under Paragraph 26.
- iii. CO: At such time as MAP notifies EPA that MAP has agreed to comply with both the CO emission limits established in Paragraph 13.L (for heaters and boilers), and the CO emission limits established in Paragraph 12.K (for FCCUs), then with respect to emissions of CO from each of MAP's fluidized catalytic cracking units, the Catlettsburg RCCU, and the heaters and boilers at

Covered Refineries, the civil liability of MAP to the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenors shall be resolved for violations of the Applicable NSR/PSD Requirements that: (1) commenced and ceased prior to the date of the notification; or (2) commenced prior to the date of the notification and continued until the earlier of December 31, 2003, or the effective date of any PAL for CO established under Paragraph 26.

- iv. Reservation of Rights: Notwithstanding the resolution of liability in Paragraphs 75.A.i-iii, nothing in the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree precludes the United States and/or the Plaintiff-Intervenors from seeking from MAP injunctive relief, penalties, or other appropriate relief for violations by MAP of the Applicable NSR/PSD Requirements that: (1) commenced prior to the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree for units not covered by the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree; or (2) commence after the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree. For purposes of the preceding sentence, all process heaters and boilers that existed at the time of the Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree at MAP's seven refineries are "covered" by the August 2001 Consent Decree.
- B. <u>LDAR</u>, <u>Benzene Waste NESHAP</u>, and NSPS at Part 60, Subparts A and J. With respect to the Covered Refineries, entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree resolved all civil liability of MAP to the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenors for violations of the following statutory and regulatory requirements that occurred prior to the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree:
- i. <u>LDAR</u>. For all equipment in light liquid service and gas and/or vapor service, the LDAR requirements promulgated pursuant to Sections 111 and 112 of the Clean Air Act, and codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subparts VV and GGG; 40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subparts J and V; and 40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subparts F, H, and CC;
- ii. <u>Benzene Waste NESHAP</u>. The National Emission Standard for Benzene Waste Operations, 40 C.F.R. Part 61, Subpart FF, promulgated pursuant to Section 112(e) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7412(e);

- iii. <u>NSPS</u>. For sulfur recovery plants, the NSPS promulgated pursuant to Section 111 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7411, and codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subparts A and J; and for heaters and boilers as fuel gas combustion devices and for fluidized catalytic cracking units catalyst regenerators, 40 C.F.R. Part 60, Subpart J; and
- iv. Any applicable state regulations that implement, adopt, or incorporate the specific federal regulatory requirements identified above.
- v. <u>Reservation of Rights</u>. Notwithstanding the resolution of liability in Paragraphs 75.B.i-iv, nothing in the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree precludes the United States and/or Plaintiff-Intervenors from seeking from MAP:
  - (1) injunctive and/or other equitable relief for violations of Benzene Waste NESHAP and/or LDAR and/or NSPS requirements that (A) commenced prior to the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree and continued after the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree; or (B) commenced after the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree; or
  - (2) civil penalties for violations of Benzene Waste NESHAP and/or LDAR and/or NSPS occurring on or after the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree.
- C. Other. Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree resolved all civil liability of MAP to the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenors for the violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter at Claims Seven through Fourteen and/or the violations alleged in the following Notices of Violation ("NOVs") and Findings of Violation ("FOVs"): NOV No. EPA-5-99-MI-8, dated December 30, 1998 (CAA; Detroit Refinery); FOV No. EPA-5-99-MI-32, dated July 14, 1999 (CAA; Detroit Refinery); NOV No. EPA-5-99-MI-33, dated July 14, 1999 (CAA; Detroit Refinery); NOV No. EPA-5-99-MI-34, dated July 14, 1999 (CAA; Detroit Refinery); FOV No. EPA-5-99-IL-33, dated July 30, 1999 (CAA; Robinson Refinery); NOV dated February 29, 2000, from Lorna M. Jereza, Chief, Compliance Section 1, Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch, Waste, Pesticides and Toxics Division, EPA Region 5, to Mike Armbruster, Facility Manager, MAP Robinson Refinery (RCRA; Robinson Refinery). This civil liability shall be resolved through the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree.
- D. <u>Reservation Re: NSPS Applicability</u>: Nothing in the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree shall affect the status of any FCCU, fuel gas combustion device,

or sulfur recovery plant currently subject to NSPS as previously determined by any federal, state, or local authority or any applicable permit.

- E. <u>Audit Policy</u>: Nothing in the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree is intended to limit or prohibit MAP from utilizing EPA's Audit Policy or any state audit policy for any violations or non-compliance that MAP discovers during the course of any investigation, audit, or enhanced monitoring that MAP is required to undertake pursuant to the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree.
- F. <u>Claim/Issue Preclusion</u>: In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or the States for injunctive relief, penalties, or other appropriate relief relating to MAP for violations of the PSD/NSR, NSPS, NESHAP, and/or LDAR requirements, not identified in Paragraph 75 and/or the Complaint:
- a. MAP shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, or claim-splitting. Nor may MAP assert, or maintain, any other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or the States in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case. Nothing in the preceding sentences is intended to affect MAP's ability to assert that the claims are deemed resolved by virtue of Paragraph 75 of the August 2001 Consent Decree or Paragraph 75 of this First Revised Consent Decree.
- b. The United States and Plaintiff-Intervenor States may not assert or maintain that the August 2001 Consent Decree of this First Revised Consent Decree constitutes a waiver or determination of, or otherwise obviates, any claim or defense whatsoever, or that the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree constitutes acceptance by MAP of any interpretation or guidance issued by EPA related to the matters addressed in the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree.
- G. <u>Imminent and Substantial Endangerment</u>. Nothing in the August 2001 Consent Decree or this First Revised Consent Decree shall be construed to limit the authority of the United States to undertake any action against any person, including MAP, to abate or correct conditions

which may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare, or the environment.

#### XVI. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 76. Other Laws: Except as specifically provided by this First Revised Consent Decree, nothing in this First Revised Consent Decree shall relieve MAP of its obligation to comply with all applicable Federal, state and local laws and regulations. Subject to Paragraph 75, nothing contained in this First Revised Consent Decree shall be construed to prevent or limit the United States' rights to seek or obtain other remedies or sanctions available under other Federal, state or local statutes or regulations, by virtue of MAP's violation of the First Revised Consent Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which the First Revised Consent Decree is based, or for MAP's violations of any applicable provision of law, other than the specific matters resolved herein. This shall include the United States' right to invoke the authority of the Court to order MAP's compliance with this First Revised Consent Decree in a subsequent contempt action.
- 77. Failure of Compliance: The United States does not, by its consent to the entry of First Revised Consent Decree, warrant or aver in any manner that MAP's complete compliance with the First Revised Consent Decree will result in compliance with the provisions of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q or RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901-6992k, or EPCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11001-11050. Notwithstanding EPA's review or approval by the United States of any plans, reports, policies or procedures formulated pursuant to the First Revised Consent Decree, MAP shall remain solely responsible for compliance with the terms of the First Revised Consent Decree, all applicable permits, all applicable Federal, state and local regulations, and except as provided in Section XIII (Force Majeure).
- 78. Service of Process: MAP hereby agrees to accept service of process by mail with respect to all matters arising under or relating to the First Revised Consent Decree and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including but not limited to, service of a summons. The

persons identified by MAP at Paragraph 83 (Notice) are authorized to accept service of process with respect to all matters arising under or relating to the First Revised Consent Decree.

- 79. Post-Lodging/Pre-Entry Obligations: Obligations of MAP under the provisions of the August 2001 Consent Decree to perform duties scheduled to occur after the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree, but prior to the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree, shall be legally enforceable from the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree. Liability for stipulated penalties, if applicable, shall accrue for violation of such obligations and payment of such stipulated penalties may be demanded by the United States as provided in the August 2001 Consent Decree, provided that stipulated penalties that may have accrued between the Date of Lodging of the August 2001 Consent Decree and the Date of Entry of the August 2001 Consent Decree may not be collected by the United States until after August 30, 2001.
  - 80. Costs: Each party to this action shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.
- 81. <u>Public Documents</u>: All information and documents submitted by MAP to the United States pursuant to this First Revised Consent Decree shall be subject to public inspection, unless subject to legal privileges or protection or identified and supported as business confidential by MAP in accordance with 40 C.F.R. Part 2.
- 82. Public Notice and Comment: The Parties agree to the First Revised Consent Decree and agree that the First Revised Consent Decree may be entered upon compliance with the public notice procedures set forth at 28 C.F.R. § 50.7, and upon notice to this Court from the U.S. Department of Justice requesting entry of the First Revised Consent Decree. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent to the First Revised Consent Decree if public comments disclose facts or considerations indicating that the First Revised Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Further, the Parties agree and acknowledge that final approval by Plaintiff-Intervenor the State of Louisiana, Department of Environmental Quality, and State of Louisiana's participation is subject to the requirements of La. R.S. 30:2050.7, which provides for public notice of this First Revised Consent Decree in newspapers of general circulation and the official journals of parishes in which the Garyville, Louisiana facility is

located, an opportunity for public comment, consideration of any comments, and concurrence by the State Attorney General.

#### 83. Notice/Approvals.

A. Notice: Unless otherwise provided herein, notifications to or communications with the United States or MAP shall be deemed submitted on the date they are postmarked and sent by U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, except for notices under Section XIII (Force Majeure) and Section XIV (Retention Jurisdiction/Dispute Resolution) which shall be sent by overnight mail or by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested. Each report, study, notification or other MAP communication shall be submitted as specified in this First Revised Consent Decree, with copies to EPA Headquarters and/or the appropriate EPA Region and State. Except as otherwise provided herein, all reports, notifications, certifications, or other communications required or allowed under this First Revised Consent Decree to be submitted or delivered to the United States, EPA, the States, MAP shall be addressed as follows:

#### As to the United States:

Chief
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, DC 20044-7611

Express Mail: to be used only for dispute resolution or emergency or express mail:

Chief
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
1425 New York Ave. NW – Rm. 12069
Washington, DC 20005

#### As to EPA:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Director, Civil Enforcement c/o Matrix Environmental and Geotechnical Services East Hanover, NJ 07936

and an electronic copy to: neichlin@matrixcengineering.com

#### EPA Region 4:

Director Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division U.S. EPA, Region 4 61 Forsyth Street (4APTMD-AEEB) Atlanta, Georgia 30303

#### EPA Region 5:

Air and Radiation Division U.S. EPA, Region 5 77 West Jackson Blvd. (AE-17J) Chicago, IL 60604 Attn: Compliance Tracker

And

Office of Regional Counsel U.S. EPA, Region 5 77 West Jackson Blvd. (C-14J) Chicago, IL 60604

## **EPA Region 6:**

Director, Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

#### The State of Louisiana:

Administrator Enforcement Division Office of Environmental Compliance P.O. Box 4312 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4312

#### The State of Minnesota:

Air Quality Compliance Tracking Coordinator Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

#### As to MAP:

Environmental and Safety Manager Refining Operations Marathon Petroleum Company LLC 539 South Main Street Findlay, Ohio 45840

And

Consent Decree Coordinator Marathon Petroleum Company LLC P.O. Box 911 11631 US Route 23 South Catlettsburg, Kentucky 41129

And

Group Counsel, Environmental, Safety and Security Law Organization Marathon Petroleum Company LLC 539 South Main Street Findlay, Ohio 45840

Any party may change either the notice recipient or the address for providing notices to it by serving all other parties with a notice setting forth such new notice recipient or address.

- 84. <u>Approvals</u>: All EPA approvals or comments required under this Decree shall come from EPA in writing. All Plaintiff-Intervenor approvals shall be sent from the offices identified in Paragraph 83.
- 85. The Paperwork Reduction Act: The information required to be maintained or submitted pursuant to this First Revised Consent Decree is not subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. §§ 3501 et seq.
- 86. <u>Modification</u>. This First Revised Consent Decree contains the entire agreement of the Parties and will not be modified by any prior oral or written agreement, representation or understanding. Prior drafts of the First Revised Consent Decree will not be used in any action

involving the interpretation or enforcement of the First Revised Consent Decree. Non-material modifications to this First Revised Consent Decree will be effective when signed in writing by EPA and MAP. The United States will file non-material modifications with the Court on a periodic basis. For purposes of this Paragraph, non-material modifications include but are not limited to modifications to the frequency of reporting obligations and modifications to schedules that do not extend the date for compliance with emissions limitations following the installation of control equipment or the completion of a catalyst additive program, provided that such changes are agreed upon in writing between EPA and MAP. Material modifications to this Consent Decree will be in writing, signed by EPA, the applicable Plaintiff-Intervenor, and MAP, and will be effective upon approval by the Court.

#### XVII. <u>TERMINATION</u>

- 87. A. <u>Certification of Completion: Applicable Subsections.</u> Prior to moving for termination under Paragraphs 87.E or 87.F, MAP may seek to certify, as to a particular Covered Refinery, completion of one or more of the following Paragraphs of the First Revised Consent Decree applicable to that Refinery:
  - i. Paragraphs 12, 14, 16 Fluid Catalytic Cracking Units (including operation of the unit for one year after completion in compliance with the emission limits established pursuant to the First Revised Consent Decree);
  - ii. Paragraphs 13, 15 Heaters and Boilers (including operation of the relevant units for one year after completion in compliance with the emission limit set pursuant to the First Revised Consent Decree);
  - iii. Section VII Supplemental Environmental Projects.
- B. <u>Certification of Completion: MAP Actions</u>. If MAP concludes that any of the Paragraphs of the First Revised Consent Decree identified in Paragraph 87.A. have been completed for any one of the Covered Refineries, MAP may submit a written report to EPA and the applicable Plaintiff-Intervenor describing the activities undertaken and certifying that the applicable Paragraph(s) have been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this First Revised Consent Decree, and that MAP is in substantial and material compliance with all of the other

requirements of this First Revised Consent Decree. The report will contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of MAP:

To the best of my knowledge, after appropriate investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

- C. <u>Certification of Completion: EPA Actions</u>. Upon receipt of MAP's certification, EPA, after opportunity for comment by the applicable Plaintiff-Intervenor, will notify MAP whether the requirements set forth in the applicable Paragraph have been completed in accordance with this First Revised Consent Decree. The parties recognize that ongoing obligations under such Paragraphs remain and necessarily continue (*e.g.*, reporting, recordkeeping, training, auditing requirements), and that MAP's certification is that it is in current compliance with all such obligations.
  - i. If EPA concludes that the requirements have not been fully complied with, EPA will notify MAP as to the activities that must be undertaken to complete the applicable Paragraph of the First Revised Consent Decree. MAP will perform all activities described in the notice, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XV (Dispute Resolution).
  - ii. If EPA concludes that the requirements of the applicable Subsection have been completed in accordance with this First Revised Consent Decree, EPA will so certify in writing to MAP. This certification will constitute the certification of completion of the applicable Paragraph for purposes of this First Revised Consent Decree.
- D. <u>Certification of Completion: No Impediment to Stipulated Penalty Demand.</u> Nothing in Paragraphs 87.A C will preclude the United States from seeking stipulated penalties for a violation of any of the requirements of the First Revised Consent Decree regardless of whether a Certification of Completion has been issued under Paragraph 87.C of the First Revised Consent Decree. In addition, nothing in Paragraph 87.C. will permit MAP to fail to implement any ongoing obligations under the First Revised Consent Decree regardless of whether a Certification of Completion has been issued under Paragraph 87.C.ii. of the First Revised Consent Decree.

- E. <u>Termination: Conditions Precedent</u>. This First Revised Consent Decree will be subject to termination as to the requirements applicable to any one Covered Refinery or as to the entire First Revised Consent Decree upon motion by the applicable Parties or upon motion by MAP acting alone under the conditions identified in Paragraph 87.F. Prior to seeking termination as to the requirements applicable to any one Refinery or as to the entire First Revised Consent Decree, MAP must have completed and satisfied all of the following requirements of this First Revised Consent Decree:
  - (a) installation of control technology systems as specified in this First Revised Consent Decree with respect to the Refinery in question or with respect to all Refineries (if MAP is moving for termination of the entire Decree);
  - (b) compliance with all provisions contained in this First Revised Consent Decree with respect to the Refinery in question or with respect to all Refineries (if MAP is moving for termination of the entire First Revised Consent Decree), which compliance may be established for specific parts of this First Revised Consent Decree in accordance with Paragraphs 87.A. 87.C.
  - (c) payment of all penalties and other monetary obligations due under the terms of the First Revised Consent Decree; MAP may not move for termination of the requirements applicable to any one Refinery or as to the entire First Revised Consent Decree unless all penalties and/or other monetary obligations owed to the United States or the Plaintiff-Intervenors are fully paid as of the time of the Motion;
  - (d) completion of the Supplemental/Beneficial Environmental Projects in Section VII that pertain to the Refinery for which termination is sought or, if MAP is moving for termination of the entire First Revised Consent Decree, completion of all Section VII projects;
  - (e) application for and receipt of permits incorporating the surviving emission limits and standards established under this First Revised Consent Decree as to the Refinery for which termination is sought or as to all Refineries (if MAP is moving for termination of the entire First Revised Consent Decree); and
  - (f) operation for at least one year of each unit in compliance with the emission limits established herein as to the Refinery for which termination is sought or as to all Refineries (if MAP is moving for termination of the entire First Revised Consent Decree), and certification of such compliance for each unit within the first progress report following the conclusion of the compliance period.
- F. <u>Termination: Procedure</u>. At such time as MAP believes that it has satisfied the requirements for termination set forth in Paragraph 87.E. as to one or more Covered Refineries or as to the entire First Revised Consent Decree, MAP will certify such compliance and completion,

in accordance with the certification language of Paragraph 87.B. to the United States and the Plaintiff-Intervenor in writing. Unless, within one-hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of MAP's certification under this Paragraph 87.F., either the United States or a Plaintiff-Intervenor objects in writing with specific reasons, the Court may upon motion by MAP order that this First Revised Consent Decree be terminated as to such Covered Refinery(ies). If either the United States or a Plaintiff-Intervenor objects to the certification by MAP then the matter will be submitted to the Court for resolution under Section XIV (Retention of Jurisdiction/Dispute Resolution) of this First Revised Consent Decree. In such case, MAP will bear the burden of proving that this First Revised Consent Decree should be terminated.

#### XVIII. SIGNATORIES

88. Each of the undersigned representatives certify that they are fully authorized to enter into the First Revised Consent Decree on behalf of such Parties, and to execute and to bind such Parties to this First Revised Consent Decree.

Dated this _	day of	, 200
--------------	--------	-------

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the First Revised Consent Decree in <u>United States</u>, et al. v. Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC, Civil No. 01-40119, subject to the public notice and comment requirements of 28 C.F.R. § 50.7.

#### FOR PLAINTIFF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

KELLY A. JOHNSON
Acting Assistant Attorney General
Environment and Natural Resources Division
United States Department of Justice

ANNETTE M. LANG
Trial Attorney
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources
Division
United States Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044

STEPHEN J. MURPHY United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan

By: <u>:</u>

ELLEN CHRISTENSEN Assistant United States Attorney 211 W. Fort Street Suite 2300 Detroit, MI 48226 WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the First Revised Consent Decree in <u>United States</u>, et al. v. <u>Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC</u>, Civil No. 01-40119, subject to the public notice and comment requirements of 28 C.F.R. § 50.7.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

GRANTA Y. NAKAYAMA Assistant Administrator Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460 WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the First Revised Consent Decree in <u>United States</u>, et al. v. <u>Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC</u>, Civil No. 01-40119, subject to the public notice and comment requirements.

# FOR PLAINTIFF-INTERVENOR STATE OF MINNESOTA

GORDON E. WEGWART, P.E. Assistant Commissioner Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155 WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the First Revised Consent Decree in <u>United States</u>, et al. v. <u>Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC</u>, Civil No. 01-40119, subject to the public notice and comment requirements.

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL BY PLAINTIFF-INTERVENOR THE STATE OF LOUISIANA, THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY:

HAROLD LEGGETT, Ph.D
Assistant Secretary
Office of Environmental Compliance
Louisiana Department of Environmental
Quality

R. STEVEN BEARD (La. #27771)
Attorney II
Office of the Secretary
Legal Affairs Division
Louisiana Department of Environmental
Quality
P.O. Box 4302
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4302

# WE HEREBY CONSENT to the entry of the First Revised Consent Decree in <u>United States</u>, et al. v. Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC, Civil No. 01-40119.

#### FOR DEFENDANT MARATHON ASHLAND PETROLEUM LLC.

LARRY M. ECHELBERGER Senior Vice President, Refining Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC 539 S. Main St. Findlay, OH 45840